

The products covered by this investigation are currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4011.10.10.10, 4011.10.10.20, 4011.10.10.30, 4011.10.10.40, 4011.10.10.50, 4011.10.10.60, 4011.10.10.70, 4011.10.50.00, 4011.20.10.05, and 4011.20.50.10. Tires meeting the scope description may also enter under the following HTSUS subheadings: 4011.90.10.10, 4011.90.10.50, 4011.90.20.10, 4011.90.20.50, 4011.90.80.10, 4011.90.80.50, 8708.70.45.30, 8708.70.45.46, 8708.70.45.48, 8708.70.45.60, 8708.70.60.30, 8708.70.60.45, and 8708.70.60.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the subject merchandise is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Investigation
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Determination*
- V. Adjustment under Section 777A(f) of the Act
- VI. Adjustments to Cash Deposit Rates for Export Subsidies
- VII. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Appropriate Adverse Facts Available (AFA) Rate for the Vietnam-Wide Entity
 - Comment 2: Selecting Kumho Tire (Vietnam) Co., Ltd. (KTV) as a Voluntary Respondent
 - Comment 3: KTV's Request to Be Excluded from the Order
 - Comment 4: Whether Kenda's Rate Should Be Based on AFA
 - Comment 5: Whether Sailun's Rate Should Be Based on AFA
 - Comment 6: Classification of U.S. Sales as Export Price (EP) or Constructed Export Price (CEP)
 - Comment 7: Surrogate Value (SV) for Ocean Freight for Sailun's Sales
 - Comment 8: SV of Bead Wire
 - Comment 9: Other Issues Raised by Sailun
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-11266 Filed 5-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-869]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From Taiwan: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that imports of passenger vehicle and light truck tires

(passenger tires) from Taiwan are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation (POI) April 1, 2019, through March 31, 2020.

DATES: Applicable May 27, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lauren Caserta or Chien-Min Yang, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4737 or (202) 482-5484, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 6, 2021, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* its preliminary affirmative determination in the LTFV investigation of passenger tires from Taiwan, in which we also postponed the final determination until May 21, 2021.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*. A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination*, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are passenger tires from Taiwan. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation, Commerce received scope comments from interested parties. Commerce issued a Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum to address these comments.³ We received comments from interested parties on the Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum, which we addressed in the Final Scope Decision

¹ See *Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Taiwan: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 86 FR 508 (January 6, 2021) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Taiwan," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum, "Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Scope Comments Decision Memorandum," dated December 29, 2020 (Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum).

Memorandum.⁴ With the exception of one revision to correct a typographical error, Commerce is not modifying the scope language as it appeared in the correction to the *Preliminary Determination*.⁵ See Appendix I for the final scope of the investigation.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice at Appendix II. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn>.

Verification

Commerce was unable to conduct on-site verification of the information relied upon in making its final determination in this investigation. However, we took additional steps in lieu of on-site verification to verify the information relied upon in making this final determination, in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).⁶

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received and our findings related to our request for information in lieu of verification, we made changes to the margin calculations for both Cheng Shin Rubber Ind. Co., Ltd.'s (Cheng Shin) and Nankang Rubber Tire Corp. Ltd. (Nankang). For a discussion of

⁴ See Memorandum, "Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Scope Comments Final Decision Memorandum," dated concurrently with this notice (Final Scope Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See *Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Correction to Preliminary Determinations in Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 86 FR 7252 (January 27, 2021).

⁶ See Commerce's Letters, "In Lieu of Verification Questionnaire for Cheng Shin Rubber Ind. Co. Ltd. in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Taiwan," dated February 25, 2021; and "In Lieu of Verification Questionnaire for Nankang Rubber Tire Corp., Ltd. in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Taiwan," dated February 24, 2021.

these changes, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

All-Others Rate

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for all other producers and exporters not individually investigated shall be equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. Pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, if the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for all exporters and producers individually examined are zero, *de minimis* or determined based entirely on facts otherwise available, Commerce may use any reasonable method to establish the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for all other producers or exporters.

