

Department hereby orders the continuation of the AD orders on chlorinated isocyanurates from Spain and the PRC. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect AD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of the AD orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c)(2), the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of this continuation notice.

These five-year sunset reviews and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) and 751(d)(2) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO which may be subject to sanctions.

This five-year (sunset) review and notice are in accordance with section 751(c) and published pursuant to 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: November 23, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–28702 Filed 11–28–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-872]

Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of finished carbon steel flanges (steel flanges) from India. The period of investigation (POI) is April 1, 2015, through March 31,

2016. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: Effective November 29, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Emily Maloof or Davina Friedmann, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5649 or (202) 482– 0698, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 28, 2016, the Department published the notice of initiation of this investigation.1 For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the memorandum that is dated concurrently with this determination and hereby adopted by this notice.² A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is steel flanges from India. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, *see* Appendix I.

Scope Comments

We received no comments from interested parties regarding the scope of the investigation as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice*.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this countervailing duty (CVD investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy (*i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient) and that the subsidy is specific.³ For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination and Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 703(d)(1)(A)(i) of the Act, we calculated a CVD rate for each individuallyinvestigated producer/exporter of the subject merchandise. We preliminarily determine that countervailable subsidies are being provided with respect to the manufacture, production, or exportation of the subject merchandise. For a full description of the programs which have preliminarily determined to be countervailable, as well as those not used during the POI, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. In accordance with sections 703(d) and 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act, for companies not individually examined, we apply an "all-others" rate, which is normally calculated by weightaveraging the individual company subsidy rates of each of the companies investigated.

Under section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, the all-others rate should exclude zero and de minimis rates or any rates based entirely on facts otherwise available pursuant to section 776 of the Act. Neither of the mandatory respondents' rates in this preliminary determination were zero or de minimis or based entirely on facts otherwise available. Notwithstanding the language of section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, we have not calculated the "all-others" rate by weight-averaging the rates of the two individually investigated respondents, because doing so risks disclosure of proprietary information. Instead, we have calculated the all-others rate using a simple average of the final rates for the two mandatory company respondents.4

¹ See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation, 81 FR 49625 (July 28, 2016) (Initiation Notice).

² See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Affirmative Determination: Countervailing Duty Investigation of Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India," dated November 21, 2016 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

³ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁴ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at "CALCULATION OF THE ALL—OTHERS RATE" (for further explanation of the business propretiary information concerns); see also Memorandum to the File, "Countervailing Duty Investigation of Finished Carbon Steel Flanges: Preliminary Determination Margin Calculation for All-Others," dated concurrently with this memorandum.

We preliminarily determine the countervailable subsidy rates to be:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
Norma (India) Limited, USK Exports Private Limited, UMA Shanker Khandelwal & Co., and Bansidhar Chiranjilal	2.76 3.66 3.21

In accordance with sections 703(d)(1)(B) and (2) of the Act, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of all entries of steel flanges from India that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, and to require a cash deposit for such entries of merchandise in the amounts indicated above.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(1) of the Act, we intend to verify the information submitted by the respondents prior to making our final determination.

U.S. International Trade Commission

In accordance with section 703(f) of the Act, we will notify the *U.S.* International Trade Commission (ITC) of our determination. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all nonprivileged and non-proprietary information relating to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order, without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

In accordance with section 705(b)(2) of the Act, if our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will make its final determination within 45 days after the Department makes its final determination.

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose calculations performed for this preliminary determination to the parties within five days of the date of public announcement of this determination in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the final verification report is issued in this proceeding, and rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be

submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.⁵ A table of contents, list of authorities used, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2). This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically-filed request must be received successfully, and in its entirety, by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number; the number of participants; and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a date, time, and specific location to be determined. Parties will be notified of the date, time, and location of any hearing. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 703(f) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: November 23, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation covers finished carbon steel flanges. Finished carbon steel flanges differ from unfinished carbon steel flanges (also known as carbon steel flange forgings) in that they have undergone further processing after forging, including, but not limited to, beveling, bore threading, center or step boring, face machining, taper boring, machining ends or surfaces, drilling bolt holes, and/or deburring

or shot blasting. Any one of these postforging processes suffices to render the forging into a finished carbon steel flange for purposes of this investigation. However, mere heat treatment of a carbon steel flange forging (without any other further processing after forging) does not render the forging into a finished carbon steel flange for purposes of this investigation. While these finished carbon steel flanges are generally manufactured to specification ASME 816.5 or ASME 816.47 series A or series 8, the scope is not limited to flanges produced under those specifications. All types of finished carbon steel flanges are included in the scope regardless of pipe size (which may or may not be expressed in inches of nominal pipe size), pressure class (usually, but not necessarily, expressed in pounds of pressure, e.g., 150, 300, 400, 600, 900, 1500, 2500, etc.), type of face (e.g., flat face, full face, raised face, etc.), configuration (e.g., weld neck, slip on, socket weld, lap joint, threaded, etc.), wall thickness (usually, but not necessarily, expressed in inches), normalization, or whether or not heat treated. These carbon steel flanges either meet or exceed the requirements of the ASTM A105, ASTM A694, ASTM A181, ASTM A350 and ASTM A707 standards (or comparable foreign specifications). The scope includes any flanges produced to the above-referenced ASTM standards as currently stated or as may be amended. The term "carbon steel" under this scope is steel in which: (a) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements: (b) The carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and (c) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, as indicated:

(i) 0.87 percent of aluminum;(ii) 0.0105 percent of boron;(iii) 10.10 percent of chromium;(iv) 1.55 percent of columbium;

(v) 3.10 percent of copper;

(vi) 0.38 percent of lead; (vii) 3.04 percent of manganese;

(vii) 2.05 percent of molybdenum;

(ix) 20.15 percent of nickel; (x) 1.55 percent of niobium;

(xi) 0.20 percent of nitrogen;(xii) 0.21 percent of phosphorus;

(xiii) 3.10 percent of silicon; (xiv) 0.21 percent of sulfur;

(xv) 1.05 percent of titanium;

(xvi) 4.06 percent of tungsten; (xvii) 0.53 percent of vanadium; or

(xvii) 0.53 percent of vanadium; or (xviii) 0.015 percent of zirconium.

