

northwestern North Dakota. The Project would supply water to specific delivery points. Each community or rural water system would be responsible for connecting to the distribution line and delivering water through their water system to end users.

The Draft SEIS evaluates the construction and operation of the components required to complete the proposed action (i.e., the Project). The purpose of the Project is to provide a reliable source of high quality water to communities and rural water systems in northwestern North Dakota for municipal, rural, and industrial uses; the Project is sized to serve projected population growth up to the year 2060. The water provided by the Project would be treated to meet the primary drinking water standards established by the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Project construction began in April 2002 after Reclamation completed an environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact. The Province of Manitoba, Canada, filed a lawsuit in October 2002 against the U.S. Department of the Interior in the U.S. District Court in Washington, DC. The Province challenged the adequacy of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact and requested an injunction prohibiting expenditure of federal funds on the Project.

In 2005 the U.S. District Court ordered Reclamation to revisit the finding of no significant impact after completing further environmental analysis. The order stated that additional analyses should consider potential impacts associated with not fully treating Missouri River water at its source, as well as the impacts of pipeline leaks and possible failure of water treatment systems. The court also partially denied the plaintiff's request for an injunction, allowing Project construction to continue with some restrictions. In response to the court order, Reclamation prepared an environmental impact statement (EIS) on water treatment in consultation with other federal, tribal, state and local government agencies, which also included public input. The EIS evaluated a wide range of methods for treating water from Lake Sakakawea in the Missouri River basin prior to conveyance of treated water via buried pipeline to users within the Hudson Bay basin. The EIS also evaluated environmental impacts that could occur due to pipeline leaks and failure of the water treatment systems. A Final EIS on Water Treatment was published in 2008, and Reclamation signed a Record of Decision in 2009.

Shortly thereafter, the Province of Manitoba filed a supplemental complaint contending the Final EIS on Water Treatment was insufficient. The State of Missouri also filed a complaint against the U.S. Department of the Interior and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in the same District Court. The State of Missouri alleged Reclamation's Final EIS was insufficient and that the Corps of Engineers failed to complete a separate National Environmental Policy Act assessment of the Project. These two complaints were combined by the District Court. In March 2010, the court remanded the case to Reclamation and stated that the injunction imposed in 2005 remained in effect. The court's remand focused on two specific issues: (1) Cumulative impacts of water withdrawals on Lake Sakakawea and on the Missouri River, and (2) the consequences of transferring potentially invasive species into the Hudson Bay basin. This Draft SEIS evaluates these issues, takes a hard look at potential impacts to other resources, examines the purpose and need for the Project, and evaluates a full range of alternatives to meet the purpose and need.

The geographic scope of analysis varies by resource but generally covers the Missouri and Souris river basins. The geographic scope for the aquatic invasive species analysis extends into Canada as directed by the court. The Hudson Bay basin, which includes Canada's Lake Winnipeg and the surrounding communities, is within the scope of study. The Lake Winnipeg area is included because the Souris River flows north into Manitoba where it meets the Assiniboine River, which flows into the Red River and eventually terminates in Lake Winnipeg.

#### Authority

The Project was authorized by the Garrison Diversion Reformulation Act of 1986 and the Dakota Water Resources Act of 2000 as part of the Municipal, Rural, and Industrial (MR&I) Grant Program.

#### Public Review of Draft SEIS

Copies of the Draft SEIS are available for public review at the following locations:

1. Bureau of Reclamation, Dakotas Area Office, 304 East Broadway Avenue, Bismarck, ND 58501.
2. Bureau of Reclamation, Great Plains Regional Office, 2021 4th Avenue North, Billings, MT 59101.
3. Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver, CO 80225.

4. Natural Resources Library, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Main Interior Building, Washington, DC 20240-0001.

5. Bismarck Public Library, 515 North 5th Street, Bismarck, ND 58501.

6. Bottineau City Hall, 115 West 6th Street, Bottineau, ND 58318.

7. Minot Public Library, 516 2nd Avenue SW., Minot, ND 58701.

8. Mohall Public Library, 115 Main Street West, Mohall, ND 58761.

9. North Dakota State Library, 604 East Boulevard Avenue, Bismarck, ND 58505.

#### Special Assistance for the Public Hearing

If special assistance is required at the public hearing, please contact Ms. Patience Hurley, Bureau of Reclamation, Public Affairs Office, at [phurley@usbr.gov](mailto:phurley@usbr.gov). Please notify Ms. Hurley as far in advance as possible to enable Reclamation to secure the needed services. If a request cannot be honored, the requestor will be notified.

#### Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: June 19, 2014.

**John F. Soucy,**

*Deputy Regional Director, Great Plains Region.*

[FR Doc. 2014-15106 Filed 6-26-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

---

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-451 and 731-TA-1126-1127 (Review)]**

### Lightweight Thermal Paper From China and Germany; Scheduling of Full Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty and

countervailing duty orders on lightweight thermal paper from China and Germany would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B). For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 19, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Nathanael N. Comly (202–205–3174), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background.*—On January 23, 2014, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year review were such that a full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (79 FR 6218, February 3, 2014). A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

*Participation in the reviews and public service list.*—Persons, including industrial users of the subject merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in these reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11 of the Commission's rules, by 45 days after publication of this notice. A party that filed a notice of appearance following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not file an additional notice of appearance. The Secretary will maintain a public

service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

*Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.*—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these reviews available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the reviews, provided that the application is made by 45 days after publication of this notice. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined by 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the reviews. A party granted access to BPI following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not reapply for such access. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

*Staff report.*—The prehearing staff report in the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on Wednesday, October 8, 2014, and a public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.64 of the Commission's rules.

*Hearing.*—The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with the reviews beginning at 9:30 a.m. on Thursday, October 30, 2014, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Requests to appear at the hearing should be filed in writing with the Secretary to the Commission on or before Wednesday, October 22, 2014. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the hearing. All parties and nonparties desiring to appear at the hearing and make oral presentations should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on Friday, October 24, 2014, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), 207.24, and 207.66 of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony *in camera* no later than 7 business days prior to the date of the hearing.

*Written submissions.*—Each party to the reviews may submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.65 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is Monday, October 20, 2014. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with

their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.67 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is Monday, November 10, 2014. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the reviews may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the reviews on or before Monday, November 10, 2014. On Tuesday, December 09, 2014, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before Thursday, December 11, 2014, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.68 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's Handbook on E-Filing, available on the Commission's Web site at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, elaborates upon the Commission's rules with respect to electronic filing.

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff. In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** These reviews is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 23, 2014.

**Jennifer D. Rohrbach,**  
*Supervisory Attorney.*

[FR Doc. 2014–15097 Filed 6–26–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**