

May 9, 2013, SGBC responded to the Department's request.<sup>3</sup>

### Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People's Republic of China; flange, take up cartridge, and hanger units incorporating tapered roller bearings; and tapered roller housings (except pillow blocks) incorporating tapered rollers, with or without spindles, whether or not for automotive use. These products are currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00.50, 8482.99.15, 8482.99.45, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8483.90.80, 8708.70.60.60, 8708.99.23.00, 8708.99.48.50, 8708.99.68.90, 8708.99.81.15, and 8708.99.81.80. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

### Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of information concerning, or a request from an interested party for a review of, an antidumping duty order which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(d), the Department finds there is sufficient information to warrant initiating a changed circumstances review because SGBC has provided evidence that it is now part of the SKF Group as a result of a merger in 2012. Therefore, pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(d), we are initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether SGBC is the successor-in-interest to SGBC as it existed prior to the merger.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of the changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the Department's preliminary factual and legal conclusions. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(ii), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. The Department will issue its final results of review in accordance with the time limits set forth in 19 CFR 351.216(e).

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 21, 2013.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2013-15458 Filed 6-27-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-835]

### Lemon Juice From Mexico: Final Results of Full Sunset Review of the Suspended Antidumping Duty Investigation

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 28, 2013.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2012, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the sunset review of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on lemon juice from Mexico. The Department finds that termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the margins indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maureen Price or Sally C. Gannon, Bilateral Agreements Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4271 or (202) 482-0162, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 1, 2012, the Department initiated a sunset review of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on lemon juice from Mexico, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").<sup>1</sup> The Department received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested party, Ventura Coastal, LLC ("Ventura"), a joint venture between Ventura Coastal and Sunkist Growers, Inc., the petitioner in the underlying investigation, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Ventura claimed

interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a U.S. producer of the subject merchandise. On August 31, 2012, the Department received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested party and the respondent interested parties, The Coca-Cola Company and its subsidiary, The Coca-Cola Export Corporation, Mexico Branch (collectively, "TCCC") and Procimart Citrus ("Procimart"), within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). On September 7, 2012, the Department received timely filed rebuttals to the substantive responses from Ventura and Procimart. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2), the Department conducted a full sunset review.<sup>2</sup> On December 26, 2012, the Department preliminarily determined that termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on lemon juice from Mexico would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.<sup>3</sup> Procimart filed a request for a hearing on January 25, 2013, which it later withdrew.<sup>4</sup> On February 14, 2013, the respondent interested parties submitted comments on the Preliminary Results<sup>5</sup> and, on February 19, 2013, Ventura submitted rebuttal comments.<sup>6</sup> On March 18, 2013, the Department extended the deadline for the final results of full sunset review of the Agreement and the suspended antidumping duty investigation to July 1, 2013.

#### Scope of the Suspended Investigation

The merchandise covered by the suspended investigation includes certain lemon juice for further manufacture, with or without addition of preservatives, sugar, or other sweeteners, regardless of the GPL (grams per liter of citric acid) level of concentration, brix level, brix/acid ratio, pulp content, clarity, grade, horticulture method (e.g., organic or not), processed form (e.g., frozen or not-from-

<sup>2</sup> Memorandum to Sally C. Gannon, Director for Bilateral Agreements, Office of Policy, Sunset Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation of Lemon Juice from Mexico: Adequacy Determination, September 19, 2012.

<sup>3</sup> Lemon Juice from Mexico: Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review of the Suspended Antidumping Duty Investigation, 77 FR 75998 (December 26, 2012) ("Preliminary Results").

<sup>4</sup> Lemon Juice from Mexico; Request to Participate at Hearing on behalf of Procimart Citrus, January 25, 2013; Lemon Juice from Mexico; Withdraw of Hearing Request Sunset Review on behalf of Procimart Citrus, February 15, 2013.

<sup>5</sup> Lemon Juice from Mexico (A-201-835) Sunset Review; TCCC Case Brief, February 14, 2013; Procimart SA de CV and the Citrus Team Company Brief, February 14, 2013.

<sup>6</sup> Lemon Juice from Mexico—Rebuttal Brief on behalf of Ventura Coastal, LLC (Rebuttal Brief), February 19, 2013.

<sup>3</sup> See SGBC's May 9, 2013, submission.

<sup>1</sup> Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review and Correction, 77 FR 45589 (August 1, 2012).

concentrate), FDA standard of identity, the size of the container in which packed, or the method of packing.

Excluded from the scope are: (1) Lemon juice at any level of concentration packed in retail-sized containers ready for sale to consumers, typically at a level of concentration of 48 GPL; and (2) beverage products such as lemonade that typically contain 20% or less lemon juice as an ingredient.

Lemon juice is classifiable under subheadings 2009.39.6020, 2009.31.6020, 2009.31.4000, 2009.31.6040, and 2009.39.6040 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this Agreement is dispositive.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memorandum”) from Lynn Fischer Fox, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy & Negotiations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping, the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail if the suspended investigation were terminated, and whether to disregard Ventura’s response. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov/> and in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, the Department determines that termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on lemon juice from Mexico would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of

dumping and that the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail if the suspended investigation were terminated is 146.10 percent for The Coca-Cola Export Corporation, Mexico Branch, 205.37 percent for Citrotam Internacional S.P.R. de R.L. (Citrotam)/ Productos Naturales de Citricos (Pronacit) and 146.10 percent for all other exporters.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 20, 2013.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013-15446 Filed 6-27-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### University of Hawaii at Manoa, et al.; Notice of Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave NW., Washington, DC.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order.

Docket Number: 13-008. Applicant: University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI 96822. Instrument: Telescope. Manufacturer: Advanced Mechanical and Optical Systems,

Belgium. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 27186, May 9, 2013. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. Reasons: The instrument will be used in conjunction with the Panoramic Survey Telescope & Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS), to discover and characterize Earth-approaching objects, both asteroids and comets that might pose a danger to the Earth, as well as a wide range of other research areas of astronomy. Critical performance characteristics include the ability to detect objects much fainter than has hitherto been possible with sufficient resolution to measure both the position and brightness level to the required precision, that the instrument be sufficiently robust and reliable that it can carry out continuous observations without direct human supervision under both benign and harsh meteorological observing conditions, and servicing and maintenance that can be performed as quickly as possible to minimize system down time. The heat released by the electrical/electronic components cannot have an impact on the system point spread function that exceeds a combined total of 0.1 arcseconds. Other key features that were not proposed by domestic vendors include the use of 36 actuators to control the shape of the telescope’s primary mirror, active cooling of the mechanical structure containing the primary mirror, design and performance analysis of the structures holding the telescope secondary mirror in position, the mechanical design and performance analysis of the telescope “truss”, active cooling of the motors that move the telescope, additional performance margin of the telescope motors to provide additional power and torque in the presence of high motor loads, and the serviceability of several key telescope components that traditionally are both prone to failure and hard to get at, as well as allowing the removal of extremely difficult components.

Docket Number: 13-009. Applicant: Max Planck Florida Institute for Neuroscience, Jupiter, FL 33458. Instrument: Serial Block face microtome. Manufacturer: Gatan, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 27186, May 9, 2013. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such