and bond. On November 3, 2015, NVIDIA, Respondents, and the Office of Unfair Import Investigations filed responses to the petitions and contingent petitions. Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ID, the petition for review, the contingent petition thereto, and the respective responses, the Commission has determined not to review the ID.

On September 24, 2015, NVIDIA filed an Unopposed Motion to Terminate the Investigation as to Respondent Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC. We have reviewed the motion, and it is granted.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42 46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42 46).

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 14, 2015.

#### Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2015–31816 Filed 12–17–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-125 (Fourth Review)]

### Potassium Permanganate From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** Effective Date: December 7, 2015

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Szustakowski ((202) 205–3169), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the

Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On December 7, 2015, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (80 FR 52793, September 1, 2015) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate.¹ The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.² Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on December 28, 2015, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>3</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before January 5, 2016 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief

written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by January 5, 2016. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. Please be aware that the Commission's rules with respect to filing have changed. The most recent amendments took effect on July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission's Web site at http:// edis.usitc.gov.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 14, 2015.

#### Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2015–31809 Filed 12–17–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-946]

Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof; Commission's Determination to Review an Initial Determination in Part and, on Review, To Affirm a Finding of a Violation of Section 337; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review-in-part the initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on October 28, 2015,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}\,{\rm Chairman}$  Meredith M. Broadbent voted to conduct a full review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the response submitted by Carus Corporation to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).