In this investigation, Commerce calculated estimated weighted-average dumping margins for Cheng Shin and Nankang that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts otherwise available. Commerce calculated the all-others rate using a weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents weighted by each company’s publicly-ranged total U.S. sale values for the merchandise under consideration.⁷

Final Determination

The final estimated weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

⁷ With two respondents under examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) A weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents using each company’s publicly-ranged U.S. sale quantities for the merchandise under consideration. Commerce then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other producers and exporters. *See, e.g., Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010). As complete publicly ranged sales data was available, Commerce based the all-others rate on the publicly ranged sales data of the mandatory respondents. For a complete analysis of the data, please *see* Memorandum, “Antidumping Duty Investigation of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Taiwan: Final Determination Calculation for the All-Others Rate,” dated concurrently with this FR notice.

Exporter/producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Cheng Shin Rubber Ind. Co., Ltd	20.04
Nankang Rubber Tire Corp. Ltd	101.84
All Others	84.75

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in this final determination within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all appropriate entries of passenger tires from Taiwan, as described in Appendix I of this notice, which are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 6, 2021, the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the affirmative *Preliminary Determination*.

Pursuant to section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(d), we will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin or the estimated all-others rate, as follows: (1) The cash deposit rate for the respondents listed above will be equal to the company-specific estimated weighted-average dumping margins determined in this final determination; (2) if the exporter is not a respondent identified above, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be equal to the company-specific estimated weighted-average dumping margin established for that producer of the subject merchandise; and (3) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will be equal to the all-others estimated weighted-average dumping margin. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of the final affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Because Commerce’s final determination is affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with

material injury, by reason of imports or sales (or the likelihood of sales) of passenger tires no later than 45 days after this final determination. If the ITC determines that such injury does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated, and all cash deposits posted will be refunded and suspension of liquidation will be lifted. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, Commerce will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by Commerce, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation, as discussed above in the “Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation” section.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice will serve as a final reminder to the parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination and this notice are issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: May 21, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation is passenger vehicle and light truck tires. Passenger vehicle and light truck tires are new pneumatic tires, of rubber, with a passenger vehicle or light truck size designation. Tires covered by this investigation may be tube-type, tubeless, radial, or non-radial, and they may be intended for sale to original equipment manufacturers or the replacement market.

Subject tires have, at the time of importation, the symbol “DOT” on the sidewall, certifying that the tire conforms to applicable motor vehicle safety standards. Subject tires may also have the following prefixes or suffix in their tire size designation, which also appears on the sidewall of the tire:

Prefix designations:

P—Identifies a tire intended primarily for service on passenger cars.

LT—Identifies a tire intended primarily for service on light trucks.

Suffix letter designations:

LT—Identifies light truck tires for service on trucks, buses, trailers, and multipurpose passenger vehicles used in nominal highway service.

All tires with a “P” or “LT” prefix, and all tires with an “LT” suffix in their sidewall markings are covered by these investigations regardless of their intended use.

In addition, all tires that lack a “P” or “LT” prefix or suffix in their sidewall markings, as well as all tires that include any other prefix or suffix in their sidewall markings, are included in the scope, regardless of their intended use, as long as the tire is of a size that fits passenger cars or light trucks. Sizes that fit passenger cars and light trucks include, but are not limited to, the numerical size designations listed in the passenger car section or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, as updated annually. The scope includes all tires that are of a size that fits passenger cars or light trucks, unless the tire falls within one of the specific exclusions set out below.

Passenger vehicle and light truck tires, whether or not attached to wheels or rims, are included in the scope. However, if a subject tire is imported attached to a wheel or rim, only the tire is covered by the scope.

