# PURCHASER'S QUESTIONNAIRE LOW ENRICHED URANIUM FROM FRANCE

# Return completed questionnaire to: UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Office of Investigations, Room 615 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436

So as to be received by the Commission by no later than August 3, 2007

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its antidumping review concerning low enriched uranium ("LEU") from France (inv. No. 731-TA-909 (Review)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory, and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)). Further information on this questionnaire can be obtained from Kelly Clark (202-205-3166).

Address						
City	State Zip code	e				
World Wide Web address						
Has your firm purchased LEU (as defined in the time since January 1, 2004?	instruction booklet) from <u>any</u> source (do	mestic or foreign) at any				
NO (Sign the certification below and promp	NO (Sign the certification below and promptly return only this page of the questionnaire to the Commission)					
<del></del>	complete all parts of the questionnaire, and re to be received by the date indicated above)	eturn the entire				
that the information herein supplied in response ef and understand that the information submitted ing this certification I also grant consent for t	is subject to audit and verification by the he Commission, and its employees and	e Commission. d contract personnel, to use				
that the information herein supplied in response ef and understand that the information submitted	to this questionnaire is complete and co is subject to audit and verification by the the Commission, and its employees and t this investigation in any other import-i you do not consent to such use, please no connaire response and throughout this are acting in the capacity of Commiss ceedings for which this information is su	e Commission.  d contract personnel, to use injury investigations conducted the certification according investigation may be used by ion employees, for developing the based or in internal audits				
that the information herein supplied in response ef and understand that the information submitted ing this certification I also grant consent for the tion provided in this questionnaire and throughout mission on the same or similar merchandise. (If you will be supplyed that information submitted in this question, its employees, and contract personnel who ming the records of this investigation or related protations relating to the programs and operations of	to this questionnaire is complete and co is subject to audit and verification by the the Commission, and its employees and t this investigation in any other import-i you do not consent to such use, please no connaire response and throughout this are acting in the capacity of Commiss ceedings for which this information is su	e Commission.  d contract personnel, to use injury investigations conducte ote the certification according investigation may be used by ion employees, for developing ubmitted, or in internal audits Appendix 3. I understand the				

E-mail address

#### PART I.--GENERAL QUESTIONS

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 30 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436.

(a) Please report below the ac the reply to this questionnaire	•	l and the cost to your f	irm of preparing
the repry to this questionnaire		hours	dollars
(b) We are interested in any c or the clarity of specific quest the above address.			
Provide the name and address instruction booklet for reporti stock exchange and trading sy	ng guidelines). If your firm i	•	
Is your firm owned, in whole	or in part, by any other firm?		
☐ No ☐ YesList th	e following information.		
Firm name	Address	Extent of owner	<u>ership</u>
	_		
	_		

☐ No ☐ YesList the following information.			
Firm name		Address	<u>Affiliation</u>
		ed firms, either domestic o	or foreign, which are engaged in
	LEU?	ed firms, either domestic of the following information.	or foreign, which are engaged in
production of	LEU?		

I-6.	Which of the following best describes your firm as a purchaser of LEU (check all that apply, noting the specific end uses if known)?
	Electric utility ()
	Nuclear fuel-assembly fabricator ()
	Enricher ()
	Trader/broker ()
	Other (specify) ()
I-7.	(a) If your firm is a trader/broker/reseller of LEU, what are the major types of consumers to which you sell LEU?
	(b) Do you compete for sales to your customers with the manufacturers or importers from which you purchase LEU?
	ASE ANSWER THE REMAINING QUESTIONS IN PART I ONLY IF YOUR FIRM IS A U.S. CTRIC UTILITY THAT USES URANIUM AS ITS FUEL; OTHERWISE, SKIP TO PART II.
I-8.	Please discuss below your electric utility's reload cycle, explaining, as a minimum, (1) whether you change all of the reactor's fuel assemblies at once or some portion (identify the number replaced out of the total number), (2) the frequency (in months) of your reload cycle, (3) the extent to which you can extend or reduce the reload frequency, (4) the extent to which the costs of uranium products/services affect your reload cycle, and (5) any changes that occurred in the frequency of your reload cycle during January 2002 through June 2007 and any you anticipate during the remainder of 2007 and 2008.

steetherty shu	t down during the reload?
How does the	plant meet its customers' electricity demand during this reload-shutdown period?
	erage enrichment level in the core designs of your nuclear fuel changed between and June 2007? If yes, please specify the changes and explain the reason(s) for sur YesPlease explain.
and whether he capacity factor	plain what costs and benefits are achieved from higher enrichment levels in the LE igher levels are necessary and sufficient for longer reload cycles and for higher rs. Also discuss whether your reactors can accept any enrichment level of LEU, a enrichment level of LEU, or some range of enrichment levels of LEU; please explany.
reload such th	ably design specifications (other than enrichment levels) generally change at each at the quantity of uranium in a reload for each of your plant's reactors vary? If yes the magnitude of the changes and explain the reason(s) for such changes.  YesPlease explain.

