

issued thereunder; any regulation, license, or order issued under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701–1706); 18 U.S.C. 793, 794 or 798; section 4(b) of the Internal Security Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)), or section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778).” 15 CFR 766.25(a); *see also* Section 11(h) of the EAA, 50 U.S.C. app. § 2410(h). The denial of export privileges under this provision may be for a period of up to 10 years from the date of the conviction. 15 CFR 766.25(d); *see also* 50 U.S.C. app. § 2410(h). In addition, Section 750.8 of the Regulations states that the Bureau of Industry and Security’s Office of Exporter Services may revoke any Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”) licenses previously issued in which the person had an interest in at the time of his conviction.

I have received notice of Zhang’s conviction for violating the IEEPA, and have provided notice and an opportunity for Zhang to make a written submission to BIS, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Regulations. I have received a written submission from Zhang. However, the submission was not in English nor did it include an English translation. Subsequently, I notified Zhang that if he would like BIS to consider his written submission, he should resubmit the submission in English or provide an English translation. BIS did not receive a response in English or otherwise.

Based upon my review and consultations with BIS’s Office of Export Enforcement, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Zhang’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of 10 years from the date of Zhang’s conviction. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act or Regulations in which Zhang had an interest at the time of his conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby
Ordered

I. Until December 10, 2023, Ming Suan Zhang, with a last known address at: Inmate Number: 00819–005, Moshannon Valley, Correctional Institution, 555 Geo Drive, Philipsburg, PA 16866, and when acting for or on behalf of Zhang, his representatives, assigns, agents or employees (the “Denied Person”), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the

Regulations, including, but not limited to:

- A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;
- B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or
- C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business

organization related to Zhang by affiliation, ownership, control or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order if necessary to prevent evasion of the Order.

IV. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until December 10, 2023.

V. In accordance with part 756 of the Regulations, Zhang may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of part 756 of the Regulations.

VI. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to Zhang. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Issued this 15th day of September, 2014.

Karen H. Nies-Vogel,

Acting Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 2014–22421 Filed 9–19–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–991]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates From the People’s Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination; 2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) published the *Preliminary Determination of the countervailing duty* (“CVD”) investigation of chlorinated isocyanurates (“isos”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) on February 24, 2014.¹ The Department determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of isos from the PRC. For information on the estimated subsidy rates, *see* the “Suspension of Liquidation” section of this notice. The period of investigation is January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 22, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Renkey (Kangtai) or Paul Walker (Jiheng), AD/CVD Operations,

¹ *See Countervailing Duty Investigation of Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Determination and Alignment of Final Determination with Final Antidumping Determination*, 79 FR 10097 (February 24, 2014) (“*Preliminary Determination*”).

Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202.482.2312, or 202.482.0413, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the *Preliminary Determination* on February 24, 2014.² Petitioners in this case are Clearon Corp. and Occidental Chemical Corporation. Between May 22 and July 18, 2014, we conducted a verification of the questionnaire responses of the Government of the PRC (“GOC”), Hebei Jiheng Chemicals Co., Ltd. (“Jiheng”)³ and Juancheng Kangtai Chemical Co., Ltd. (“Kangtai”). Between July 31, 2014 and August 5, 2014, interested parties submitted case and rebuttal briefs. A full discussion of the issues raised by parties for this final determination may be found in the I&D Memo, which is hereby adopted by this notice.⁴ The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed I&D Memo and the electronic versions are identical in content.

Scope Comments

In accordance with the preamble to the Department’s regulations and as stated in the *Initiation*,⁵ we set aside a period of time for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. We encouraged all parties to submit

² *Id.*

³ Including its cross-owned affiliates Hebei Jiheng Baikang Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (“Baikang”) and the Hebei Jiheng Group Co., Ltd. (the “Jiheng Group”).

⁴ See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Countervailing Duty Investigation of Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People’s Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination,” dated concurrently with this notice (“I&D Memo”).

⁵ See *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997); *Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 78 FR 59001 (September 25, 2013) (“*Initiation*”).

comments within 20 calendar days of publication of the *Initiation*. No parties submitted scope comments in this investigation.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are chlorinated isocyanurates. Chlorinated isocyanurates are derivatives of cyanuric acid, described as chlorinated s-triazine triones. There are three primary chemical compositions of chlorinated isocyanurates: (1) Trichloroisocyanuric acid (“TCCA”) (Cl₃(NCO)₃), (2) sodium dichloroisocyanurate (dihydrate) (NaCl₂(NCO)₃ X 2H₂O), and (3) sodium dichloroisocyanurate (anhydrous) (NaCl₂(NCO)₃). Chlorinated isocyanurates are available in powder, granular and solid (*e.g.*, tablet or stick) forms.

Chlorinated isocyanurates are currently classifiable under subheadings 2933.69.6015, 2933.69.6021, 2933.69.6050, 3808.50.4000, 3808.94.5000, and 3808.99.9500 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). The tariff classification 2933.69.6015 covers sodium dichloroisocyanurates (anhydrous and dihydrate forms) and trichloroisocyanuric acid. The tariff classifications 2933.69.6021 and 2933.69.6050 represent basket categories that include chlorinated isocyanurates and other compounds including an unfused triazine ring. The tariff classifications 3808.50.4000, 3808.94.5000 and 3808.99.9500 cover disinfectants that include chlorinated isocyanurates. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received

The subsidy programs under investigation and the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this investigation are discussed in the I&D Memo. A list of the issues that parties raised, and to which we responded in the I&D Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix.