Specifically excluded from the scope are the following types of tires:

(1) Racing car tires; such tires do not bear the symbol “DOT” on the sidewall and may be marked with “ZR” in size designation;

(2) pneumatic tires, of rubber, that are not new, including recycled and retreaded tires;

(3) non-pneumatic tires, such as solid rubber tires;

(4) tires designed and marketed exclusively as temporary use spare tires for passenger vehicles which, in addition, exhibit each of the following physical characteristics:

(a) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire’s sidewall are listed in Table PCT–1R (“T” Type Spare Tires for Temporary Use on Passenger Vehicles) or PCT–1B (“T” Type Diagonal (Bias) Spare Tires for Temporary Use on Passenger Vehicles) of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book,

(b) the designation “T” is molded into the tire’s sidewall as part of the size designation, and,

(c) the tire’s speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed is 81 MPH or a “M” rating;

(5) tires designed and marketed exclusively as temporary use spare tires for light trucks which, in addition, exhibit each of the following physical characteristics:

(a) The tires have a 265/70R17, 255/80R17, 265/70R16, 245/70R17, 245/75R17, 265/70R18, or 265/70R18 size designation;

(b) “Temporary Use Only” or “Spare” is molded into the tire’s sidewall;

(c) the tread depth of the tire is no greater than 6.2 mm; and

(d) Uniform Tire Quality Grade Standards (“UTQG”) ratings are not molded into the tire’s sidewall with the exception of 265/70R17 and 255/80R17 which may have UTQG molded on the tire sidewall;

(6) tires designed and marketed exclusively for specialty tire (ST) use which, in addition, exhibit each of the following conditions:

(a) The size designation molded on the tire’s sidewall is listed in the ST sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book,

(b) the designation “ST” is molded into the tire’s sidewall as part of the size designation,

(c) the tire incorporates a warning, prominently molded on the sidewall, that the tire is “For Trailer Service Only” or “For Trailer Use Only”,

(d) the load index molded on the tire’s sidewall meets or exceeds those load indexes listed in the Tire and Rim Association Year Book for the relevant ST tire size, and

(e) either

(i) the tire’s speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed does not exceed 81 MPH or an “M” rating; or

(ii) the tire’s speed rating molded on the sidewall is 87 MPH or an “N” rating, and in either case the tire’s maximum pressure and maximum load limit are molded on the sidewall and either

(1) both exceed the maximum pressure and maximum load limit for any tire of the same size designation in either the passenger car or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book; or

(2) if the maximum cold inflation pressure molded on the tire is less than any cold inflation pressure listed for that size designation in either the passenger car or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, the maximum load limit molded on the tire is higher than the maximum load limit listed at that cold inflation pressure for that size designation in either the passenger car or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book;

(7) tires designed and marketed exclusively for off-road use and which, in addition, exhibit each of the following physical characteristics:

(a) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire’s sidewall are listed in the off-the-road, agricultural, industrial or ATV section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book,

(b) in addition to any size designation markings, the tire incorporates a warning, prominently molded on the sidewall, that the tire is “Not For Highway Service” or “Not for Highway Use”,

(c) the tire’s speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed does not exceed 55 MPH or a “G” rating, and

(d) the tire features a recognizable off-road tread design;

(8) Tires designed and marketed for off-road use as all-terrain-vehicle (ATV) tires or utility-terrain-vehicle (UTV) tires, and which, in addition, exhibit each of the following characteristics:

(a) the tire’s speed rating is molded on the sidewall, indicating the rated speed in MPH or a letter rating as listed by the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and the rated speed does not exceed 87 MPH or an “N” rating, and

(b) both of the following physical characteristics are satisfied:

(i) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire’s sidewall does not match any of those listed in the passenger car or light truck sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, and

(ii) The size designation and load index combination molded on the tire’s sidewall matches any of the following size designation (American standard or metric) and load index combinations:

American standard size	Metric size	Load index
26x10R12	254/70R/12	72
27x10R14	254/65R/14	73
28x10R14	254/70R/14	75
28x10R14	254/70R/14	86
30x10R14	254/80R/14	79
30x10R15	254/75R/15	78
30x10R14	254/80R/14	90
31x10R14	254/85R/14	81
32x10R14	254/90R/14	95
32x10R15	254/85R/15	83
32x10R15	254/85R/15	94
33x10R15	254/90R/15	86
33x10R15	254/90R/15	95
35x9.50R15	241/105R/15	82
35x10R15	254/100R/15	97

