

either of the above individuals. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The withdrawal created by PLO No. 6963 (58 FR 19212 (1993)), will expire on April 12, 2013, unless it is extended. The BLM filed a petition\application to extend PLO No. 6963 for an additional 20-year term. The PLO withdrew 257.60 acres of public land from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the general land laws, including the United States mining laws (30 U.S.C. Chapter 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, to protect the existing natural values of the FSD. This notice amends the legal land description and acreage in PLO No. 6963 as a result of a 1995 dependent resurvey and subdivision of sec. 3, T. 18 S., R. 12 W., Willamette Meridian. The land withdrawn by PLO No. 6963 is now described as follows:

**Willamette Meridian**

T. 18 S., R. 12 W.,

Sec. 3, lots 5 to 10, inclusive;

Sec. 15, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The areas described aggregate 250.66 acres in Lane County.

The purpose of the proposed withdrawal extension is to continue the protection of the existing natural values of the FSD.

The use of a right-of-way, interagency or cooperative agreement, Special Recreation Management Area, or Area of Critical Environmental Concern designation does not provide adequate protection. There are no suitable alternative sites available.

No water rights would be needed to fulfill the purpose of the requested withdrawal extension.

For a period until January 29, 2013, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal extension may present their views in writing to the BLM State Director at the address indicated above.

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the address indicated above during regular business hours.

Individual respondents may request confidentiality. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that

we will be able to do so. If you wish to withhold your name or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organization or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Notice is hereby given that a public meeting can be held in connection with the proposed withdrawal extension. All interested parties who desire a public meeting for the purpose of being heard on the proposed withdrawal extension must submit a written request to the BLM State Director at the address indicated above by January 29, 2013. Upon determination by the authorized officer that a public meeting will be held, a notice of the time and place will be published in the **Federal Register** and a local newspaper at least 30 days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The withdrawal extension application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR 2310.4.

**Fred O'Ferrall,**

*Chief, Branch of Land, Mineral, and Energy Resources.*

[FR Doc. 2012-26700 Filed 10-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

[NPS-PWR-MIIN-11234; 9360-726]

**Minor Boundary Revision at Minidoka National Historic Site**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notification of boundary revision.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 460l-9(c)(1)(ii), the boundary of Minidoka National Historic Site is modified to include 88.51 acres of land identified as Tract 01-107, tax parcels numbered RP08S19E2300A and RP08S19E329001A. A conservation easement interest in the land will be donated to the United States. The land is located in Jerome County, Idaho, immediately adjacent to the current western boundary of Minidoka National Historic Site. The boundary revision is depicted on Map No. 194/114484 dated

May 2012. The map is available for inspection at the following locations: National Park Service, Columbia Cascades Land Resources Program Center, 168 South Jackson Street, Seattle, Washington 98104, and National Park Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** National Park Service, Chief, Columbia Cascades Land Resources Program Center, 168 South Jackson Street, Seattle, Washington 98104, telephone (206) 220-4100.

**DATES:** The effective date of this boundary revision is October 31, 2012.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 16 U.S.C. 460l-9(c)(1)(ii) provides that, after notifying the House Committee on Natural Resources and the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to make this boundary revision upon publication of notice in the **Federal Register**. The Committees have been notified of this boundary revision. The inclusion and acquisition of a conservation easement interest in this property will enable the National Park Service to preserve the open space and viewsheds of the general area and thereby more accurately interpret the events that took place during the Japanese-American internment here from 1942 to 1945.

Dated: September 25, 2012.

**Patricia L. Neubacher,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2012-26810 Filed 10-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-DC-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-671-673 (Third Review)]

**Silicomanganese From Brazil, China, and Ukraine**

**Determinations**

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission)<sup>2</sup> determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Meredith Broadbent did not participate in these reviews.

