

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of
CERTAIN BIRTHING SIMULATORS
AND ASSOCIATED SYSTEMS

Investigation No. 337-TA-759

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION FINDING BOTH RESPONDENTS IN
DEFAULT; REQUEST FOR WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS ON REMEDY, THE
PUBLIC INTEREST, AND BONDING**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 5) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding both respondents in default and is requesting briefing on remedy, public interest, and bonding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 7, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Gaumard Scientific Company, Inc. of Miami, Florida. 76 Fed. Reg. 6632 (Feb. 7, 2011). The complaint, as amended, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain birthing simulators and associated systems by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,503,087 and 7,114,954. The complaint named Shanghai Honglian Medical Instruments of China and Shanghai Evenk International Trading Co., Ltd. of China as respondents. The complaint and

Notice of Investigation were served on respondents on February 1, 2011. No responses were received.

On March 4, 2011, the ALJ issued an order requiring respondents to show cause why they should not be held in default and judgment rendered against them for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. Respondents did not respond. On March 30, 2011, the ALJ issued the subject ID, finding both respondents in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16 (19 C.F.R. § 210.16) and terminating the above-referenced investigation. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 Fed. Reg. 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Specifically, Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are requested to respond to the following question:

Does section 337(j)(3) (19 U.S.C. § 1337(j)(3)) or any other statutory authority authorize the Commission to permit default respondents subject to an exclusion order under section 337(g)(1) to import infringing products under bond during the sixty (60) day Presidential review period? Please cite any relevant legislative history. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainant is also requested to state the dates that the patents expire and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on Thursday, May 12, 2011. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on Thursday, May 19, 2011. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. *See* 19 C.F.R. § 210.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337(g)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337(g)(1)), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42-46 and 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 2, 2011