

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.**

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN MOBILE DEVICE
HOLDERS AND COMPONENTS
THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1028

**NOTICE OF THE COMMISSION'S DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION FINDING CERTAIN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 11) finding certain respondents in default.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 14, 2016, based on a complaint and supplements, filed on behalf of Nite Ize, Inc. of Boulder, Colorado ("complainant"). 81 FR 79519-20 (Nov. 14, 2016). The complaint as supplemented alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile device holders and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,602,376; U.S. Patent No. 8,870,146; U.S. Patent No. D734,746; and U.S. Patent No. D719,959. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The Commission's notice of investigation named REXS

LLC of Lewes, Delaware; Guangzhou Kuaguoyi E-commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Kagu Culture of Baiyun, China; Sunpauto Co., Ltd. of Kowloon, Hong Kong; Shenzhen Topworld Technology Co. d/b/a IdeaPro of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; Trendbox USA LLC d/b/a Trendbox of Scottsdale, Arizona; Tenswall d/b/a Shenzhen Tenswall International Trading Co. Ltd. of La Puente, California; Luo Jieqiong d/b/a Wekin of Chang Sha, China; Pecham d/b/a Baichen Technology Ltd. of Wan Chai, Hong Kong; Zhongshan Feiyu Hardware Technology Co., Ltd d/b/a YouFo of Zhongshan City, China; Shenzhen New Dream Technology Co., Ltd., d/b/a Newdreams; Wang Zhi Gang d/b/a IceFox; Lin Zhen Mei d/b/a Anson; Tontek d/b/a Shenzhen Hetongtai Electronics Co., Ltd.; Scotabc d/b/a ShenChuang Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd.; Zhiping Zhou d/b/a Runshion; Oumeiou d/b/a Shenzhen Oumeiou Technology Co., Ltd.; Shenzhen Yingxue Technology Co., Ltd.; Wu Xuying d/b/a Novoland; Shenzhen Longwang Technology Co., Ltd., d/b/a LWANG; Wang Guoxiang d/b/a Minse all of Shenzhen, China (collectively “the defaulting respondents”), among others, as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was named as a party to the investigation.

On March 15, 2017, complainant filed a motion for an order to show cause and for entry of a default judgment against the defaulting respondents, as well as numerous other respondents. On March 27, 2017, OUII filed a response supporting the complainant’s motion as to the defaulting respondents and opposing the motion to the extent complainant sought an order to show cause against the other respondents.

On April 5, 2017, the ALJ issued an order (Order No. 9) requiring the defaulting respondents to show cause why they should not be found in default. The defaulting respondents failed to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation and Order No. 9. On May 1, 2017, the ALJ issued an ID finding the defaulting respondents in default. No one petitioned for review.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 26, 2017