Use of Adverse Facts Available

For purposes of this final determination, we relied on facts available, and drawn an adverse inference, in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), in determining the countervailability of the GOC’s provision of electricity. The GOC provided no provincial-specific

information in response to questions from the Department in its initial questionnaire response and in a supplemental questionnaire response. Because of the GOC’s failure to respond to the Department’s questions, necessary information regarding the GOC’s provision of electricity is not on the record. Thus, we determine that we must rely on facts otherwise available in this final determination in analyzing this program.⁶ Moreover, we find that the GOC failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of its ability and, consequently, an adverse inference is warranted in the application of facts available.⁷ As adverse facts available, we determined that that the GOC’s provision of electricity constitutes a financial contribution within the meaning of section 771(5)(D) of the Act and is specific within the meaning of section 771(5A) of the Act. We also relied on an adverse inference in selecting the benchmark for determining the existence and amount of the benefit. For a full discussion of this issue, see the I&D Memo at “Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences” and Comment 1.

We also relied on facts available, and drew an adverse inference, in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, to determine the subsidy rate for the Jiheng Group’s electricity for less than adequate remuneration. The Jiheng Group failed to report its electricity purchases for one of its branch companies, Jiheng Lantian Chemical Branch Company (“Lantian”). Because of the Jiheng Group’s failure to report these purchases, necessary information regarding Lantian’s electricity purchases are not on the record. Thus, we determine that we must rely on facts otherwise available in this final determination in calculating the Jiheng Group’s CVD rate.⁸ Moreover, we find that the Jiheng Group failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of its ability and, consequently, an adverse inference is warranted in the application of facts available.⁹ As adverse facts available, we inferred that Lantian’s purchases of

⁶ See sections 776(a)(1) and (a)(2)(A) of the Act (stating that the Department may make a determination based on facts available if “(1) necessary information is not available on the record” or “(2) an interested party” “(A) withholds information that has been requested” by the Department).

⁷ See section 776(b) of the Act (permitting the Department to “use an inference that is adverse to the interests of the party in selecting from among the facts otherwise available” if “an interested party has failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of its ability to comply with a request for information” from the Department).

⁸ See sections 776(a)(1) and (a)(2)(A) of the Act.

⁹ See section 776(b) of the Act.

electricity occurred at the lowest possible rate, and that the benchmark used to calculate the benefit is from the high peak rate. For a full discussion of this issue, see the I&D Memo at “Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences” and Comment 2.

In accordance with section 705(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act, we calculated a rate for each company respondent. Section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act states that, for companies not individually investigated, we will determine an “all others” rate equal to the weighted-average countervailable subsidy rates established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* countervailable subsidy rates, and any rates determined entirely under section 776 of the Act.

Notwithstanding the language of section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, we have not calculated the “all others” rate by weight averaging the rates of Jiheng and Kangtai because doing so risks disclosure of proprietary information. Therefore, we calculated a simple average of Jiheng’s and Kangtai’s rates.¹⁰ Since both Jiheng and Kangtai received countervailable export subsidies and the “all others” rate is an average based on the individually investigated respondents, the “all others” rate includes export subsidies.

We determine the total estimated net countervailable subsidy rates to be:

Company	Subsidy rate
Hebei Jiheng Chemicals Co., Ltd.	20.06
Juancheng Kangtai Chemical Co., Ltd	1.55
All Others	10.81

Suspension of Liquidation

As a result of our *Preliminary Determination* and pursuant to section 703(d) of the Act, we instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise from the PRC that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 24, 2014, the date of the publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, we issued instructions to CBP to discontinue the suspension of liquidation for CVD purposes for subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after June 24, 2014, but to continue the suspension of

¹⁰ See, e.g., *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the Republic of Turkey: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination*, 79 FR 41964, 41965 (July 18, 2014).

liquidation of all entries from February 24, 2014, through June 23, 2014.

If the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) issues a final affirmative injury determination, we will issue a CVD order and reinstate the suspension of liquidation under section 706(a) of the Act, and we will require a cash deposit of estimated CVDs for such entries of merchandise in the amounts indicated above. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury, does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated and all estimated duties deposited or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or canceled.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 705(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our determination. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all non-privileged and non-proprietary information related to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order (“APO”), without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

In the event that the ITC issues a final negative injury determination, this notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 705(d) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 8, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—I&D Memo

Comment 1: Appropriate High Peak, Peak, Normal and Valley Electricity Benchmarks
 Comment 2: Jiheng’s Electricity Consumption
 Comment 3: Kangtai’s Electricity Consumption

Comment 4: Specificity Issue for the Provision of Urea for Less than Adequate Remuneration

[FR Doc. 2014–22501 Filed 9–19–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–018]

Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 22, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kabir Archuletta, Office V, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On August 26, 2014, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) received an antidumping duty (“AD”) petition concerning imports of boltless steel shelving units prepackaged for sale (“boltless steel shelving”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), officially filed in proper form on behalf of the Edsal Manufacturing Company, Inc. (“Petitioner”).¹ The AD Petition was accompanied by a countervailing duty (“CVD”) petition concerning imports of boltless steel shelving from the PRC. On August 27, August 28, and September 9, 2014, the Department requested additional information and clarification of certain areas of the Petition.² On September 4 and 11, 2014,

¹ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Petitioner “Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Petition” (August 26, 2014) (“Petition”).

² See Letter to Petitioner from Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office V “Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale from the People’s Republic of China: Supplemental Questions” (August 27, 2014); Letter to Petitioner from Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office V “Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties on Imports of Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale from the People’s Republic of China: Supplemental Questions” (August 28, 2014); Memo to the File from Vicki Flynn, Senior Import Policy Analyst “Phone Call with Counsel to Petitioner” (September 9, 2014).