No. ID -21 (revised)



OFFICE OF INDUSTRIES WORKING PAPER

U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

How Do Exchange Rates Affect Import Prices? Recent Economic Literature and Data Analysis

Cathy L. Jabara Office of Industries U.S. International Trade Commission

Revised, October 2009

Cathy Jabara is a Senior Economist with the Office of Industries of the U.S. International Trade Commission. Office of Industries working papers are the result of the ongoing professional research of USITC Staff and are solely meant to represent the opinions and professional research of individual authors. These papers are not meant to represent in any way the views of the U.S. International Trade Commission or any of its individual Commissioners. Working papers are circulated to promote the active exchange of ideas between USITC Staff and recognized experts outside the USITC, and to promote professional development of Office staff by encouraging outside professional critique of staff research. This paper is a revised version of Working Paper No. 21 dated May 2009. The paper has been updated to include 4 lags in the exchange rate estimation, instead of 3, and a new equation for Latin America is included.

JEL codes: F10, F12 Key words: Exchange rates, pass-through, U.S. imports

> Address correspondence to: Office of Industries U.S. International Trade Commission Washington, DC 20436

How Do Exchange Rates Affect Import Prices? Recent Economic Literature and Data Analysis

Cathy L. Jabara U.S. International Trade Commission

ABSTRACT: An important issue for U.S. trade and domestic pricing is the extent to which exchange rate changes affect the prices of imported goods. In theory, a change in the value of the dollar should raise or lower the cost of foreign goods, thereby reducing or increasing U.S. demand for imports. However, numerous economic studies have shown that when the dollar fluctuates against foreign currencies, U.S. import prices tend to show much less change. This paper reviews some of the recent economic literature, such as pricing-to-market, currency invoicing, and cross-border production, which explains why U.S. import prices tend to show little change. Using data for 1999 to 2008, the paper estimates exchange rate pass-through to U.S. import prices for aggregate U.S. imports (all imports excluding oil and consumer goods), and for prices of imports from Japan, the European Union (EU), Canada, the NIEs (Taiwan, Singapore, South Korea, and Hong Kong), and Latin America (largely Mexico). The exchangerate pass-through estimates were found to be low (0.47 for all imports excluding oil and 0.26 for consumer goods) over 4 quarters. Estimates of bilateral exchange- rate pass-through range from 0.59 for Latin America to 0.0 for the NIEs. These estimates contribute to understanding of how changes in the dollar effect the prices of goods in international trade.

I. Introduction

The relationship between exchange rates and U.S. import prices is important to understand the nature of U.S. import flows as well as the behavior of U.S. consumer prices. For example, a weaker dollar is usually considered to be a key mechanism for increasing the international competitiveness of U.S. producers. However, economists have generally found that prices of imported goods do not usually respond with one-to-one to changes in the exchange rate. For example, between February 2002 and July 2008, the dollar fell by almost 35 percent against a broad index of foreign currencies, while U.S. Department of Labor (DOL), Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) price index for all imports excluding petroleum rose by 20 percent, and the price index for imported consumer goods rose by only 6 percent.¹ The lack of a strong historical relationship between the dollar and import prices is often cited as a factor affecting broad measures of core inflation.²

This paper explores some of the reasons why some import prices change so little relative to a change in the value of the dollar. In theory, a weaker dollar should raise the cost of foreign goods for U.S. consumers, thereby reducing U.S. demand for imports, while boosting foreign demand for U.S. goods by making exports more price-competitive abroad. However, economic research suggests that the link between the exchange rate and the prices of imported goods is more complex, with fluctuations affecting U.S. import prices to varying degrees, depending on the industry. These studies show that the effect of an exchange rate change depends on firms' price-setting behavior.

¹ DOL, BLS import price indexes. <u>http://www.bls.gov/mxp/</u> (accessed January, 2009).

² Wall Street Journal, "Slack Labor Markets Will Hold Down Prices," June 24, 2009, 15.

