

(Mar. 22, 2017). The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain graphics systems, components thereof, and consumer products containing the same, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,633,506 (“the ‘506 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,796,133; U.S. Patent No. 8,760,454; and U.S. Patent No. 9,582,846. *Id.* The notice of investigation identified LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, Republic of Korea, LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, and LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A. Inc. of San Diego, California (collectively, “LG”), VIZIO of Irvine, California, MediaTek Inc. of Hsinchu City, Taiwan and Media Tek USA Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, “MediaTek”), and SDI of Fremont, California, as respondents in this investigation. *See id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation.

On October 20, 2017, the Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) issued an initial determination terminating the investigation as to LG based on settlement. *See* Order No. 48 (Oct. 20, 2017), *unreviewed*, Comm’n Notice (Nov. 13, 2017). The remaining respondents in this investigation are VIZIO, MediaTek, and SDI (hereinafter, “Respondents”).

On April 13, 2018, the ALJ issued her final initial determination (“FID”) finding a violation of section 337 with respect to the ‘506 patent. On August 22, 2018, the Commission affirmed with modification the FID’s findings. *See* 83 FR 43899–900 (Aug. 28, 2018). The Commission also determined that the appropriate remedy is a LEO against Respondents’ infringing products and CDOs against VIZIO and SDI. *See id.*

On September 11, 2018, Complainants and VIZIO filed a joint petition (*Petition*) to modify in part the LEO as to VIZIO and to rescind the CDO against VIZIO, based on a settlement agreement. The *Petition* states that “[p]ursuant to this settlement, all VIZIO articles currently covered by the Commission’s Limited Exclusion Order are now licensed.” *See Petition* at 1. On September 21, 2018, the Investigative Attorney filed a response in support of the *Petition*. No other party filed a response or opposition to the *Petition*.

In view of the settlement agreement between Complainants and VIZIO, the Commission finds that the conditions justifying the remedial orders against Respondent VIZIO no longer exist, and

therefore, granting the petition is warranted under 19 U.S.C. 1337(k) and 19 CFR 210.76(a). Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute a modification and rescission proceeding. The Commission has further determined to grant the joint petition to modify in part the LEO as to VIZIO and to rescind the CDO against VIZIO. The Commission has issued a modified LEO. The modification and rescission proceeding is terminated.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
 Issued: October 5, 2018.

Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–22115 Filed 10–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1392–1393 (Final)]

Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Resin From China and India; Scheduling of the Final Phase of Antidumping Duty Investigations

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: September 26, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Casanova (202) 708–2719, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective March 8, 2018, the Commission established a general schedule for the conduct of the final phase of its investigations on polytetrafluoroethylene resin from

China and India,¹ following a preliminary determination by the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) that imports of subject PTFE resin were being subsidized by the government of India.² Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of March 8, 2018 (83 FR 12815). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 17, 2018, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel. The Commission subsequently issued its final negative determination regarding subsidized imports from India on July 6, 2018 (83 FR 32150, July 11, 2018). Commerce has issued final affirmative antidumping duty determinations with respect to the subject PTFE resin from China³ and India.⁴ Accordingly, the Commission currently is issuing a supplemental schedule for its antidumping duty investigations on imports of PTFE resin from China and India.

This supplemental schedule is as follows: The deadline for filing supplemental party comments on Commerce’s final antidumping duty determinations is October 11, 2018. Supplemental party comments may address only Commerce’s final antidumping duty determinations regarding imports of PTFE resin from China and India. These supplemental final comments may not contain new factual information and may not exceed five (5) pages in length. The supplemental staff report in the final phase of these investigations regarding subject imports from China and India

¹ *Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Resin from China and India, Scheduling of the Final Phase of Countervailing Duty and Anti-Dumping Duty Investigations*, 83 FR 12815, March 8, 2018.

² *Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from India: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 83 FR 9842, March 8, 2018. *See also* Commerce’s later preliminary determinations: *Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 83 FR 20039, May 7, 2018; *Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from India: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 83 FR 20035, May 7, 2018.

³ *Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from the People’s Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 48590, September 26, 2018.

⁴ *Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from India: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 48594, September 26, 2018.

will be placed in the nonpublic record on October 24, 2018; and a public version will be issued thereafter.

For further information concerning these investigations see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 4, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-22042 Filed 10-10-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems and Components Thereof, DN 3346*; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC

20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Juul Labs, Inc., on October 3, 2018. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic nicotine delivery systems and components thereof. The complaint names as respondents: J Well France S.A.S. of France; Bo Vaping of Garden City, NY; MMS Distribution LLC of Rock Hill, NY; The Electric Tobacconist, LLC of Boulder, CO; Eonsmoke, LLC of Clifton, NJ; ZLab S.A. of Uruguay; Ziip Lab Co., Limited of China; Shenzhen Yibo Technology Co., Ltd. of China; XFire, Inc. of Stafford, TX; ALD Group Limited of China; Flair Vapor LLC of South Plainfield, NJ; Shenzhen Joecig Technology Co., Ltd. of China; Myle Vape Inc. of Jamaica, NY; Vapor Hub International, Inc. of Simi Valley, CA; Limitless Mod Co. of Simi Valley CA; Infinite-N Technology Limited of China; King Distribution LLC of Elmwood Park, NJ; and Keep Vapor Electronic Tech. Co., Ltd. of China. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, cease and desist orders and impose a bond during the 60-day review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length,

inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions on the public interest must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation. Any written submissions on other issues should be filed no later than by close of business nine calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Complainant may file a reply to any written submission no later than the date on which complainant's reply would be due under § 210.8(c)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.8(c)(2)).

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to § 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket