

- **State Mental Health Authority Interview:** This is a one-time semi-structured interview with state mental health leadership in the states where the 32 sites in the evaluation are located. The interview focuses on their thoughts and opinions about context in which CSC programs are implemented within their state and the state’s role in the implementation of the CSC programs.
- **Agency Director/Administrator Interview:** This semi-structured interview will be conducted twice with Agency Director/Administrators at each of the 32 CSC sites in the evaluation about the successes and challenges involved in implementing the CSC program.

- **Coordinated Specialty Care (CSC) Staff Interview:** This semi-structured interview will be conducted twice with CSC Staff at each of the 32 CSC sites in the evaluation about the successes and challenges involved in implementing the CSC program.
- **Coordinated Specialty Care (CSC) Participant Interview:** This semi-structured interview will be conducted twice with participants involved in programs at the 32 CSC sites in the evaluation. The purpose of the interview is to gather participant input on how CSC programs are operating and their thoughts and opinions about successes and challenges while participating in the CSC program.

- **Fidelity Interview:** This interview will be conducted twice during the evaluation with up to four CSC staff at each site. The phone interview is designed to be used in conjunction with the First Episode Psychosis Fidelity Scale (FEPS-FS) to examine whether elements of CSC are implemented at the sites.

In addition, each site will provide the evaluation team with administrative data on participant demographics and outcomes (e.g., employment status, educational status, diagnosis, living situation, quality of life, symptoms).

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED BURDEN HOURS

Respondent	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total responses	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
State Department of Mental Health Representative: Telephone Interview	32	1	32	2.0	64
CSC Site Directors across the country: Online survey	250	1	250	0.2	50
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Director on-site interview ...	64	1	64	2.0	128
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Staff on-site interview	192	1	192	2.0	384
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Staff Fidelity Telephone Interview	64	4	256	4.0	1,024
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Staff data submission	32	18	576	5.0	2,880
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Participant on-site interview	128	1	128	1.0	128
Total	762	1,498	4,658

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by July 12, 2017 to the SAMHSA Desk Officer at the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB). To ensure timely receipt of comments, and to avoid potential delays in OMB’s receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, commenters are encouraged to submit their comments to OMB via email to: *OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov*. Although commenters are encouraged to send their comments via email, commenters may also fax their comments to: 202–395–7285. Commenters may also mail them to: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, Washington, DC 20503.

Summer King,
Statistician.
 [FR Doc. 2017–12091 Filed 6–9–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–638 (Fourth Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India DETERMINATION

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

BACKGROUND

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on December 1, 2016 (81 FR 86728) and determined on March 6, 2017 that it would conduct an expedited review (82 FR 16231, April 3, 2017).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It

completed and filed its determination in this review on June 6, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4695 (June 2017), entitled *Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India: Investigation No. 731–TA–638 (Fourth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.
 Issued: June 6, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.
 [FR Doc. 2017–12037 Filed 6–9–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–951]

Certain Lithium Metal Oxide Cathode Materials, Lithium-Ion Batteries for Power Tool Products Containing Same, and Power Tool Products With Lithium-Ion Batteries Containing Same; Notice of the Commission’s Determination To Rescind a Limited Exclusion Order

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to rescind

¹The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).