Exchange rate studies usually focus on the rate of exchange-rate pass-through-- the impact of a change in the exchange rate on prices in the importing country. There are three prominent explanations of why exchange-rate pass-through to U.S. import prices might be low:³ (1) exporters "price to market" by lowering or raising their profit margins to offset the effects of the exchange rate change; (2) exporters set their prices in the local currency of the importing country and these prices do not fluctuate with the exchange rate, at least in the short run; and (3) cross-border production which leads to lower pass-through when production costs are denominated in different currencies. In addition, Marazzi and Sheets (2007) found a decrease in exchange rate pass-through for product markets in which Chinese exports gained market share, at least through 2004, when the Chinese yuan was pegged to the dollar.

In addition to discussing pass-through behavior, the paper provides econometric estimates of exchange-rate pass-through to the U.S. market both for aggregate U.S. import prices and for the prices of imports from bilateral trading partners. The paper takes advantage of the locality price indexes that have been collected by the BLS since 1999 to estimate how exchangerate pass-through behavior differs among U.S. trading partners. Exchange-rate pass-through estimates are for U.S. aggregate imports (imports excluding oil and consumer goods) and for U.S. imports from Canada, the European Union (EU), Japan, the Newly Industrializing Economies (NIEs–Hong Kong, Singapore, Taiwan, and South Korea), and Latin America. The estimates of exchange-rate pass-through are found to be low, well less than 1, and are consistent with other estimates obtained from the literature. However, a major finding is that exchange-rate

³ These are the three main explanations cited by Mishkin (2008). See also Campa and Goldberg (2002) and Marazzi and Sheets (2007).

pass-through does vary by country or origin, with NAFTA partners Mexico and Canada having the highest estimated exchange rate pass-through, and Japan and the NIEs having the lowest.

This paper is organized as follows. First, the review of literature discusses the economic factors determining the extent of exchange-rate pass-through, such as pricing-to-market, currency invoicing, and cross-border trade, and provides a survey of recent economic studies that estimate exchange-rate pass-through and examine exporter behavior. The next section provides the methodology used to estimate exchange rate pass-through to the U.S. market using recent data from 1999 to 2008 for U.S. aggregate imports and U.S. imports from various U.S. trading partners. The final sections of the paper discuss the results and provide conclusions.

II. Literature Review

Pricing- to-Market

In the exchange-rate pass-through literature, pass-through is considered "complete" when the response is one-for-one–e.g., when a 1 percent change in the exchange rate results in a 1 percent change in the import price. Krugman (1987) was one of the first economists to suggest that exchange rate changes could be passed through to traded goods prices, or absorbed in producer profit margins or markups (pricing-to-market). Krugman suggested that following a depreciation of an importer's currency, which would otherwise raise the cost of imported goods, the foreign exporter might cuts his domestic currency export price to stabilize the price in the importing country's market. This type of strategy could be a temporary one, or a more long-term effort to maintain market share.

According to Krugman, markup rates are industry specific and depend on the demand curve facing the exporter in a specific country. Exporters facing highly elastic demand curves

and competition might reduce their markups in the event the importer's currency depreciates in order to keep importer prices constant and preserve market share. On the other hand, an exporter facing few competitors and inelastic demand might pass-through the exchange rate change to maintain his profit margin (markup over cost). The exchange-rate pass-through therefore depends on the structure of demand and competition in the industry.

Cross-Border Production

Another reason advanced for low exchange-rate pass-through is cross-border production.⁴ If firms are using imported inputs, then the exchange rate is also an argument in the exporter's cost function. For example, assume an exporter imports raw materials in dollars that account for 50 percent of production costs, the rest of the production cost is denominated in the exporter's currency, and the dollar depreciates relative to the exporter's currency by 5 percent. The exporter would only have to raise his price by 2.6 percent to generate enough local currency to cover the local costs– an exchange-rate pass-through of .52—because the cost of the raw material is fixed in dollars. If production occurs in several stages in a number of different countries, then production costs are incurred in different currencies. The exchange rate pass-through can be less than 1 as long as all the currencies do not experience the same appreciation/depreciation against the currency of the exporter.⁵

Currency Invoicing and U.S. Imports

A closely related phenomenon to the principle of pricing-to-market is local currency pricing (LCP). Exporters can invoice in their own home currency (producer currency pricing– PCP), in the currency of the importer (LCP), or in a third-party currency ("vehicle"currency

⁴ Campa and Goldberg (2002) discuss the role of cross-border production and the exporter's markup.