I-13.	(a) Please discuss how the following parameters have changed during January 2002 to June 2007 and how these parameters are expected to change in the foreseeable future; specify time periods and reasons for any changes.
	Capacity factor
	Tails assay (percent)
	Fuel design/burn up
	Reactor power
	Overfeeding/underfeeding
	(b) Please describe the impact of these parameter changes on your firm's production, sales, and profitability.
I-14.	Are any of your plant's nuclear reactors able to use mixed oxide (MOX) or convert to use MOX as its fuel? If yes, please estimate the cost and time required to convert your fuel over to MOX and the reasons why you might switch from uranium to MOX. In addition, please indicate any plans your firm may have for the switch to MOX and note the time period and reasons for such a change. Also indicate and describe regulatory requirements that need to be met in switching over to MOX and it your firm has considered other alternatives.
	☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain.

#### PART II.--PURCHASES

Unless otherwise indicated, please answer all questions in the remainder of this questionnaire based on your firm's actual market experience in purchasing LEU, either via a SWU contract (purchase of enrichment) or as enriched uranium product (EUP), during January 2002 to June 2007. If your response differs by purchase of enrichment or EUP, by category of purchase contract, or by country of enrichment/origin, please explain in your response or attach a separate response. Country of origin should be based on the origin as specified in the shipping documents and not based on the location of the supplier; if the country of origin is unknown, please report the name of the supplier. Please respond fully to the questions and attach additional discussion as needed; identify attached responses with the question number.

NOTE: EUP is LEU that is sold or purchased where the customer does not provide any natural UF<sub>6</sub>.

NOTE: Nonsubject countries/suppliers of LEU refer to foreign countries/suppliers other than France.

Unless otherwise specified, U.S. delivery/shipment of LEU to U.S. customers, commonly U.S. electric utilities, is normally triggered by a BOOK TRANSFER to the customer. This book transfer may occur at the same time as the physical transport of the LEU, usually to a U.S. fuel-assembly fabricator, but frequently occurs some time after this physical transport (e.g., LEU is not physically transported to the U.S. electric utility's location). On the other hand, if your firm imports LEU and is the end user, (the latter commonly an electric utility), such imports constitute delivery and would normally be physically transported directly to the designated fuel-assembly fabricator. Requests for information in this questionnaire regarding U.S. delivery/shipment of LEU to your firm refers to material that has been book-transferred to your account (during the period requested) at a designated facility either inside or outside the United States.

II-1.	Indicate below the countries for which since January 1, 2002 from these country.		
	United States	☐ Enrichment	EUP
	France	☐ Enrichment	☐ EUP
	Other (Russia)	☐ Enrichment	☐ EUP
	Other (identify:)	☐ Enrichment	☐ EUP
	Other (identify:)	☐ Enrichment	☐ EUP
	Other (identify:)	☐ Enrichment	☐ EUP

# II-2. (a) U.S. ELECTRIC UTILITY PURCHASES OF LEU VIA **ENRICHMENT CONTRACTS** (PURCHASERS PROVIDED THE NATURAL UF<sub>6</sub> FEEDSTOCK):

Report, as indicated below, the total quantity and value of LEU delivered to U.S. electric utilities during January 2002 through June 2007 that your firm purchased on an enrichment basis from USEC's domestic production, U.S. importers, or other sources, and that which is imported directly. Report separately by the specified country and report only those purchases of LEU where your firm provided the natural UF6 feedstock. Report quantities in the number of contracted SWUs and, if possible, the kgs of uranium in the LEU (if the reported data are estimates, please mark them as such). Report the total value of the LEU and the value of the SWUs in U.S. dollars. All reported values should be f.o.b. the U.S. fabricator. Also report, where indicated, the annual average product and tails assays.

(Quantity in SWUs and kgs of U or enriched U and value in U.S. dollars)							
	Calendar years				Jan-		
Country of origin:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
United States:			1			<b>T</b>	
Quantity (SWUs)							
Quantity (kgs of U)							
Total value of LEU							
SWU value							
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
France:							
Quantity (SWUs)							
Quantity (kgs of U)							
Total value of LEU							
SWU value							
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
Russia:							
Quantity (SWUs)							
Quantity (kgs of U)							
Total value of LEU							
SWU value							
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
All other countries:1		•		•	•		
Quantity (SWUs)							
Quantity (kgs of U)							-
Total value of LEU							
SWU value							-
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
<sup>1</sup> Specify which countries:		•	•	•	•	-	

### II-2. (b) U.S. ELECTRIC UTILITY PURCHASES OF **EUP**:

Report, as indicated below, the total quantity and value of EUP delivered to U.S. electric utilities during January 2002 through June 2007 that your firm purchased from USEC's domestic production, U.S. importers, or other sources, and that which is imported directly. Report separately by the specified country and report only those purchases of EUP. Report quantities in kgs of uranium in the EUP, and, if possible, the number of SWUs. All reported values should be f.o.b. the U.S. fabricator. Also report, where indicated, the annual average product and tails assays.

(Qua	<i>ntity</i> in SWL	Js and kgs	of U and <i>va</i>	alue in U.S.	dollars)		
	Calendar years					Jan-	June
Country of origin:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
United States:							
Quantity (kgs of U)							
Quantity (SWUs)							
Total value of EUP							
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
France:							
Quantity (kgs of U)							
Quantity (SWUs)							
Total value of EUP							
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
Russia:	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Quantity (kgs of U)							
Quantity (SWUs)							
Total value of EUP							
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
All other countries:1		•	·	·			
Quantity (kgs of U)							
Quantity (SWUs)							
Total value of EUP							
Product assay (percent)							
Tails assay (percent)							
<sup>1</sup> Specify which countries:	1	1	ı	1	1		

II-2 (c) Please enter into the table below the information requested for contracts entered into since January 1, 2002 for product from the United States, France, Russia, and all other countries as indicated. Please note if there was more than one contract and sum all of the contracts within each category for each country of origin.

	Contracts entered into					
	Vol	ume				
Country of origin	Minimum Maximum		Price	Length		
<b>United States</b>						
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> (kg U)						
Enrichment (SWU)						
EUP (kg U)						
France						
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> (kg U)						
Enrichment (SWU)						
EUP (kg U)						
Russia						
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> (kg U)						
Enrichment (SWU)						
EUP (kg U)						
All other countries						
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> (kg U)						
Enrichment (SWU)						
EUP (kg U)						

Please note that the questions in the following sections refer to domestic LEU and LEU imported from  $\underline{ANY}$  source (both France and nonsubject countries). If your response to any question differs depending on the country of origin (based on the country specified in the shipping documents, not based on the location of the supplier), please report separately for each country and note this in your response.

In addition, the questions in the following sections refer to LEU via enrichment and EUP. If any of your answers differ for enrichment compared to EUP, please report separately and note this in your response. Attach additional pages if necessary.

☐ No	YesPlease explain the reasons, noting the countries of origin of the products in your response.
	firm purchase LEU from only one country during January 2002 to June 2007, as show onses to II-2?
□ No	YesPlease explain the reasons for doing so and if you expect this pattern of single-country sourcing to continue; answer separately for purchases of low enriched uranium via enrichment and for purchases of EUP.
	firm purchase LEU from more than one country during January 2002 to June 2007, a ur responses to II-2?
shown in yo	ur responses to II-2?  YesPlease explain the reasons for doing so and discuss any changes in the relative levels of your firm's annual deliveries of LEU received, as enrichment and/or EUP, from different sources during this period. Also discuss any expected changes in this pattern of multiple-country sourcin to continue; answer separately for purchases of LEU via enrichment and

Increased	Decreased	Unchanged
(b) Please explain the r pattern is expected to cl		tern during this period and explain how this
Has your firm's pattern	of purchasing LEU from Fi	rance changed since January 1, 2002?
☐ We did not purchas	e LEU from France before o	or after the antidumping duty order.
No, our pattern of p	urchasing is essentially uncl	hanged.
Yes, we discontinue	ed purchases from France be	cause of the antidumping duty order.
Yes, we reduced pu	rchases from France because	e of the antidumping duty order.
	d the pattern of purchases fr rder (please explain below).	om France for reasons other than the
Has your firm's pattern changed since January	of purchasing LEU from no	onsubject foreign sources
_	e LEU from nonsubject fore	ign sources before or after the
☐ No, our pattern of p	urchasing is essentially uncl	nanged.
Yes, we discontinue antidumping duty of		ct foreign sources because of the
Yes, we reduced pu	rchases from nonsubject for rder.	eign sources because of the
	d the pattern of purchases fro ng duty order (please explair	om nonsubject foreign sources for reasons othe

If any of your answers differ for enrichment compared to EUP, please report separately and note this in your response. Attach additional pages if necessary.

II-8.	Has your firm modified the terms of SWU or EUP contracts for U.Sproduced LEU, French-produced LEU, or LEU from any other source since January 1, 2002 or replaced all or some of such contracts with new contracts that included the obligations to meet your enrichment or EUF requirements covered by the existing contracts?
	No YesFor each contract, please describe the initial date of the existing contract the date for which the modification(s) or replacement(s) were signed, and the specific nature of the modification(s) or replacement(s).

