

with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁷ If DSM's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*.⁸

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by DSM, which is the company selected for individual examination in this review, for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

In the final results of this review, if we continue to find that Daewoo, Dongbu, GS Global, Hyosung, and Hyundai Steel had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by these companies but exported by other companies at the all-others rate.⁹

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, Edgen Murray Corporation, Kyoungil Co., Ltd., Samsung C&T Corp., Samwoo EMC Co., Ltd., and TCC Steel Corp., we will instruct CBP to apply the rates listed above to all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by those firms.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of CTL plate from Korea entered, or withdrawn from

⁷ In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

⁸ See *Final Modification for Reviews*, 77 FR at 8102.

⁹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for companies subject to this review will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 0.98 percent,¹⁰ the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 4, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- A. Summary
- B. Background
- C. Scope of the Order
- D. Preliminary Determination of No Reviewable Entries
- E. Rates for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination
- F. Comparisons to Normal Value
- G. Determination of Comparison Method
- H. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis

¹⁰ See, e.g., *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: 2011–2012*, 78 FR 29113, 29114 (May 17, 2013).

- I. Product Comparisons
- J. Date of Sale
- K. Level of Trade/CEP Offset
- L. Constructed Export Price
- M. Normal Value
 1. Overrun Sales
 2. Selection of Comparison Market
 3. Affiliated Parties
 4. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
 5. Cost of Production
 6. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
- N. Currency Conversion
- O. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014–05140 Filed 3–7–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–928, A–791–821, A–552–803]

Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China, South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 1, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the initiation of the first five-year (sunset) reviews of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from the People's Republic of China (PRC), South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended.¹ The Department finds that revocation of these antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping as indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 10, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Cartsos or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1757 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department received a notice of intent to participate in these sunset reviews from Leggett & Platt,

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 78 FR 65711 (November 1, 2013) (*Notice of Initiation*).

Incorporated (the domestic interested party), within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested party claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of the domestic like product.

The Department received an adequate substantive response to the *Notice of Initiation* from the domestic interested party within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no substantive response from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from the PRC, South Africa, and Vietnam.²

Scope of the Orders

The products subject to these orders are uncovered innerspring units composed of a series of individual metal springs joined together in sizes corresponding to the sizes of adult mattresses (e.g., twin, twin long, full, full long, queen, California king, and king) and units used in smaller constructions, such as crib and youth mattresses. The complete scope language of these orders is listed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum,³ which is hereby adopted by this notice. The products subject to the orders are currently classifiable under subheading 9404.29.9010 and have also been classified under subheadings 9404.10.0000, 7326.20.0070, 7320.20.5010, or 7320.90.5010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). On January 11, 2011, the Department included the 9404.29.9005 and 9404.29.9011 HTSUS classification number to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). On January 7, 2013, the Department included the 7326.20.0071 HTSUS classification number to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by CBP. The HTSUS

² See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order*, 74 FR 7661 (February 19, 2009). See also *Antidumping Duty Order: Uncovered Innerspring Units From South Africa*, 73 FR 75390 (December 11, 2008). See also *Antidumping Duty Order: Uncovered Innerspring Units From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 73 FR 75391 (December 11, 2008).

³ See Issues and Decision Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of dumping margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from the PRC, South Africa, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/producers/exporters	Weighted-average margin (percent)
PRC:	
Foshan Jingxin Steel Wire & Spring Co., Ltd	234.51
Exporters with a separate rate	164.75
PRC-wide Rate	234.51
South Africa:	
Bedding Component Manufacturers (Pty) Ltd.	121.39
All others	121.39
Vietnam:	
Vietnam-wide Rate	116.31

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the

disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: February 28, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-05144 Filed 3-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Third Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping as indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 10, 2014

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Schauer or Mino Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0410 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) and (ii), the Department received a notice of intent to participate in this sunset review from the Crawfish Processors Alliance (the domestic interested party), within 15 days after the date of publication of the *Notice of*