⁵ Marazzi and Sheets (2007).

pricing–VCP).⁶ There is a close relationship between PTM and LCP. In the short-run, import prices will stay the same when the exchange rate changes if they are priced in local currency. Thus, invoicing in LCP reduces exchange-rate pass-through, at least in the short run. However, foreign producers could resist increasing the local-currency-denominated prices of their goods in the long term to remain competitive in foreign markets. According to Kamps (2006), a key reason to use LCP is to keep prices stable in the importing market, but exporters then face uncertainty in the actually price they receive (in their own currency).

In theory, if the exporter using LCP adjusts the importer's price to an exchange rate change in the longer run, there should be no difference in exchange-rate pass-through using PCP or LCP, and the pass-through should equal one. However, Gopinath, Itshoki, and Rigobon (2007) suggest that currency invoicing does matter. Using unpublished monthly BLS data for 1994-2005, they estimated the average long-term pass-through for imports priced in dollars to be 0.25, whereas for import goods not priced in dollars, the average pass-through was .95, or almost 1. Gopinath, Itshoki, and Rigobon further report that in 2004, 93 percent of U.S. imports were priced in dollars, up from 88 percent in 1994. This indicates the strong presence of LCP in U.S. imports.

Vehicle Currencies and Commodity Prices

In cases where goods are very close substitutes and consumers can easily shift among suppliers, an exporter may prefer to price in the same currency as his competitors (vehicle currency). The dollar is the most common "vehicle" currency in international trade (see data in Figure 1). Homogeneous commodities, such as metals, minerals, and agricultural commodities,

⁶ For a discussion of currency invoicing see Kamps (2006) and Goldberg and Tille (2007).



Figure 1 Exports: Dollar invoicing, various countries, 2003-2007 and U.S. share of exports, 2007

are typically priced in dollars. In addition, countries such as Korea, Thailand, and other Asian countries often price most of their exports in dollars, using the dollar for a large part of their exports, regardless of the U.S. share.

Due to dollar pricing, commodity prices are highly correlated with changes in the dollar, and are expected to rise when the dollar declines and vice versa. Thus, it has been common to report pass-through estimates for U.S. imports less oil, or consumer goods alone. More recently, Marazzi, Sheets, and Vigfusson (2005) included commodity prices as a variable in estimated pass-through equations to control for the indirect effect of the dollar. Aggregate exchange-rate pass-through holding commodity prices constant is expected to be lower compared to estimates that do not control for commodity effects.⁷

^{*}Data are for Chinese exports of textiles only

Source: Goldberg and Tille; Bank of Thailand; Kamps; Bernard; and GTIS, Global Trade Atlas Database.

⁷.Hellerstein, Daly, and Marsh (2006), and Marazzi and Sheets (2007).

Estimates of Exchange-Rate Pass-Through–United States

Numerous economic studies have examined the extent of exchange-rate pass-through to import prices both in the United States and in foreign countries. These studies measure the sensitivity of movements in merchandise import prices to changes in the U.S. dollar (for exchange-rate pass-through in the U.S. market), after controlling for other factors that influence the evolution of import prices, such as foreign production costs, and in some cases, commodity prices.

