

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN VIDEO SECURITY
EQUIPMENT AND SYSTEMS, RELATED
SOFTWARE, COMPONENTS THEREOF,
AND PRODUCTS CONTAINING SAME**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1281

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE FOR
DETERMINING WHETHER TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to extend the deadline for determining whether to review a final initial determination (“FID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the above-captioned investigation to January 12, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynde Herzbach, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3228. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 14, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by Motorola Solutions, Inc. of Chicago, Illinois (“Motorola Solutions”); Avigilon Corporation of British Columbia, Canada; Avigilon Fortress Corporation of British Columbia, Canada; Avigilon Patent Holding 1 Corporation of British Columbia, Canada (“Avigilon Patent Holding”); and Avigilon Technologies Corporation of British Columbia, Canada (collectively, “Complainants”). *See* 86 FR 51182-83 (Sept. 14, 2021). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale after importation into the United States of certain video security equipment and systems, related software, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,868,912 (“the ’912 patent”); 10,726,312 (“the ’312 patent”); and 8,508,607 (“the ’607 patent”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation

(“NOI”) names Verkada Inc. of San Mateo, California (“Verkada”) as the only respondent. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is also named as a party. *Id.*

The complaint and NOI were previously amended to reflect the transfer of all right, title, and interest in: (1) the ’312 patent from Avigilon Corporation to Motorola Solutions; (2) the ’912 patent from Avigilon Fortress Corporation to Motorola Solutions; and (3) the ’607 patent from Avigilon Patent Holding to Motorola Solutions. Order No. 7 (Dec. 28, 2021), *unreviewed by* 87 FR 4658-59 (Jan. 28, 2022). The complaint and NOI were further amended to add a new licensee, Avigilon USA Corporation of Dallas, Texas, as an additional complainant. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to claims 4 and 10-12 of the ’312 patent based on Complainants’ partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 58 (June 14, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (June 30, 2022). The Commission also previously terminated the investigation as to claims 6, 15, 25, and 26 of the ’607 patent based on Complainants’ partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 59 (July 13, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 4, 2022).

On October 24, 2022, the presiding ALJ issued the FID, finding that a violation of section 337 has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain video security equipment and systems, related software, components thereof, and products containing same that infringe the ’912 patent. The FID further finds no violation of section 337 with respect to the ’312 patent and the ’607 patent. The FID includes the ALJ’s recommended determination on remedy, the public interest, and bonding should the Commission find a violation of section 337.

On November 7, 2022, Complainants filed a contingent petition for review. That same day, respondent Verkada filed a combined petition for review and contingent petition for review.

On November 15, 2022, Complainants and Verkada filed their respective responses to the petitions for review.

On November 23, 2022, Complainants and Verkada each filed a submission on the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4) (19 C.F.R. 210.50(a)(4)). No submissions were received in response to the Commission notice seeing public interest submission. 87 FR 65827-28 (Nov. 1, 2022).

The Commission has determined to extend the deadline for determining whether to review the FID to January 12, 2023.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 16, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Katherine M. Hiner".

Katherine M. Hiner
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: December 16, 2022