II-9. Report, as indicated below, information on the LEU delivered to you by USEC, Areva/Cogema, or other suppliers who have supplied your firm with LEU (i.e., actual deliveries) as well as LEU that you anticipate receiving on contracted-for requirements based on reload schedules for the remainder of 2007 through 2012.

		US	EC			Areva/	Cogema		All other	
	U.S. o	origin	Other	Other origin		French origin		origin	suppliers	
		Kgs of		Kgs of		Kgs of		Kgs of		Kgs of
Year	SWUs	Ū	SWUs	Ū	SWUs	Ü	SWUs	Ū	SWUs	Ū
2002										
2003										
2004										
2005										
2006										
2007										
2008										
2009										
2010										
2011										
2012										

II-10. (a) Please show in the following tabulation, by categories of enrichment purchase contracts, the total quantity (in number of SWUs and in kgs of enriched uranium) of LEU purchased by your firm on an **enrichment basis** and that was delivered to your firm during January 2002 to June 2007 (if the reported data are estimates, please mark them as such). Report separately by country of enrichment for the United States, France, Russia, and all other nonsubject countries where indicated.

Category of enrichment purchase agreements	SWUs	Kgs of enriched U
United States		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		
France		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		
Russia		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		
All other nonsubject countries		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		

(b) Please show in the following tabulation, by categories of **EUP** purchase contracts, the total quantity (in number of SWUs and in kgs of enriched uranium) of LEU purchased by your firm on an EUP basis and that was delivered to your firm during January 2002 to June 2007. Report separately by country of enrichment for the United States, France, Russia, and all other nonsubject countries where indicated.

Category of EUP contracts	SWUs	Kgs of enriched U
United States		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		
France		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		
Russia		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		
All other nonsubject countries		
Spot purchases		
Long-term contract purchases		
Other (please specify):		

II-11 (a) Report the following information for **enrichment** contracts newly negotiated and entered into since January 1, 2002 or where terms of a signed contract or accepted proposal were renegotiated on or after January 1, 2002, where, in each case, U.S. and/or French LEU will be or has been delivered under the contract.

		<b>United State</b>	es	France			
	Newly	Reneg	gotiated		Renegotiated		
	negotiate	<b>Pre-existing</b>	Renegotiated	Newly	<b>Pre-existing</b>	Renegotiated	
	d	terms	terms	negotiated	terms	terms	
Total number							
of contracts							
<b>Total quantity</b>							
(kgs of U in							
LEU)							
Total quantity							
(SWUs)							
Beginning							
delivery							
period							
Ending							
delivery							
period							

(b) For all of the **newly negotiated enrichment** contracts, report the following information, if available.

		United S	states	France			
Period of delivery	Kgs of U in LEU	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per SWU) <sup>1</sup>	Kgs of U in LEU	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per SWU) <sup>1</sup>	
2002							
2003							
2004							
2005							
2006							
Jan-June 2007							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total net value f.o.b. the U.S. fabricator divided by total quantity.

(c) For all of the **renegotiated enrichment** contracts, report the following information, if available.

		United S	tates	France				
Period of delivery	Kgs of U in LEU	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per SWU) <sup>1</sup>	Kgs of U in LEU	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per SWU) <sup>1</sup>		
2002								
2003								
2004								
2005								
2006								
Jan-June 2007								

(d) Please discuss the <b>renegotiated enrichment</b> contracts, including the original a price and quantity and reason(s) why the contract was renegotiated.	nd renegotiate

II-12 (a) Report the following information for **EUP** contracts newly negotiated and entered into since January 1, 2002 or where terms of a signed contract or accepted proposal were renegotiated on or after January 1, 2002, where, in each case, U.S. and/or French LEU will be or has been delivered under the contract.

		<b>United State</b>	s	France			
		Reneg	gotiated		Reneg	otiated	
	Newly negotiated	Pre-existing terms	Renegotiated terms	Newly negotiated	Pre-existing terms	Renegotiated terms	
Total number of contracts							
Total quantity (kgs of U in EUP)							
Total quantity (SWUs)							
Beginning delivery period							
Ending delivery							

# ${\bf PART~II.--} \underline{\bf PURCHASES}~-~Continued$

period			
_			

(b) For all of the **newly negotiated EUP** contracts, report the following information, if available.

		United S	tates	France			
Period of delivery	Kgs of U in EUP	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per kg of U in EUP) <sup>1</sup>	Kgs of U in LEU	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per kg of U in EUP) <sup>1</sup>	
2002							
2003							
2004							
2005							
2006							
Jan-June 2007							
<sup>1</sup> Total ne	t value f.o.b. t	he U.S. fabrica	tor divided by total quan	tity.			