The products covered by this investigation are currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4011.10.10.10, 4011.10.10.20, 4011.10.10.30, 4011.10.10.40, 4011.10.10.50, 4011.10.10.60, 4011.10.10.70, 4011.10.50.00, 4011.20.10.05, and 4011.20.50.10. Tires meeting the scope description may also enter under the following HTSUS subheadings: 4011.90.10.10, 4011.90.10.50, 4011.90.20.10, 4011.90.20.50, 4011.90.80.10, 4011.90.80.50, 8708.70.45.30, 8708.70.45.46, 8708.70.45.48, 8708.70.45.60, 8708.70.60.30, 8708.70.60.45, and 8708.70.60.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the subject merchandise is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Investigation
- IV. Nankang’s Withdrawal and Request for Destruction of Business Proprietary Information
- V. Changes from the Preliminary Determination
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Utilize Cheng Shin’s Affiliate Sales for the Calculation of Normal Value
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Treat Home Market Sales as Being at the Same Level of Trade
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Grant Certain Post-Sale Price Adjustments and Inland Freight Expenses for Affiliated Sales
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Exclude Certain Alleged “Out-of-Scope”

Tires from Cheng Shin's Margin Calculation

Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Match Control Numbers in the U.S. and Home Markets Based on Similarities in Product Characteristics

Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Take Tire Category into Account in Conducting its Matching Analysis

Comment 7: Whether Commerce Should Exclude Home Market CONNUMs with Small Quantities From the Dumping Calculation

Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Remove Maxxis-branded Home Market Sales for the Calculation of Normal Value

Comment 9: Whether Commerce Should Remove Sales Not Intended for Sale in the U.S. Market from Dumping Calculation

Comment 10: Whether Commerce Should Use the A-to-A Methodology in the Final Determination and Refrain from Zeroing

Comment 11: Whether Commerce Should Allow Certain Non-Operating Income Offsets to the Reported General and Administrative (G&A) Expenses

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-11263 Filed 5-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-552-829]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of passenger vehicle and light truck tires (passenger tires) from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam).

DATES: Applicable May 27, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Romani or Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0198 or (202) 482-0410, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 10, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**.¹

¹ See *Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary*

In addition to the Government of Vietnam (GOV), the mandatory respondents in this investigation are Kumho Tire (Vietnam) Co., Ltd. (KTV) and Sailun (Vietnam) Co., Ltd. (Sailun). In the *Preliminary Determination*, and in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), Commerce aligned the final countervailable duty (CVD) determination with the final antidumping duty (AD) determination.²

A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* is found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are passenger tires from Vietnam. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation, Commerce received scope comments from interested parties. Commerce issued a Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum to address these comments.⁴ We received comments from interested parties on the Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum, which we address in the

Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination, 85 FR 71607 (November 10, 2020) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See *Preliminary Determination*, 85 FR at 71608.

³ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Memorandum, "Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Scope Comments Decision Memorandum," dated December 29, 2020 (Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum).

Final Scope Decision Memorandum.⁵ With the exception of one revision to correct a typographical error, Commerce is not modifying the scope language as it appeared in the correction to the preliminary determinations issued in the concurrent AD investigations.⁶ See Appendix I for the final scope of the investigation.

Verification

Commerce was unable to conduct on-site verification of the information relied upon in making its final determination in this investigation. However, we took additional steps in lieu of on-site verification to verify the information relied upon in making this final determination, in accordance with section 782(i) of the Act.⁷

Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received

The subsidy programs under investigation and the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this investigation are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of the issues raised by parties, to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, see Appendix II of this notice.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, Commerce determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁸ For a full

⁵ See Memorandum, "Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Scope Comments Final Decision Memorandum," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Final Scope Decision Memorandum).

⁶ See *Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Correction to Preliminary Determinations in Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 86 FR 7252 (January 27, 2021).

⁷ See Commerce's Letters, dated February 18, 2021; see also GOV's Letter, "Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: GOV's Verification Questionnaire Response," dated February 26, 2021; KTV's Letter, "Countervailing Duty Investigation of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires from Vietnam—Response to February 18 In Lieu of Verification Questionnaire," dated February 26, 2021; and Sailun's Letter, "Sailun Verification Questionnaire Response to the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires ("PVL") From Vietnam (C-552-829)," dated February 26, 2021.

⁸ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.