Marazzi, Sheets, and Vigfusson (2005), using data from 1972 to 2004, found a U.S. passthrough rate of 0.12 for the sample ending in the last ten years of the study, based on U.S. prices for imports less energy products, computers, and semi conductors, and holding commodity prices constant.⁸ The exchange rate pass-through including the commodity price effects was estimated to be 0.3. The authors also found that exchange rate pass-through tends to occur quite rapidly, with the contemporaneous coefficient and one lag accounting for most of the exchange rate passthrough. The authors cite the increasing role of China in the U.S. market as one of the factors likely contributing to the low exchange-rate pass-through in recent years. Hellerstein, Daly, and Marsh (2006) estimated aggregate-level exchange-rate pass-through into U.S. prices to be 0.51 using data on imports less petroleum products from 1985 to 2005 (quarter 2), and 0.36 holding commodity effects constant.

⁸ The authors eliminated petroleum products due to high price volatility, and computers and semiconductors due to arguments that their prices behave differently due to hedonic price adjustments. However, they note that excluding computers and semiconductors did not significantly affect the results as compared to including these products.

Estimates of Exchange Rate Pass-through–Foreign Exporters

If pass-through is low, exporters are absorbing changes in exchange rate adjustments in their profit margins by adjusting their export prices to offset the effects of the exchange rate change. A number of studies have analyzed the behavior of exporters and how they react to exchange rate movements in the U.S. market. These studies have generally found that export prices are tend to be more sensitive for exports to the U.S. market, thus indicating that PTM or LCP behavior.

Parsons and Sato (2008) investigated exchange rate pass-through by Japanese exporters for three destinations: the United States, the EU, and East Asia using Japanese 9-digit HS data for 27 manufactured products. They examined the change in the Japanese export price (in yen) in response to a bilateral change in the exchange rate using data from 1988 to 2005. The currencies used by Japanese exporters for invoicing exports affected the estimated pass-through coefficients. They noted that the United States largely imports in dollars, but the EU tends to import from Japan in euros, with the yen and dollar occupying smaller shares. In East Asia, approximately 50 percent of Japanese exports are denominated in yen, but exports in U.S. dollars are also common.

Regression results showed PTM behavior to be more common in Japanese exports to the United States, and to a lesser extent, the EU. In contrast, they found exchange-rate pass-through to be relatively high in East Asia. Parsons and Sato hypothesized that while PTM may not be occurring in the destination currencies in East Asia, Japanese exporters may set their export prices in the region in relation to world prices denominated in dollars. They found PTM in automobiles, and transportation and related parts exports both in the EU and the United States. In addition, they found PTM behavior in steel in the U.S., EU, and the East Asian market.

Fukuda and Ono (2004) investigated the stability of Korean export prices for 19 commodities against changes in the U.S. dollar, Japanese yen, and euro versus the Korean won from 1998 to 2002 using regression analysis. The purpose of this study was to show how the use of a dominant vehicle currency (the dollar) for invoicing exports affects Korean export prices. As noted earlier, payments in U.S. dollars are dominant in East Asian trade. Fukuda and Ono's results showed that export prices in Korea have high correlation with the U.S. dollar (0.837 meaning that a 1 percent change in the won/dollar exchange rate is associated with a 0.8 percent change in won export prices), and modest correlation with the yen and the euro. Moreover, they showed that Korean export prices were highly correlated in terms of the U.S. dollar even in commodities for which Japan had the largest export market share.

Fukuda and Ono's results are consistent with LCP and low ERPT to the U.S. market.⁹ They also argue that Korean exporters may choose to sell their products in U.S. dollars since their products are less differentiated and competitive pressures may lead to exporting firms choosing to invoice in dollars, even if the United States is not a trade partner.

Exchange-Rate Pass-Through--China

Bernard (2008) examined the role of exchange rates on Chinese firms, specifically, textile and apparel exporters based on an original survey of over 230 firms in 2006. This study found that Chinese exporters of textiles and apparel receive the majority of their export revenues in dollars (88 percent), while the United States accounts for roughly 24 percent of sales.¹⁰ According to Bernard, the intense competition in textile and apparel markets makes the dollar an

⁹ For example, assume the dollar depreciates against the Korean won. If Korean prices are set in U.S. dollars, then we would expect that Korean export prices in won to fall with the dollar depreciation.