(c) For all of the **renegotiated EUP** contracts, report the following information, if available.

		United S	tates	France			
Period of delivery	Kgs of U in EUP	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per kg of U in EUP) <sup>1</sup>	Kgs of U in LEU	Number of SWUs	Weighted-average net f.o.b. price (in dollars per kg of U in EUP) <sup>1</sup>	
2002							
2003							
2004							
2005							
2006	-						
Jan-June 2007							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total net value f.o.b. the U.S. fabricator divided by total quantity.

(d) Please discuss the <b>renegotiated EUP</b> contracts, including the original and renegotiated pand quantity and reason(s) why the contract was renegotiated.	orice

If any of your answers differ for enrichment compared to EUP, please report separately and note this in your response. Attach additional pages if necessary.

II-13.	Please discuss below your firm's choice in contracting for spot or long-term contract basis since January 1, 2002. Incas an alternative to long-term contracts, options in existin purchases or long-term contracts, and whether you receive products or services.	clude the following: u g contracts as an alte	se of the spot market ernative to spot
II-14.	(a) Since January 1, 2002, how have spot purchases of LE annual purchases?	EU changed as a shar	e of your firm's total
	☐ Increased ☐ Decreased	Unchanged	
	(b) Please explain the reasons for these changes in your fidentify the country of origin that your firm tends to buy		
II-15.	(a) What percentage of your firm's LEU requirements (by by December 31, 2006?	quantity) for 2007-0	08 was contracted for
	(b) What percent of your long-term contracts for LEU fal	l into each of these c	ategories?
Type o	of long-term contract	2002-06	2007-08
	ong-term contracts where prices are based on market	2002 00	2007 00
	at the time of delivery and the contracts do not specify a		
•	cost-based floor, a price ceiling, or a discount from the		
market			
	ong-term contracts where prices are partially based on		
	t prices at the time of delivery and the contracts specify a cost-based floor, a price ceiling, a discount from the market		
_	or some combination of these		

100%

100%

3) Long-term contracts where prices are fixed or subject to

escalator clauses specified in the contract.

TOTAL

II-16.	(a) Has the use of sw	aps in the LEU market changed s	since January 1, 2002?	
	☐ Increased	Decreased	Unchanged	
	executed contracts an		te market. Please include informatery in 2007-08. In addition, please 006 was involved in a swap.	
II-17.	(a) Has the use of loa	ns/leases in the LEU market cha	nged since January 1, 2002?	
	☐ Increased	Decreased	Unchanged	
	executed contracts an	nd contracts entered into for deliv	te market. Please include informatery in 2007-08. In addition, please 006 was involved in loans/leases.	
II-18.		fected your firm's purchases of L	s demand for fuel (e.g., fuel design EU since January 1, 2002. Please s	

If any of your answers differ for enrichment compared to EUP, please report separately and note this in your response. Attach additional pages if necessary.

II-19. Please list your anticipated total purchases of LEU over the next 10 years. For each year, please provide the total quantity of LEU that is filled by existing contracts (or committed demand), and of this committed demand, the amount that is open origin (where the contract does not require LEU of a particular origin to be supplied). For purposes of this question, please assume that minimum contracted quantities will be purchased under existing contracts.

Year	Total expected demand for LEU (in kgs of enriched U)	Total quantity of LEU currently committed (in kgs of enriched U)	Total quantity of committed demand that is of open origin (in kgs of enriched U)
2007			
2008			
2009			
2010			
2011			
2012			
2013			
2014			
2015			
2016			

II-20.	sign any firi	ry 1, 2002, have you solicited, negotiated, signed, or been solicited to negotiate or m or contingent contract(s) for enrichment or EUP with any supplier for the future rench-produced LEU to your firm?
	☐ No	YesPlease describe these contracts/agreements/solicitations, identifying the nature of the contract offered (enrichment or EUP), type and quantity of LEU, SWU (if applicable), date of commencement, and duration of the supply commitment offered.