Finished carbon steel flanges are currently classified under subheadings 7307.91.5010 and 7307.91.5050 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). They may also be entered under HTSUS subheadings 7307.91.5030 and 7307.91.5070. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for

 $^{^5\,}See$ 19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope Comments

IV. Scope of the Investigation

V. Alignment

VI. Injury Test

VII. Subsidies Valuation

VIII. Loan Benchmark and Interest Rates

IX. Use of Facts Otherwise Available

X. Analysis of Programs

XI. Calculation of All-Others Rate

XII. International Trade Commission

XIII. Disclosure and Public Comment

XIV. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2016–28704 Filed 11–28–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-844]

Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate From the Federal Republic of Germany: Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 14, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the Preliminary Determination of the antidumping duty investigation of certain carbon and alloy steel cut-to-length plate (CTL plate) from the Federal Republic of Germany (Germany). The Department is amending the Preliminary Determination of the investigation to correct three ministerial errors.

DATES: Effective November 29, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ross Belliveau or David J. Goldberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4952 or (202) 482–4136, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 14, 2016, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Determination*

of CTL plate from Germany. On November 14, 2016, Nucor Corporation (Nucor), a petitioner in this investigation, alleged that the Department made significant ministerial errors in the *Preliminary Determination*. ²

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is CTL plate from Germany. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, see the "Scope of the Investigation," in Appendix I of this notice.

Significant Ministerial Error

A ministerial error is defined in 19 CFR 351.224(f) as "an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." Further, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that the Department "will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any significant ministerial error by amending the preliminary determination." A significant ministerial error is defined as a ministerial error, the correction of which, singly or in combination with other errors, would result in: (1) A change of at least five absolute percentage points in, but not less than 25 percent of, the weighted-average dumping margin calculated in the original (erroneous) preliminary determination; or (2) a difference between a weighted-average dumping margin of zero or de minimis and a weighted-average dumping margin of greater than de minimis or vice versa.3

Ministerial Error Allegations

Nucor alleges that the Department made three ministerial errors in its calculation of the preliminary determination margin for Ilsenburger Grobblech GmbH, Salzgitter Mannesmann Grobblech GmbH, Salzgitter Flachstahl GmbH, and Salzgitter Mannesmann International GmbH (collectively, Salzgitter):

 In making the adjustment to U.S. price for reported freight revenue and capping that adjustment by the reported freight expense, the Department did not include freight revenue reported as a billing adjustment in the freight revenue cap.

- The Department recalculated U.S. credit expenses incorrectly by deducting freight revenue from the U.S. price used in the calculation. However, the reported U.S. price did not include freight revenue.
- The Department made an adjustment to U.S. price for inventory carrying expenses without converting the reported amount from euros to U.S. dollars.

We agree that the alleged errors were made. Moreover, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(g)(2), these ministerial errors are significant because the correction of these errors results in a change from a weighted-average dumping margin of zero or *de minimis* to a weighted-average dumping margin of greater than *de minimis*. Therefore, we are correcting the ministerial errors alleged by Nucor and we are amending our preliminary determination accordingly.⁴

Amended Preliminary Determination

We are amending the preliminary determination of sales at less-than-fairvalue for CTL plate from Germany to reflect the correction of ministerial errors made in the margin calculation of that determination for Salzgitter. In addition, because we calculated a de minimis weighted-average dumping margin for Salzgitter in the Preliminary Determination, the preliminary "All-Others" Rate was based on the estimated weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Dillinger, the other mandatory respondent in this investigation. Thus, we are also amending the "All-Others" rate to account for the change in the Salzgitter margin. Accordingly, we are amending the calculation of the all-others rate to base it on the weighted-average of the margins calculated for Dillinger and Salzgitter using publicly-ranged data. Because we cannot apply our normal methodology of calculating a weightedaverage margin due to requests to protect business-proprietary information, we find this rate to be the best proxy of the actual weightedaverage margin determined for these respondents.5 As a result of the

¹ See Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to-Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination, 81 FR 79446 (November 14, 2016) (Preliminary Determination).

² See letter from Nucor entitled, "Nucor's Ministerial Error Comments Regarding Salzgitter," dated November 14, 2016 (Nucor Letter).

³ See 19 CFR 351.224(g)(1) and (2).

⁴ See Memorandum to the File entitled "Amended Preliminary Determination Margin Calculation for Salzgitter" (Amended Preliminary Determination Memorandum) for further discussion of our calculations for this amended preliminary determination.

⁵ See, e.g., Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 80 FR 61362, 61363 (October 13, 2015). For further discussion of the amended calculation of the all-others rate, see Amended Preliminary Determination Memorandum.