¹⁰Bernard (2008), 6–7.

attractive vehicle currency, but combined with low margins on exports, places many Chinese firms in a position of substantial exchange rate risk. The study suggests that the most likely response of Chinese textile and apparel exporters in the event of an appreciation of the yuan is to raise export prices due to the lack of alternatives to minimize exchange rate risk.

III. Methodology

Estimation of exchange-rate pass-through has typically been based on the law of one price, combined with the pricing-to-market literature. According to standard economic trade theory, if we ignore transport and other border costs, the dollar price of U.S. imports equals the foreign currency export prices converted into dollars (E =\$/foreign currency) for sale in the U.S. market as shown in equation 1 below:

(1) $P_t^m = E_t P_t^x$

where P_t^m is the domestic price in the importing country;

Et is the nominal exchange rate (domestic currency per unit of foreign exchange); and

 P_t^x is the foreign price (in units of foreign currency).

As denoted above, a depreciation of the dollar (increase in E_t) must result in a rise in U.S. import prices of the same magnitude, unless there is a decline in the prices foreign producers receive, and vice versa.

The impact of an exchange rate change on import prices is usually defined as the percent change in the local currency import price (P_t^m) resulting from a one percent change in the exchange rate between the exporting and importing country (E_t) . For example, if the dollar falls by 10 percent, equation (1) implies that the import price in the United States in dollars should increase by 10 percent–a pass-through equal to one. If this does not occur, equation (1) suggests

that foreign producers must be absorbing some of the decline in the value of the dollar. This is labeled as "incomplete" pass-through of exchange rates to import prices.

The analysis of pricing-to-market considers the following relationships among prices, exchange rates, and costs for differentiated products characterized by monopolistic competition:¹¹

(2) $P_t^m = E_t P_t^x = E_t M kup^x C_t^x$

and

(3) Mkup_t^x =
$$P_t^x/C_t^x$$

where (C_t^x) is the exporters marginal cost, and $Mkup_t^x$ is the exporter's markup over marginal cost. The markup rate could be 2, for example, giving the exporter a profit of 200 percent over marginal cost.

Based upon equation (2) and the pricing-to-market literature, the following equation was used to estimate the pass-through of exchange rates to U.S. import prices:

(4)
$$P_t^m = \alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{i=4} a_i E_{t-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{j=4} c_j w p_{t-j} + d_t (U.S. GDP_t) + \varepsilon_t$$

where: P_t^m is an index of U.S. import prices in period t; α is a constant; E_{t-i} is the U.S. exchange rate (broad-based or bilateral with the specific country, in dollars per foreign currency unit) at time t minus i; wp_{t-j} is a control for price (cost) movements that affect import prices independently of the exchange rate in period t-j. In addition, Campa and Goldberg (2002) suggest that exchange-rate pass-through equations should control for the effects of U.S. demand

¹¹ See, Campa and Goldberg (2002). Pricing-to-market requires differentiated goods and a markup over price.

on import prices, thus U.S. GDP_t (U.S. gross domestic product [volume basis] in period t) is included in the equation. Finally, ε_t is a regression error term.

In equation (4), the estimated coefficient on E_t , a_i , is the pass-through coefficient, and is expected to be between 0 and 1:

- $a_i = 1$, import prices change with exchange rates on a 1 to 1 basis, and pass-through is complete;
- $a_i = 0$, denotes import prices do not change with exchange rates, and there is no pass-through;

The equation was estimated for aggregate U.S. import prices, and for import prices from Canada, Japan, the NIEs (Taiwan, South Korea, Singapore, and Hong Kong), the EU, and Latin America. The aggregate price indexes are the import price index for all commodities excluding oil, and the aggregate import price index for consumer goods from the BLS. The bilateral import price indexes are the BLS locality price indexes. Exchange rates are from the Federal Reserve Board.¹²

The exchange rate used in the aggregate price equations is a weighted average of exchange rates of major U.S. trading partners. The exchange rate in the bilateral equations is the dollar exchange rate relative to the bilateral trading partner, or the euro, in the case of the EU. The variable wp_{t-j} is measured as foreign wholesale (producer) prices in all equations except the U.S. aggregate import equations where wp_{t-j} is measured as the percent change in the average world consumer price index. The foreign price indexes and the U.S. GDP data are from the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics. All variables are in logarithm first differences, except the world consumer price, which is on a percentage change basis.

