



MEMORANDUM ON PROPOSED TARIFF LEGISLATION of the 112th Congress

Date approved

I. Background

Bill number:

Sponsor name:

Sponsor state:

Interested entity:

Name

City

State

Other bills on product (112th Congress only):

Nature of bill:

Expiration date:

Current or previous chapter 99 heading:

Retroactive date:

CAS number (if applicable):

Industry analyst:

Telephone:

Tariff Affairs contact:

Telephone:

Note:

1. Access to an electronic copy of this memorandum is available at http://www.usitc.gov/tariff_affairs/congress_reports/.
2. In regard to the country(ies) of origin listed in section III, this report focuses on dutiable imports and does not take into account any tariff preference programs or special rates of duty.

II. Suggested article description(s) for enactment (including appropriate HTS subheading(s)):

Pasta-making parts designed for use on electromechanical domestic stand food mixers, such parts of steel (whether or not coated or plated with nickel or chrome), the foregoing including pasta rollers or cutters (provided for in subheading 8509.90.55)

(If enacted, the tariff relief provided for in this bill would be available to any entity that imports the product that is covered by the bill.)

Description above compared with bill as introduced:

- Same
 Different (see Technical Comments section)

III. Other product information, including uses/applications and source(s) of imports

The subject product is designed to be attached to a countertop or stand mixer for the purpose of rolling or cutting pasta. This pasta attachment utilizes the electrical power of the stand mixer to force sheets of pasta dough through the attachment to press the dough or to cut it into the desired pasta shape. Such a stand mixer is designed for home use, rather than commercial or restaurant use. The product is principally imported from Italy. Opposition to this bill is noted in the Contacts table.

IV. Estimated effect on customs revenue

Subject product HTS subheading(s)	8509.90.55				
Item	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Col.1-general rate of duty or percentage point reduction (%)	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Estimated value of <i>dutiable</i> imports (\$)	20,600,000	24,720,000	27,190,000	29,909,000	32,900,000
Customs revenue loss (\$)	370,800	444,960	489,420	538,362	592,200

Note: Customs revenue loss is provided for 5 years, although the effective period of the proposed legislation may differ. Regarding the HTS subheading listed in the article description of the bill, the Commission may express an opinion on the HTS classification of a product to facilitate consideration of the bill. However, by law, only U.S. Customs and Border Protection is authorized to issue a binding ruling on this matter. The Commission believes that Customs should be consulted prior to enactment of the bill.

Dutiable imports were based on (more than one may apply):

- Official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce
 Provided by industry sources
 Industry information
 Commission estimates

Duty reduction notes:

- This bill is not a duty reduction
 This bill is a temporary duty reduction. Rates are shown below.

Col.1-general duty rate (%) Temporary rate (%) Percentage point reduction (%)

V. Technical comments

The article description set forth above has been modified for greater clarity. Each unique attachment is either a cutter or a roller, but does not simultaneously have the ability to both cut and roll. The Customs National Commodity Specialist Division confirmed that the subject product is correctly described as a "part" for tariff purposes, though it could be marketed as an accessory, and is correctly classified in subheading 8509.90.55.

VI. Continuation

--

VII. Contacts with domestic firms/organizations

	# Firm/organization and contact name	Telephone number	Claims same or competing product made in the United States	Submission attached	Opposition noted
1	Whirlpool Corporation (Interested entity) Luke M. Harms	202-639-9420	No	No	No
2	Conair Corporation John Mayorek	609-426-1300	No	No	No
3	Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc. Tripp Dillard	804-418-7759	No	No	No
4	Jarden Corporation Don Stein	202-530-8502	No	No	No
5	National Presto Industries Maryjo Cohen	715-839-2121	No	Yes	Yes

Fravel, Dennis

From: Anderson, Michael G.
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2012 10:49 AM
To: Parcan, Alexander (Intern)
Cc: Fravel, Dennis
Subject: Fw: Bill Reports (HR.4812 -HR.4815)

Hi Alex,

A response/opposition for four bills you're working on.

Michael-

----- Original Message -----

From: Maryjo Cohen - National Presto <mjc@gopresto.com>
To: Anderson, Michael G.
Sent: Mon Jun 11 10:26:27 2012
Subject: Re: Bill Reports (HR.4812 -HR.4815)

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Thank you the opportunity to comment on each of the bills referenced in your email.

As I stated on the phone, unless Congress removes the duty on ALL electric appliances coming from China, we are opposed to all of these bills, regardless of whether we make the product or not. Please understand that the categories listed are very narrow, yet they compete with other items - some of which are in the same class (e.g., grills with floating locks compete with our electric grills, griddles and skillets) and in some cases against the entire class of small appliances, e.g., a customer like Sam's or Costco does not carry a full line of electrical appliances, so that each appliance effectively competes with the other for limited shelf space devoted to kitchen appliances. If one is dutiable while another is not, that provides an unfair advantage to the dutiable item. At this point, all of these products are being manufactured in China - no one makes them in the U.S.

Accordingly, I'd recommend that the duties either be maintained or all duties on Chinese-made kitchen appliances be dropped.

Per your request, I will leave a comment to the above effect on each bill using the HR web site prior to the June 22, comment deadline period.

Mj

On Mon, 4 Jun 2012 11:36:26 -0400

<Michael.Anderson@usitc.gov> wrote:

> Dear Ms. Cohen,

>

> As per our phone conversation, I am with the U.S. International Trade Commission (the Commission), an independent agency in the U.S. Government, which, among other things, provides advice to the President and Congress on all matters

of international trade. I am writing to request your input on Congressional legislation related to tariff suspensions or reductions for certain vehicle parts.

>
> I have included more details on the bills in the following table and specific question on the legislation below the table.

>
> Bill

>
> Product

>
> Link to bill

>
> HR. 4812

>
> Open-top electric grills designed for indoor use

>
> <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-110hr4812ih/pdf/BILLS-110hr4812ih.pdf>

>
> HR. 4813

>
> Electromechanical juice extractors, each with a self-contained 2-speed electric motor rated at 800 W or higher

>
> <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-112hr4813ih/pdf/BILLS-112hr4813ih.pdf>

>
> HR. 4814

>
> Electromechanical juice extractors, each with a self-contained 2-speed electric motor rated over 300 W but not over 400 W

>
> <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-112hr4814ih/pdf/BILLS-112hr4814ih.pdf>

>
> HR. 4815

>
> Dual-grid electric sandwich grillers, each with lock and floating upper lid

>
> <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-112hr4815ih/pdf/BILLS-112hr4815ih.pdf>

>
>
> The Commission prepares reports on legislation concerning duty suspensions for the Ways and Means and Senate Finance Committees. As a part of this process, the Commission attempts to identify and contact domestic firms and associations that may have an interest in the subject legislation.

>
> We would appreciate it very much if you could please advise us in regard to:

>
> * Do you have an interest in the proposed duty suspension legislation and, if so, do you support, oppose, or are you neutral to each of the bills?

>
> * Do you make any of the products described in the bills or competing products in the United States? Is there other U.S. manufacturing of the products described in the bills or competing products? If so, could you provide the names of the firms that make these products?

- >
- > * Do you import the products described in these bills? If so, what countries are the major sources of imports for each of these products?
- >
- > * Will you benefit from this bill? If so, can you provide me an estimate of the value of imports that will benefit from this bill in each of the next five years?
- >
- >
- > Please provide separate responses for each Bill noted above
- >
- > If you are opposed to the bill please submit comments to the House Ways and Means Committee (see <http://waysandmeans.house.gov/mtb/>) and send us a copy of the submission.
- >
- > Please provide us with any input on this legislation by Monday, June 11. Thank you for your time.
- >
- >
- > Thank you,
- > Michael Anderson
- > 202-205-3249

112TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 2358

To reduce temporarily the duty on certain pasta tools.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

APRIL 25, 2012

Mr. LEVIN introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

A BILL

To reduce temporarily the duty on certain pasta tools.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. CERTAIN PASTA TOOLS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 99 of
5 the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is
6 amended by inserting in numerical sequence the following
7 new heading:

“	9902.01.00	Pasta making parts, each having a stainless steel housing and anodized aluminum rollers and anodized aluminum cutters, the foregoing designed to be attached to a stand food mixer (provided for in subheading 8509.90.55)	2.4%	No change	No change	On or before 12/31/2015	”.
---	------------	--	------	-----------	-----------	-------------------------	----

1 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by
2 subsection (a) applies with respect to goods entered, or
3 withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after
4 the 15th day after the date of the enactment of this Act.

○