### PART III.—PURCHASING PRACTICES

Always	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Never
If at least some information is		how your firm determin	nes the source and why the
(b) Does your producer?	firm make purchasing	decisions involving LE	U based on the identity o
Always	Usually	Sometimes	☐ Never
If at least some information is	•	how your firm determin	nes the source and why th
2002, the quan		lid not specify a particul	
2002, the quan delivery of any	tity (in percent) that d LEU of legally accep	lid not specify a particul	ar country of origin but p
2002, the quan delivery of any Enrichment:	tity (in percent) that de LEU of legally accept	lid not specify a particul ptable origin.	ar country of origin but p
2002, the quan delivery of any Enrichment:	tity (in percent) that de LEU of legally accept accept a your suppliers to become	lid not specify a particul ptable origin.	
2002, the quan delivery of any Enrichment:	tity (in percent) that de LEU of legally accept by your suppliers to becharacteristics, delivery	tid not specify a particulate particulate origin.  come certified or prequate, or other characteristics	lar country of origin but positive for the country of the count
2002, the quan delivery of any Enrichment: EUP: Do you require performance ch	tity (in percent) that de LEU of legally accept your suppliers to becommended and the entire terms of the	come certified or prequary, or other characteristics	lar country of origin but positive lifted with respect to the case of the LEU they sell to y
2002, the quan delivery of any Enrichment:	tity (in percent) that de LEU of legally accept a your suppliers to be characteristics, delivery Yes percent a general description	come certified or prequary, or other characteristics	lar country of origin but policy before the case of the LEU they sell to you all fication process and the case of the LEU they sell to you all fication process and the case of the LEU they sell to you all fication process and the case of the case
2002, the quan delivery of any Enrichment: EUP: Do you require performance ch No Please provide required. Enrichment:	tity (in percent) that de LEU of legally accept a your suppliers to beconaracteristics, delivery Yes percent a general description	come certified or prequate, or other characteristics tent of purchases in 200 of the certification or q	lar country of origin but p lified with respect to the origin so the LEU they sell to y  6 Yesall purchas ualification process and the

### PART III.—<u>PURCHASING PRACTICES</u> - Continued

□ No	YesPlease identify these firms, the countries where they are located, a the reasons why they failed the certification/qualification process.
	suppliers do you generally contact before making a purchase? Answer separately ses and long-term contract purchases and for enrichment and EUP purchases.
Have you ch	nanged suppliers since January 1, 2002?
□ No	YesPlease list the suppliers, indicate whether each firm was added dropped as a supplier, and give the reasons for the change.
Are you awa	
	ry 2002?
since Januar	YesPlease identify the firm(s), country of origin, how you became aw

## PART III.—PURCHASING PRACTICES - Continued

significant im supplier. For price change whether it cov	wnward, that is followed pact on prices. A price those firms identified a was communicated, wh	ed by other firms, or (2) e leader does not necess as a price leader, please nether the price change	ers in the LEU market since J that initiate a price change, e one or more firms that have sarily have to be the lowest p e specify the time period in w was upward or downward, a c product type. Also describ
		the LEU that is offered anditions affecting the a	at the lowest evaluated price ctual cost)?
Always	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Never
another source specify by con countries). Po	e at a lower evaluated puntry, including the Ur	price, please explain yon ted States and both sul de transaction character	able product was available frour reasons for doing so (pleabject and nonsubject foreign ristics such as length of time

# ${\bf PART~III.--\underline{PURCHASING~PRACTICES}}~-~Continued$

1 /	e factors conside		U	

## PART III.—PURCHASING PRACTICES - Continued

III-14. For the factors listed below, please rate each in terms of its importance in your purchase decision for LEU. If your answers differ for enrichment compared with EUP, please copy this page and note whether your answer refers to enrichment or EUP.

	VERY IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT
Availability			
Delivery terms (for feed)			
Delivery terms (for LEU)			
Delivery time			
Discounts offered			
Diverse source of supply			
Escalation provisions			
Extension of credit			
Minimum quantity requirements			
Packaging			
Price			
Product consistency			
Product range			
Quality meets industry standards			
Quality exceeds industry standards			
Reliability of supply			
Tails assay option			
Technical support/service			
U.S. transportation costs			
Other (specify):			
		П	

## PART III.—PURCHASING PRACTICES - Continued

	n order of their importance, the three major factors generally considered by your ling from whom to purchase LEU for any one order (examples include current extension of credit, prearranged contracts, price, quality exceeding specifications tandards, traditional supplier, etc.).
1	
2	
3	
Other factor	s or comments:
What charac	teristics does your firm consider when determining the quality of LEU?
Do substitut	es exist for LEU?  YesPlease describe the substitute product(s), the extent of substitutability and whether your firm has used the substitute(s) since January 1, 2002
	ere been any changes in the number, type, or quantity/availability of products that ituted for LEU in the United States since January 1, 2002?
	ituted for LEO in the Officed States since January 1, 2002:
□ No	YesPlease explain below.