 $^{0 &}lt; a_i < 1$, import prices change with exchange rates, but less than the change in the exchange rate, and pass-through is incomplete.

¹² Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, *Foreign Exchange Rates*.

The use of the BLS locality price indexes limited the length of time over which the exchange-rate pass-through could be estimated since the locality price series was instituted in 1999. However, the use of actual prices collected by BLS, rather than unit value import data, helps to correct for the well-known deficiencies of import unit value data, which are often poor and do not reflect changes in products and product composition. The equations were estimated using quarterly data from 1999 to 2008. To control for commodity effects, the import prices indices for Canada, the EU, and Latin America are for manufactured goods only. For Japan and the NIEs, the import price indices are for all commodities (which are heavily weighted toward imports of manufactures).

The BLS locality price index for the NIEs includes import prices for four countries (South Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong, and Singapore). Therefore, the exchange rate and the domestic price variables for the NIEs are trade-weighted averages based on U.S. Customs import value data, with the weights adjusted every year. Similarly, the locality index for Latin America includes Mexico and countries in Central America, the Caribbean, and South America. However, an analysis of manufactured goods imports from these countries indicated that, in recent years, approximately 85 percent of these imports are from Mexico and Brazil, with Mexico accounting for the preponderance. Thus, the exchange rate and domestic price variables for Latin America are weighted averages, with the weights based on Brazilian and Mexican U.S. import data.

An additional limitation of the locality import price data is that the EU index includes all the EU countries–including the United Kingdom. The EU regressions were estimated relative to changes in the euro–no correction was made for the effect of the UK pound.

The exchange rate and price variables are entered in the equations for the current period include four lags. Thus, the estimated exchange-rate pass through takes into account the amount

of time that it often takes for exchange rates to affect import prices. The equations were estimated using ordinary least squares with a correction for serial correlation.

IV. Findings/Discussion

The data showing the graphical relationships between U.S. dollar exchange rate changes, aggregate import prices, and import prices for Japan, the NIEs, the EU, and Japan are shown in Appendix Figures A.1 to A.6. The exchange rate is denoted as dollars per foreign currency unit so that an increase in the exchange rate represents a depreciation of the dollar. One would expect a positive correlation between the exchange rate and import price changes. All data are in natural log first differences, reported on a quarterly basis. The figures show how import prices have changed with the exchange rate from 1999 to 2008. The data as graphed do not take account possible lags in the effect of exchange rate changes on import prices and they do not control for movements in import prices that are unrelated to changes in the exchange rate.

Appendix Figure A.7 shows BLS import price and exchange rate changes for China, which allowed the yuan to fluctuate against the dollar starting in July, 2005. The data show an upward trend in the dollar/yuan exchange rate and in U.S. import prices, particularly starting in 2006. The available data did not support econometric estimation of exchange-rate pass-through for U.S. imports from China due to the small number of observations available after the yuan was allowed to fluctuate.¹³ However, due to the importance of China in U.S. trade, the extent to which import prices for Chinese goods increase with the dollar exchange rate will have a large effect on the overall estimate of U.S. exchange rate pass-through.

¹³ OLS estimation with just 14 quarterly observations resulted in a 0.41 exchange-rate pass-through, statistically significant at the 10 percent level. The estimation period included China's elimination of some export rebates, which likely affected the estimate. In addition, the quality of China's producer price data affected the estimate.

