

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN VIDEO CAPABLE  
ELECTRONIC DEVICES, INCLUDING  
COMPUTERS, STREAMING DEVICES,  
TELEVISIONS, CAMERAS, AND  
COMPONENTS AND MODULES  
THEREOF**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1379**

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO HP INC. BASED ON  
SETTLEMENT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 61) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to respondent HP Inc. (“HP”).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joelle Justus, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2593. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on December 6, 2023, based on a complaint filed by Nokia Technologies Oy and Nokia Corporation, both of Espoo, Finland (collectively, “Complainants” or “Nokia”). 88 FR 84832-33 (Dec. 6, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain video capable electronic devices, including computers, streaming devices, televisions, cameras, and components and modules thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9-12, 15-17, 20-25, 28-30, 32-34, 36, 39-41, 43, 44, 47-49, 51-54, 58-60, and 62-65 of U.S. Patent No. 7,532,808 (“the ’808 patent”) and claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 8,204,134. (“the ’134 patent”). *Id.* at 84832. The complaint further alleges that a domestic

industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents HP, Inc. of Palo Alto, California; and Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC, both of Seattle, Washington (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is participating in the investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously determined not to review initial determinations terminating the investigation as to claims 2, 3, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 20, 23-25, 28, 30, 32-34, 36, 39, 41, 43, 44, 47, 49, 51-54, 58-60, and 62-65 of the ’808 patent and claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 16-22 of the ’134 patent. *See* Order No. 20 (Feb. 2, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Feb. 15, 2024); Order No. 44 (June 11, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (July 3, 2024); Order No. 52 (July 10, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 5, 2024).

On November 4, 2024, Nokia and HP moved to terminate the investigation as to HP based on settlement and license agreements. Nokia also requested that service of the confidential agreements be limited to the moving parties and OUII. Respondents Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC supported the motion to terminate but opposed Nokia’s request for limited service.

On December 5, 2024, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 61), granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation. ID at 4. The subject ID finds that the requirements of Commission Rules 210.21(a), (b) (19 CFR 210.21(a), (b)) are met, and that termination would not adversely affect the public interest. *Id.* at 2-3. It also finds that good cause exists to limit service of the confidential agreements. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. HP is terminated from this investigation.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on January 6, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: January 6, 2025