

the accused products practice this limitation?

8. Concerning claims 22 and 23 of the '369 patent, Intuitive states in its petition for review that its proposed obviousness combination "includes each limitation of the asserted claims under Ethicon's theory of infringement." Identify what aspect of Intuitive's obviousness theory is dependent on Ethicon's theory of infringement and explain how it is dependent.

9. If the Commission finds that Intuitive does not infringe claims 22 and 23 of the '369 patent, explain whether Intuitive's obviousness theories necessarily fail by virtue of their dependence on Ethicon's infringement theory.

The parties are not to brief other issues on review, which are adequately presented in the parties' existing filings.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the statute authorizes issuance of, *inter alia*, (1) an exclusion order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States; and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in the respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843, Comm'n Op. at 7-10 (Dec. 1994).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade

Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve, disapprove or take no action on the Commission's determination. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues identified in this notice. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding.

In its initial submission, Complainant is also requested to identify the remedy sought and to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainant is further requested to state the dates that the Asserted Patents expire, to provide the HTSUS subheadings under which the accused products are imported, and to supply the identification information for all known importers of the products at issue in this investigation. The initial written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on August 23, 2021. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on August 30, 2021. Opening submissions are limited to 100 pages. Reply submissions are limited to 75 pages. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. The Commission's paper filing requirements in 19 CFR 210.4(f) are currently waived. 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020). Submissions should refer to the investigation number (Inv. No. 337-TA-1167) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary, (202) 205-2000.

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in

confidence must request confidential treatment by marking each document with a header indicating that the document contains confidential information. This marking will be deemed to satisfy the request procedure set forth in Rules 201.6(b) and 210.5(e)(2) (19 CFR 201.6(b) & 210.5(e)(2)). Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection on EDIS.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 16, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 16, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-17843 Filed 8-19-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation Nos. 701-TA-468 and 731-TA-1166-1167 (Second Review); Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From China and Mexico

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and the antidumping duty orders on certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on January 4, 2021 (86 FR 126) and determined on April 9, 2021 that it would conduct expedited reviews (86 FR 36770, July 13, 2021).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on August 17, 2021. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5223 (August 2021), entitled *Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from China and Mexico: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-468 and 731-TA-1166-1167 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 17, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-17886 Filed 8-19-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1567-1569 (Preliminary)]

Acrylonitrile-Butadiene Rubber From France, Korea, and Mexico

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber from France, Korea, and Mexico, provided for in subheading 4002.51.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”).²

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² 86 FR 40192 (July 27, 2021).

Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission’s rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission’s rules, upon notice from the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under § 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under § 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

Background

On June 30, 2021, Zeon Chemicals L.P. and Zeon GP, LLC (collectively “Zeon”), Louisville, Kentucky, filed petitions with the Commission and Commerce, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured and threatened by further material injury by reason of LTFV imports of acrylonitrile-butadiene rubber from France, Korea, and Mexico. Accordingly, effective June 30, 2021, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigations Nos. 731-TA-1567-1569 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission’s investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of July 7, 2021 (86 FR 35825). In light of the restrictions on access to the Commission building due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Commission conducted its conference through written testimony and video conference. All persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to § 733(a) of

the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on August 16, 2021. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5227 (August 2021), entitled *Acrylonitrile-Butadiene Rubber (NBR) from France, Korea, and Mexico: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1567-1569 (Preliminary)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 16, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-17844 Filed 8-19-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (BJA) Docket No. 1792]

Meeting of the Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor Review Board

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), Justice.

ACTION: Notice of meeting cancellation and rescheduling.

SUMMARY: This is an announcement cancelling the meeting of the Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor Review Board that was scheduled for September 20, 2021, and rescheduling it for October 19, 2021. The primarily intended purpose of this meeting is to consider nominations for the 2020-2021 Medal of Valor, and to make a limited number of recommendations for submission to the U.S. Attorney General. Additional issues of importance to the Board may also be discussed. The virtual meeting/conference call date and time is listed below.

DATES: October 19, 2021, 12:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. EDT.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held virtually using web conferencing technology. The public may hear the proceedings of this virtual meeting/conference call by registering with Gregory Joy at last seven (7) days in advance with Gregory Joy (contact information below).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gregory Joy, Policy Advisor, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, 810 7th Street NW, Washington, DC 20531, by telephone at (202) 514-1369, toll free (866) 859-2687, or by email at Gregory.joy@usdoj.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor