

- 93. MMC Exports Limited
- 94. MTR Foods
- 95. Naik Frozen Foods Private Limited
- 96. Naik Oceanic Exports Pvt. Ltd./Rafiq Naik Exports Pvt. Ltd.
- 97. Naik Seafoods Limited
- 98. NAS Fisheries Pvt. Ltd.
- 99. Nine Up Frozen Foods
- 100. NK Marine Exports LLP
- 101. Nutrient Marine Foods Limited
- 102. Oceanic Edibles International Limited
- 103. Paragon Sea Foods Pvt. Ltd.
- 104. Paramount Seafoods
- 105. Pesca Marine Products Pvt., Ltd.
- 106. Pijikay International Exports P Ltd.
- 107. Pravesh Seafood Private Limited
- 108. Premier Exports International
- 109. Premier Marine Foods
- 110. Premier Seafoods Exim (P) Ltd.
- 111. Raju Exports
- 112. Raunaq Ice & Cold Storage
- 113. RDR Exports
- 114. RF Exports Private Limited
- 115. Riyarchita Agro Farming Private Limited
- 116. Rupsha Fish Private Limited
- 117. R V R Marine Products Private Limited
- 118. S Chanchala Combines Private Limited
- 119. Sagar Samrat Seafoods
- 120. Sahada Exports
- 121. Samaki Exports Private Limited
- 122. Sasoondock Matsyodyog Sahakari Society Ltd.
- 123. Sea Doris Marine Exports
- 124. Seagold Overseas Pvt. Ltd.
- 125. Shimpo Exports Private Limited
- 126. Shimpo Seafoods Private Limited
- 127. Shiva Frozen Food Exp. Pvt. Ltd.
- 128. Shroff Processed Food & Cold Storage P Ltd.
- 129. Silver Seafood
- 130. Sita Marine Exports
- 131. Sonia Fisheries
- 132. Sri Sakthi Cold Storage
- 133. Srikanth International
- 134. SSF Ltd.
- 135. Star Agro Marine Exports Private Limited
- 136. Star Organic Foods Private Limited
- 137. Stellar Marine Foods Private Limited
- 138. Sterling Foods
- 139. Summit Marine Exports Private Limited
- 140. Sun Agro Exim
- 141. Supran Exim Private Limited
- 142. Suvarna Rekha Exports Private Limited
- 143. Suvarna Rekha Marines P Ltd.
- 144. TBR Exports Pvt. Ltd.
- 145. Teekay Marine P Ltd.
- 146. The Waterbase Limited
- 147. Torry Harris Seafoods Ltd.
- 148. Triveni Fisheries P Ltd.
- 149. U & Company Marine Exports
- 150. Ulka Sea Foods Private Limited
- 151. Uniroyal Marine Exports Ltd.
- 152. Unitriveni Overseas Private Limited
- 153. Vaisakhi Bio-Marine Pvt. Ltd.
- 154. Vasai Frozen Food Co.
- 155. Veronica Marine Exports Private Limited
- 156. Victoria Marine & Agro Exports Ltd.
- 157. Vinner Marine
- 158. Vitality Aquaculture Pvt. Ltd.
- 159. VKM Foods Private Limited
- 160. VRC Marine Foods LLP
- 161. Zeal Aqua Limited

[FR Doc. 2022-14419 Filed 7-6-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-043]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this expedited sunset review, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on stainless steel sheet and strip (SSSS) from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable July 7, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Alexander, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4313.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 3, 2017, Commerce published the CVD order on SSSS from China.¹ On March 1, 2022, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² Commerce received a timely notice of intent to participate from Cleveland-Cliffs Inc., North American Stainless, and Outokumpu Stainless USA LLC (domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as domestic producers engaged in the production of SSSS in the United States.

Commerce received a substantive response from the domestic interested

¹ See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 82 FR 16166 (April 3, 2017) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 87 FR 11416 (March 1, 2022).

³ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from China—Domestic Interested Parties' Notice of Intent to Participate," dated March 15, 2022.

parties⁴ within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive response from any other interested parties in this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), we determined that the respondent interested parties did not provide an adequate response to the notice of initiation and, therefore, Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are stainless sheet and strip, whether in coils or straight lengths. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the CVD order on SSSS from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following rates:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
Shanxi Taigang Stainless Steel Co. Ltd	75.60
Ningbo Baoxin Stainless Steel Co., Ltd ..	190.71
Baosteel Stainless Steel Co Ltd.	
Baoshan Iron & Steel Co, Ltd.	

⁴ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from China—Domestic Interested Parties' Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation," dated March 30, 2022.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
Baosteel Desheng Stainless Steel Co., Ltd.	75.60
Baosteel Co., Ltd.	
Bayi Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.	
Ningbo Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.	
Shaoguan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.	
Guangdong Shaoguan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.	
Zhanjiang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.	
Daming International Import Export Co Ltd.	
Tianjin Taigang Daming Metal Product Co., Ltd.	
All Others	

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing the final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

Dated: June 29, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
 2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Rates Likely to Prevail
 3. Nature of the Subsidies
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–14421 Filed 7–6–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–883]

Glycine From India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review June 1, 2020, through May 31, 2021. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable July 7, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emily Bradshaw or Yang Jin Chun, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3896 or (202) 482–5760, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

On June 21, 2019, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on glycine from India.¹ On August 3, 2021, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from India.² On February 16, 2022, Commerce extended the time limit for these preliminary results to June 30, 2022, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).³

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is glycine. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁴

¹ See *Glycine from India and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29170 (June 21, 2019) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 41821, 41823 (August 3, 2021).

³ See Memorandum, “Glycine from India: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated February 16, 2022.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Glycine from India: Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. On November 1, 2021, GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. withdrew its requests for review with respect to GEM Corpochem Private Limited, Indiana Chem-Port, J.R. Corporation, Mulji Mehta Enterprises, Mulji Mehta Pharma, Rexasize Rasayan Industries, and Studio Disrupt.⁵ Because the requests for review were timely withdrawn and no other parties requested a review of these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is partially rescinding this review of the *Order* for these seven companies.

Application of Facts Available With Adverse Inferences

Pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act, Commerce is preliminarily relying upon facts otherwise available to determine a weighted-average dumping margin for Kumar Industries/Rudraa International (collectively Kumar)⁶ because: (1)

⁵ See GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc.’s Letter, “Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated November 1, 2021.

⁶ Rudraa International is one of the companies for which the review request was withdrawn. *Id.* In the last completed administrative review, Commerce collapsed Kumar Industries and Rudraa International. See *Glycine from India: Final Results*

Continued