
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on October 13, 2005, terminating the investigation as to U.S. Patent No. 6,137,279.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-3115. Copies of the public version of the ID and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 18, 2005, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. §1337, based on a complaint filed by SigmaTel, Inc. of Austin, Texas (“SigmaTel”), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain audio processing integrated circuits and products containing same by reason of infringement of claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,137,279 (“the ‘279 patent”) and claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,633,187. 70 Fed. Reg. 20172 (April 18, 2005). The complainant named Actions Semiconductor Co. of Guangdong, China (“Actions”) as respondent. Subsequently, the complaint and notice of investigation were amended to add allegations of infringement of the asserted patents, and to additionally allege a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement
of claims 1, 6, 9, and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,366,522.

On October 13, 2005, complainant SigmaTel moved to terminate the investigation as to the ‘279 patent. In its motion, SigmaTel stated that both respondent Actions and the Commission investigative attorney indicated that they do not oppose the motion.

On October 13, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 9) granting complainant’s motion. No party petitioned for review of the ALJ’s ID.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: October 31, 2005