# PART IV.—SUPPLY FACTORS

prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportunithat affected the availability or competitiveness of <b>LEU from France in the U.S. mark January 1, 2002</b> . Please note the time period of such changes, the factors involved, a impact of such changes on delivery volumes and prices during the period.  (c) Please identify any supply factors (including, but not limited to, changes in availab prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportunity.	(b) Please identify any supply factors (including, but not limited to, changes in available prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportut that affected the availability or competitiveness of <b>LEU from France in the U.S. mar January 1, 2002</b> . Please note the time period of such changes, the factors involved, a impact of such changes on delivery volumes and prices during the period.  (c) Please identify any supply factors (including, but not limited to, changes in available prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity.	(b) Please identify any supply prices of raw materials; energy methods of production; techno that affected the availability or <b>January 1, 2002</b> . Please note	factors (including, but not limited to, changes in available or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity plogy; export markets; or alternative production opportunity competitiveness of <b>LEU from France in the U.S. market</b> the time period of such changes, the factors involved, a
(c) Please identify any supply factors (including, but not limited to, changes in available prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportunity.	prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportus that affected the availability or competitiveness of LEU from France in the U.S. mar January 1, 2002. Please note the time period of such changes, the factors involved, a impact of such changes on delivery volumes and prices during the period.  (c) Please identify any supply factors (including, but not limited to, changes in available prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportus that affected the availability or competitiveness of LEU from nonsubject countries in market since January 1, 2002. Please note the time period of such changes, the factors	prices of raw materials; energy methods of production; techno that affected the availability or <b>January 1, 2002</b> . Please note	y or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity ology; export markets; or alternative production opportunity competitiveness of <b>LEU from France in the U.S. market</b> the time period of such changes, the factors involved, a
(c) Please identify any supply factors (including, but not limited to, changes in available prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportunity affected the availability or competitiveness of LEU from nonsubject countries in	prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportut that affected the availability or competitiveness of <b>LEU from nonsubject countries in market since January 1, 2002</b> . Please note the time period of such changes, the factor		
	market since January 1, 2002. Please note the time period of such changes, the factor	prices of raw materials; energy methods of production; techno	y or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity ology; export markets; or alternative production opportun

## PART IV.—SUPPLY FACTORS - Continued

capacity u	ovide separate attachments to this questionnaire, to the extent possible, any studies, etc. that you are aware of that quantify or otherwise discuss uranium production, attilization, and inventories in 1) the United States, 2) France, 3) each of the other grountries, including Russia, and 4) the world as a whole. Of particular interest is on an annual basis from 2002 to the present and forecasts of these supply data.
	uary 1, 2002, were your suppliers, U.S. or foreign, ever unable to supply your firm, partially, with LEU desired by your firm in a timely manner or at the prevailing ice?
No	YesFor each occurrence, please describe the supplier and country of origin the period during which you could not obtain the LEU, and the quantity of LEU involved. Please also submit documentation regarding such unsuccessful attempts to obtain LEU.
told by an	January 1, 2002, was your firm placed on allocation by any of its suppliers of LEU, y supplier that the quantity available was limited, or told by any supplier that it would to deliver the full quantity ordered as originally scheduled?  YesFor each occurrence, please describe the specific allocation program, quantity limitation, or delivery problem. Include in your discussion the name of the supplier, the country of origin, the time period, and the quantity involved.
told by an be unable  No  (b) Was it	y supplier that the quantity available was limited, or told by any supplier that it would to deliver the full quantity ordered as originally scheduled?  YesFor each occurrence, please describe the specific allocation program, quantity limitation, or delivery problem. Include in your discussion the name of the supplier, the country of origin, the time period, and the

## PART IV.—SUPPLY FACTORS - Continued

	explain the importance of delivery lead times for spot purchases of LEU purchases of 1, 2002. For any advantage or disadvantage due to lead time differences, ide r and country of origin. Have average lead times changed since January 1, 200 extent?
	nuary 1, 2002, did your firm decline to accept a proposal or bid for LEU from oplier because of uncertainty about the quality of the LEU or the reliability of
□ No	YesPlease explain and identify the supplier and country of origin.
the quantity	Iso discuss your firm's concern about the ability of any specific enricher to su and assays of LEU that you required since January 1, 2002 and in the future. Prime period, the exact nature of any concerns, and the name(s) of the enricher
	our customers ever specifically order LEU from one country in particular over

#### PART IV.—SUPPLY FACTORS - Continued

If any of your answers differ for enrichment compared to EUP, please report separately and note this in your response. Attach additional pages if necessary.

IV-8.	Have uranium inventories had any effect on the supply of LEU in the U.S. market si 1, 2002?				
	☐ No	YesPlease discuss fully and include if you expect the effects to continue in the future.			

IV-9. Please provide as separate attachments to this questionnaire the following information:

Identify and discuss any improvements or other changes in the U.S. uranium industry since January 1, 2002 and explain fully, to the extent possible, the factor(s), including the antidumping orders on France under review, that were responsible for each improvement or change.

In addition, please discuss any improvements or other changes that you anticipate in the future in the U.S. uranium industry. Identify the specific time period covered in your response and discuss in detail the factor(s) that you believe would be responsible for any such improvement or change. In your responses, please provide underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of business plans or other supporting documentation that address these issues.

