

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1472 (Final)]

**Difluoromethane (R–32) from China;
Revised Schedule for the Subject
Investigation**

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

DATES: October 19, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ahdia Bavari (202–205–3191), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective August 27, 2020, the Commission published its schedule for the final phase of this investigation (85 FR 55688, September 9, 2020). The Commission is revising its schedule.

The Commission’s revised dates in the schedule are as follows: Requests to appear at the hearing must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission not later than January 8, 2021. If deemed necessary, the prehearing conference will be held on January 11, 2021. The hearing will be held on January 14, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is January 21, 2021.

For further information concerning this proceeding, see the Commission’s notice cited above and the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.21 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 19, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–23460 Filed 10–22–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1203]

Certain Rolled-Edge Rigid Plastic Food Trays; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Finding Ningbo Linhua Plastic Co., Ltd. in Default; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 7) finding Ningbo Linhua Plastic Co., Ltd. (“Ningbo”), the last remaining respondent, in default. Accordingly, the Commission requests written submissions from the parties, interested government agencies, and interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding, under the schedule set forth below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amanda Fisherow, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 23, 2020, based on a complaint filed by Clearly Clean Products, LLC of South Windsor, Connecticut and Converter Manufacturing, LLC of Orwigsburg, Pennsylvania (“Complainants”). 85 FR 37689–90 (June 23, 2020). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,908,281 and 10,562,680. The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Eco Food Pak (USA), Inc. of Chino, California and Ningbo Linhua Plastic Co., Ltd. of

Fenghua, China (“Ningbo”). The Commission’s Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) also was named as a party.

The complaint and notice of investigation were served on Ningbo on July 7, 2020. On August 20, 2020, Complainants filed a motion for an order to show cause why Ningbo should not be found in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. On September 8, 2020, the ALJ ordered Ningbo to show cause why it should not be found in default and Ningbo did not file a response.

On October 6, 2020, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 7) finding Ningbo in default. The ID notes that Ningbo is the last remaining respondent and the ID terminates the investigation. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. Ningbo is in default.

Ningbo is the sole remaining respondent in this investigation. Section 337(g)(1) and Commission Rule 210.16(c) authorize the Commission to order relief against a respondent found in default, unless, after considering the public interest, it finds that such relief should not issue.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the statute authorizes issuance of, *inter alia*, (1) an exclusion order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States; and/or (2) a cease and desist order that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337–TA–360, USITC Pub. No. 2843, Comm’n Op. at 7–10 (Dec. 1994).

The statute requires the Commission to consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The public interest factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on: (1) The public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are