States within a reasonably foreseeable time<sup>3</sup> and that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from China and Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>4</sup>

### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on August 1, 2011 (76 FR 54272) and determined on November 4, 2011 that it would conduct full reviews (76 FR 72212, November 22, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2012 (77 FR 22344). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on September 5, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on October 24, 2012. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4354 (October 2012), entitled *Silicomanganese from Brazil, China, and Ukraine: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-671-673 (Third Review)*.

Issued: October 26, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-26747 Filed 10-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-746]

### Certain Automated Media Library Devices; Notice of Commission Decision Remanding the Investigation as To U.S. Patent Nos. 6,328,766 and 6,353,581; Extension of Target Date

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to remand to the presiding administrative law

judge ("ALJ") with respect to U.S. Patent Nos. 6,328,766 ("the '766 patent") and 6,353,581 ("the '581 patent"), and the target date for completion of the investigation is extended to March 25, 2013.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on November 24, 2010, based upon a complaint filed by Overland Storage, Inc. of San Diego, California ("Overland") on October 19, 2010, and supplemented on November 9, 2010. 75 FR 71735 (Nov. 24, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) by reason of infringement of certain claims of the '766 patent and the '581 patent (collectively, "the Asserted Patents"). The notice of investigation named as respondents BDT AG of Rottweil, Germany; BDT Solutions GmbH & Co. KG of Rottweil, Germany; BDT Automation Technology (Zhuhai FTZ), Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai Guandang, China; BDT de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V., of Jalisco, Mexico; BDT Products, Inc., of Irvine, California; Dell Inc. of Round Rock, Texas ("Dell"); and International Business Machines Corp. of Armonk, New York ("IBM"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party.

The ALJ granted BDT Solutions GmbH & Co. KG's motion for summary determination of no violation on September 2, 2011. See Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting BDT Solutions' Motion for Summary Determination of No Violation of Section 337 (Sep. 21, 2011). On

December 5, 2011, the ALJ granted a joint motion to terminate IBM and Dell from the investigation. See Notice of Commission Determination to Affirm an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion For Termination of the Investigation by Settlement as to Respondents International Business Machines Corp. and Dell Inc. (Jan. 27, 2012). BDT AG; BDT Automation Technology (Zhuhai FTZ), Co., Ltd.; BDT de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; and BDT Products, Inc. (collectively, "the BDT Respondents") remain as respondents in the investigation.

On June 20, 2012, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding no violation of section 337 by the BDT Respondents with respect to any of the asserted claims. Specifically, the ALJ found no violation of section 337 by the BDT Respondents in connection with claims 1-3 and 7-9 of the '766 patent and claims 1-2, 5-7, 9-10, 12, and 15-16 of the '581 patent. The ALJ also found that the asserted claims were not shown to be invalid except for claim 15 of the '581 patent. The ALJ further found that a domestic industry in the United States exists that practices the '766 patent. The ALJ, however, found that a domestic industry in the United States does not exist that practices the '581 patent. The ALJ also rejected the BDT Respondents' patent exhaustion defense.

On July 5, 2012, the BDT Respondents filed a joint petition for review of certain aspects of the final ID's findings concerning infringement of the '766 patent, and invalidity and patent exhaustion with respect to the Asserted Patents. Also on July 5, 2012, Overland filed a petition for review of certain aspects of the final ID's findings concerning claim construction, invalidity, and domestic industry with respect to the '581 patent, and infringement of the Asserted Patents. On July 13, 2012, Overland and the BDT Respondents each filed a response.

On August 20, 2012, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part and requested briefing on several issues it determined to review, and on remedy, the public interest and bonding. 77 FR. 51573 (August 24, 2012). Specifically, with respect to the '766 patent, the Commission determined to review the ALJ's finding that Overland did not prove the BDT Respondents possessed the requisite knowledge required for contributory infringement. The Commission also determined to review the ALJ's finding that the IBM documents related to certain IBM tape libraries do not qualify as printed publications under 35 U.S.C. 102, and the ALJ's invalidity analysis concerning any IBM documents that are found to

<sup>3</sup> Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert dissenting.

<sup>4</sup> Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson dissenting with regard to Ukraine.