

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN ELECTRIC SHAVERS AND  
COMPONENTS AND ACCESSORIES  
THEREOF**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1230**

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION FINDING CERTAIN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 23) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), finding the following respondents in default for failure to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation: Suzhou Kaidiya Garments Trading Co., Ltd. (“Suzhou Kaidiya”) d.b.a. “Digimator” of Suzhou, China; Yiwu City Qiaoyu Trading Co., Ltd. (“Yiwu City”) of Yiwu, China; Wenzhou Wending Electric Appliance Co., Ltd. (“Wenzhou Wending”) of Yueqing City, China; Shenzhen Aiweilai Trading Co., Ltd. (“Shenzhen Aiweilai”) d.b.a. “Teamyo” of Shenzhen, China; Shenzhen Junmao International Technology Co., Ltd. (“Shenzhen Junmao”) d.b.a. “Homeas” of Shenzhen, China; Shenzhen Wantong Information Technology Co., Ltd. (“Shenzhen Wantong”) d.b.a. “WTONG” of Shenzhen, China; and Yiwu Xingye Network Technology Co., Ltd. (“Yiwu Xingye”) d.b.a. “Roziapro” of Yiwu, China (collectively, the “Non-Participating Respondents”).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 18, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Skull Shaver, LLC of Moorestown, New Jersey. 85 FR 73510-11 (Nov. 18, 2020). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electric shavers and components and accessories thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of

U.S. Patent Nos. 8,726,528 and D672,504. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following eleven entities as respondents: Rayenbarny Inc. (“Rayenbarny”) of New York, New York; Bald Shaver Inc. of Toronto, Canada; Suzhou Kaidiya; Shenzhen Aiweilai; Wenzhou Wending; Shenzhen Nukun Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. “OriHea” of Shenzhen, China; Yiwu Xingye; Magicfly LLC of Hong Kong; Yiwu City; Shenzhen Wantong; and Shenzhen Junmao. The notice of investigation also named the Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) as a party. *Id.*

On November 30, 2020, the ALJ granted an unopposed motion (1) allowing Benepuri LLC (“Benepuri”) of Menands, New York to intervene in this investigation as a respondent and (2) terminating the investigation as to Rayenbarny. The Commission determined not to review. Order No. 4 (Nov. 30, 2020), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 15, 2020).

On December 15, 2020, Skull Shaver moved under 19 CFR 210.16 for an order directing Suzhou Kaidiya, Yiwu City, and Wenzhou Wending to demonstrate why they should not be found in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation, which were successfully served on them on December 16, 2020. On December 28, 2020, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. On December 30, 2020, the ALJ issued an Order (Order No. 6), ordering Suzhou Kaidiya, Yiwu City, and Wenzhou Wending to show cause no later than the close of business on January 13, 2021 as to why they should not be held in default in this investigation. Suzhou Kaidiya, Yiwu City, and Wenzhou Wending did not respond to the Show Cause order.

On January 27, 2021, Skull Shaver moved under 19 CFR 210.16 for an order directing Yiwu Xingye, Shenzhen Aiweilai, Shenzhen Wantong, and Shenzhen Junmao to demonstrate why they should not be found in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation, which were successfully served on them on December 24, 2020. On February 8, 2020, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. On February 12, 2021, the ALJ issued an Order (Order No. 7), ordering Yiwu Xingye, Shenzhen Aiweilai, Shenzhen Wantong, and Shenzhen Junmao to show cause no later than the close of business on March 1, 2021 as to why they should not be held in default in this investigation. Yiwu Xingye, Shenzhen Aiweilai, Shenzhen Wantong, and Shenzhen Junmao did not respond to the Show Cause order.

On May 10, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 23) finding all of the Non-Participating Respondents in default. The subject ID pointed to Commission Rule 210.16(a)(1), which states that “[a] party shall be found in default if it fails to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation in the manner prescribed in 210.13 or 210.59(c), or otherwise fails to answer the complaint and notice, and fails to show cause why it should not be found in default” and that “[w]hen a respondent fails to respond or appear, ‘a party may file a motion for . . . an order directing respondent to show cause why it should not be found in default. If the respondent fails to make the necessary showing . . . the administrative law judge shall issue an initial determination finding the respondent in default.’” ID at 2 (citing 19 CFR 210.16(a)(1), (b)(1)). The ID found that after being successfully served with the complaint and notice of investigation, the Non-Participating Respondents did not respond, and that the Non-Participating Respondents have not entered appearances or participated in the investigation. In addition, the Non-

Participating Respondents failed to respond to the Show Cause order. Thus, the ID found the Non-Participating Respondents in default. No one petitioned for review.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. Per Commission Rule 210.16(a)(1), the following respondents are hereby found in default: Suzhou Kaidiya, Yiwu City, Wenzhou Wending, Shenzhen Aiweilai, Shenzhen Junmao, Shenzhen Wantong, and Yiwu Xingye.

While temporary remote operating procedures are in place in response to COVID-19, the Office of the Secretary is not able to serve parties that have not retained counsel or otherwise provided a point of contact for electronic service. Accordingly, pursuant to Commission Rules 201.16(a) and 210.7(a)(1) (19 CFR 201.16(a), 210.7(a)(1)), the Commission orders that the complainant complete service for any party/parties without a method of electronic service noted on the attached Certificate of Service and shall file proof of service on the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS).

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 21, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 21, 2021