

flanges are made in various grades such as, but not limited to, 304, 304L, 316, and 316L (or combinations thereof). The term "stainless steel" used in this scope refers to an alloy steel containing, by actual weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. Unfinished stainless steel flanges possess the approximate shape of finished stainless steel flanges and have not yet been machined to final specification after the initial forging or like operations. These machining processes may include, but are not limited to, boring, facing, spot facing, drilling, tapering, threading, beveling, heating, or compressing. Semi-finished stainless steel flanges are unfinished stainless steel flanges that have undergone some machining processes. The scope includes six general types of flanges. They are: (1) Weld neck, generally used in butt-weld line connection; (2) threaded, generally used for threaded line connections; (3) slip-on, generally used to slide over pipe; (4) lap joint, generally used with stub-ends/buttweld line connections; (5) socket weld, generally used to fit pipe into a machine recession; and (6) blind, generally used to seal off a line. The sizes and descriptions of the flanges within the scope include all pressure classes of ASME B16.5 and range from one-half inch to twenty-four inches nominal pipe size. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A351.

The country of origin for certain forged stainless steel flanges, whether unfinished, semi-finished, or finished is the country where the flange was forged. Subject merchandise includes stainless steel flanges as defined above that have been further processed in a third country. The processing includes, but is not limited to, boring, facing, spot facing, drilling, tapering, threading, beveling, heating, or compressing, and/or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of the order if performed in the country of manufacture of the stainless steel flanges.

Merchandise subject to the order is typically imported under headings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS). While HTS subheadings and ASTM specifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2018–21732 Filed 10–4–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-908]

Sodium Hexametaphosphate From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sodium hexametaphosphate (SHMP) from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable October 5, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christian Llinas, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4877.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 19, 2008, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on SHMP from China.¹ On June 1, 2018, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on SHMP from China, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On June 8, 2018, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from ICL Specialty Products, Inc. and Innophos, Inc. (collectively, the Petitioners) as domestic interested parties, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³

On July 2, 2018, we received a complete substantive response for the review from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁴ We received no substantive responses

⁴ See Petitioners' Letter, "Sodium Hexametaphosphate (SHMP) from China: Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Order," dated July 2, 2018. from respondent interested parties with respect to the order covered by this sunset review, nor was a hearing requested. Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SHMP. For a complete description of the scope of this order, *see* the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review. including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the order was revoked, are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on SHMP from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be the weightedaverage dumping margins up to the following weighted-average dumping margin: 188.05.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary

¹ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China, 73 FR 14772 (March 19, 2008) (Order). ² See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 83

FR 25436 (June 1, 2018).

³ See Petitioners' Letter, "Sodium Hexametaphosphate from China: Notice of Intent to Participate," dated June 8, 2018.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Second Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: September 28, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. History of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
- 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
- 2. Magnitude of the Margins Likely To Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018–21730 Filed 10–4–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-067]

Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that imports of forged steel fittings from the People's Republic of China (China) are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

DATES: Applicable October 5, 2018. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine Johnson at (202) 482–4929 or Irene Gorelik at (202) 482–6905, AD/ CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 17, 2018, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Determination* and invited interested parties to comment.¹ A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum that is dated concurrently with this determination and hereby adopted by this notice.²

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *http://* enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version are identical in content.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is April 1, 2017, through September 30, 2017.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are forged steel fittings from China. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, *see* the "Scope of the Investigation," at Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation and the concurrent investigations of forged steel fittings from China (CVD), Italy and Taiwan, Commerce received numerous scope comments from interested parties. Commerce issued a Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum ³ and a Second

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Forged Steel Fittings from the People's Republic of China" (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum to the File, "Scope Comments Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determinations," dated March 7, 2018 (Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum).

Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum⁴ to address these comments. For a summary of the product coverage comments and rebuttals submitted to the records of this investigation and the concurrent investigations of forged steel fittings from China (CVD), Italy and Taiwan for consideration in the final determinations, and our accompanying discussion and analysis of them, see the Final Scope Decision Memorandum, issued on July 23, 2018, concurrent with the final determination in the LTFV investigation of forged steel fittings from Taiwan.⁵ See Appendix I for the final scope of the investigation.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice at Appendix II.

For the final determination Commerce continues to rely upon facts otherwise available, with adverse inferences (AFA), for the China-wide entity, including the single entity comprising Jiangsu Haida Pipe Fittings Group Company Ltd., Haida Pipe Co., Ltd., and Yancheng L&W International Co., Ltd. (collectively, Haida), pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.

Separate Rates

For the final determination, we continue to find that 15 exporters are entitled to a separate rate, as noted below. In the *Preliminary Determination*, we assigned, as the separate rate, the margin calculated for Both-Well (Taizhou) Steel Fittings Co., Ltd. (Both-Well), the sole mandatory respondent for which we preliminarily calculated an estimated weightedaverage dumping margin, consistent with our practice.⁶ For the final determination, we continue to assign the estimated weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Both-

⁶ See Preliminary Determination, 82 FR at 22949– 22950, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 15–16.

¹ See Forged Steel Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures, 82 FR 22948 (May 17, 2018) (Preliminary Determination) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁴ See Memorandum to the File, "Second Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum," dated May 7, 2018 (Second Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See Memorandum, "Forged Steel Fittings from China, Italy and Taiwan: Final Scope Determination Decision Memorandum," dated July 23, 2018 (Final Scope Decision Memorandum); see also, Memorandum to the File, "Placing Carbon Steel Butt Weld Pipe Fitting Scope Information Ruling on the Record," dated September 19, 2018.