#### PART V.—DEMAND FACTORS

(a)	(a) How has the demand within the United States for LEU changed since January 1, 2002?					
	Increased	Unchanged	Decreased			
	Other (describe)	)				
V	What were the principal factors affecting changes in demand?					
_						
	o) How has the den , 2002?	nand outside the United States	s, (if known), for LEU changed since Ja	ınuary		
	Increased	Unchanged	Decreased			
	Other (describe)	)				
V	What were the princ	cipal factors affecting changes	s in demand?			
_						
0	(c) Please discuss any anticipated changes in LEU demand in the United States and in the res of the world in the future. Please identify the time period and the factor(s) you believe would be responsible for such changes. Provide any underlying assumptions that address this issue.					
	(a) Is the LEU market subject to business cycles or conditions of competition distinctive to LEU?					
		YesPlease explain.				
_						
(	b) Please describe a	any changes in business cycles	s or conditions for LEU since January 1,	2002		
_						

# PART V.—<u>DEMAND FACTORS</u> - Continued

# $PART~V. \underline{-DEMAND~FACTORS}~\textit{-}~Continued$

Please describe the end uses of LEU via enrichment and as EUP in the United States, and discuss any changes in the end uses of LEU since January 1, 2002 and any changes that yo expect in the future.
Please provide separate attachments to this questionnaire, to the extent possible, any studi surveys, etc. that you are aware of that quantify or otherwise discuss demand for LEU via enrichment or as EUP in 1) the United States, 2) France, 3) each of the other producing countries, including Russia, and 4) the world as a whole. Of particular interest is such dat an annual basis from January 1, 2002 to the present and forecasts of these demand data.
What do you think would be the likely effects, if any, of termination of the antidumping at countervailing duty orders on LEU from France? In discussing effects, consider at a minimary potential change in imports, U.S. market prices, and purchases from U.S. suppliers of I As indicated, please discuss any potential effects of termination on (1) the activities of your firm and (2) the U.S. market as a whole. Attach additional pages as needed.
Activities of your firm:
The U.S. market as a whole:

#### PART VI.--COMPARISONS BETWEEN IMPORTED AND U.S. PRODUCED PRODUCT

VI-1. Is LEU produced in the United States, imported from France, and imported from other countries used interchangeably (i.e., can the product physically be used in the same applications)? Please indicate below, using "A" to indicate that the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable, "F" to indicate that the products are *frequently* interchangeable, "S" to indicate that the products are *sometimes* interchangeable, "N" to indicate that the products are *never* interchangeable, and "O" to indicate *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair. 1

Country-pair	France	Other countries <sup>2</sup>		
United States				
France				
the factors that limit or preclude	•	never interchangeable, please explain ponse relating to "other countries," if ountries, please note this below.		
<sup>2</sup> Please note the country/cocategory.	ountries of origin of the LEU that a	are included in the "other countries"		

# PART VI.--COMPARISONS BETWEEN IMPORTED AND U.S. PRODUCED PRODUCT--Continued

VI-2. For the factors listed below, please rate how LEU produced in each country you identified in your response to II-1 on page 8 compares with LEU produced in each of the other countries you identified (including the United States, France, and nonsubject foreign countries). Copy this page as necessary to cover all possible country combinations and please attach any comments you care to make concerning your responses, especially in comparisons where you rate product from one country superior or inferior to product from another.

<u>Electronic submissions</u>: For <u>each</u> country comparison manually <u>copy</u> and <u>paste VI-2 in a new page</u> in this document as necessary. (At the end of VI-2, insert a page break (Menu: Insert-Break-Page break), copy VI-2 and paste in the new page.)

	compared to		
(specify country)	-	(specify co	untry)
	SUPERIOR	COMPARABLE	INFERIOR
Availability			
Delivery terms (for feed)			
Delivery terms (for LEU)			
Delivery time			
Discounts offered			
Diverse source of supply			
Escalation provisions			
Extension of credit			
Lower price			
Lower U.S. transportation costs			
Minimum quantity requirements			
Packaging			
Product consistency			
Product range			
Quality meets industry standards			
Quality exceeds industry standards			
Reliability of supply			
Tails assay option			
Technical support/service			
Other (specify):			

# PART VI.--COMPARISONS BETWEEN IMPORTED AND U.S. PRODUCED PRODUCT--Continued

### Section VII--SUPPLIER IDENTIFICATION

Please identify below the names and addresses of your firm's five largest suppliers for LEU during 2002-06. Please also provide the name and telephone number of a contact person and the share of the quantity of your firm's total shipments of LEU that each of these customers accounted for in 2006.

No.	Supplier's name	Street address ( <u>not</u> P.O. box), city, state, and zip code	Contact person	Area code and telephone number	Share of 2006 purchases (%)
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					