

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN HEIGHT-ADJUSTABLE
DESK PLATFORMS AND
COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1125

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO RESPONDENT
HUIZHOU CHANG HE HOME SUPPLIES CO., LTD. BASED ON A SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review a February 19, 2019 initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 23) terminating the investigation as to respondent Huizhou Chang He Home Supplies Co, Ltd. based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s Electronic Docket Information System (“EDIS”) (<https://edis.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 30, 2018, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint and supplements thereto filed on behalf of Varidesk LLC of Coppell, TX (“Varidesk”). 83 FR 36621 (July 30, 2018). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain height-adjustable desk platforms and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,113,703; U.S. Patent No. 9,277,809; U.S. Patent No. 9,554,644; and U.S. Patent No. 9,924,793. The Commission’s Notice of

Investigation named thirty-one respondents, including Huizhou Chang He Home Supplies Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China (“Chang He”); Designa Inc. of Guangdong, China (“Designa Inc.”); Designa Group, Inc. of El Dorado Hills, California (“Designa Group”); Eureka LLC of El Dorado Hills, California (“Eureka”); Versa Products Inc. of Los Angeles, CA (“Versa”); Victor Technology, LLC of Bolingbrook, IL (“Victor”); Wuppessen, Inc. of Ontario, CA (“Wuppessen”); Jiangsu Omni Industrial Co., Ltd. of Jiangsu, China and OmniMax USA, LLC of Anna, TX (collectively, “Omni”); Venditio Group, LLC of Elkton, FL (“Venditio”); Knape & Vogt Manufacturing Co. of Grand Rapids, MI (“Knape”); and LaMountain International Group LLC of Elk Grove, CA (“LaMountain”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

This investigation has previously terminated as to Designa Inc., Designa Group, Eureka LLC, Victor, Wuppessen, Venditio, Omni, Knape, LaMountain, and Versa. Order 7, *unreviewed*, Notice (Sept. 18, 2018); Order 11, *unreviewed*, Notice (Sept. 25, 2018); Order 12, *unreviewed*, Notice (Oct. 4, 2018); Order 13, *unreviewed*, Notice (Oct. 4, 2018); Order 15, *unreviewed*, Notice (Oct. 22, 2018); Order 16, *unreviewed*, Notice (Nov. 9, 2018); Order 18, *unreviewed*, Notice (Nov. 29, 2018); Order 20, *unreviewed*, Notice (Feb. 21, 2019).

On January 25, 2019, Varidesk and respondent Chang He jointly moved pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 201.21(b)) to terminate this investigation as to Chang He based on a settlement agreement. On February 14, 2019, OUII filed a response supporting that motion.

On February 19, 2019, the presiding administrative law judge issued Order No. 23, the subject ID, granting the motion. The ID finds that the joint motion complied with the Commission’s rules for termination and that consideration of the public interest factors pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)) did not prevent termination as to Chang He. No party filed a petition seeking review of the subject ID. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: March 11, 2019