The OLS coefficients estimated for the exchange-rate pass-through are in Table 1. The actual regression results are in Appendix Table 1. All of the estimated exchange rate coefficients are statistically significant at the 1 or 2 percent level, except for the NIEs. The estimated exchange-rate pass-through of 0.47 for aggregate U.S. imports less oil is higher than the estimate of Marazzi, Sheets, and Vigfusson (2005), but slightly lower than the estimate of Hellerstein, Daly and Marsh (2006), both of which were estimated for different time periods. The estimated exchange rate pass-through for consumer goods of 0.26 for consumer goods is also higher than the previous studies. In contrast to Marazzi, Sheets, and Vigfusson and Hellerstein, Daly and Marsh, the estimates in this paper include a number of periods when the Chinese yuan was appreciating against the dollar, which may have contributed to the higher exchange rate pass-through.

TABLE 1. U.S. imports: Exchange-rate pass-through, 1999-2008								
Imports from:	Products	Exchange-rate pass- through estimate ¹	Standard error					
All countries	Imports less oil ²	0.47**	0.170					
All countries	Consumer goods	0.26***	0.026					
Japan	All products	0.16***	0.039					
EU	Manufactures	0.26***	0.062					
Canada	Manufactures	0.49***	0.068					
NIEs	All products	0.00	0.011					
Latin America	Manufactures	0.59***	0.146					
Source: Estimated using data from DOL, BLS and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve.								
¹ Quarterly observations. Estimates are long-run. Coefficients give the percent change in import price for a 1 percent change in the exchange rate over 4 quarters. ² Excludes petroleum and petroleum products. ** = significant at the 2 percent level. *** = significant at the 1 percent level.								

The exchange-rate estimates show the highest pass-through for manufactured imports from Latin America (0.59) and Canada (0.49). As noted earlier, the results of the Latin America equation largely reflect the influence of Mexico, which accounts for the largest part of U.S. imports from this region. The relatively high estimated exchange-rate pass-through for these countries is interesting, as it is generally considered that the United States economy and trade are highly integrated with these countries due to the NAFTA. The high exchange-rate pass-through, might indicate that exchange rate costs are included in intra-firm pricing and accounting practices. The actual effects on wholesale and consumer prices might be different once the pricing strategies of parent firms in the United States are taken into consideration.

The relatively low exchange-rate pass-through for imports from Japan is consistent with the study by Parsons and Sato (2008), which found that PTM behavior among Japanese exports is common for exports to the United States. Most of the exchange rate pass-through for Japan is was found to take place in the first and second quarters. The non-existent exchange-rate pass-through found for the NIEs is consistent with the study by Fukuda and Ono (2004) which showed that East Asian countries tend to set their export prices in dollars across export markets. The estimated exchange-rate pass-through of 0.26 for the EU seems reasonable–the coefficient is lower than that of Latin America (Mexico) and Canada, whose economies are highly integrated with that of the United States, but higher than the estimates for Japan and the NIE's where PTM behavior is common.

V. Conclusions

This paper has examined some of the reasons why changes in the value of the U.S. dollar could result in low pass-through to U.S. import prices. This is an important issue for U.S. trade

competitiveness because if exchange rate changes are fully passed through to U.S. import prices, such exchange rate changes could result in increased costs (exchange rate depreciation) or increased competitiveness (dollar appreciation) of domestic producers relative to foreign suppliers. Some of the economic explanations for low exchange-rate pass-through include pricing-to-market, dollar invoicing, and global sourcing.

Both the economic literature, and the data compiled in this report, indicate low exchangerate pass-through to U.S. import prices, particularly for consumer goods. However, estimates of exchange-rate pass-through for various countries indicate it varies by import source. The lowest exchange-rate pass-through was found for imports from Asian countries--the NIEs and Japan. Exchange-rate pass- through appears to be highest for the Latin America (Mexico) and Canada, with the EU in between. The higher rate of exchange-rate pass-through for Latin America and Canada, may be linked to the interrelated manufacturing processes between these countries and the United States, although this is not explored in the paper.

VI. References

- Bank of Thailand, "Structure of Import and Export Payments Classified by Currency." <u>http://www.bankthailand.info/ExchangeRates.htm</u> (retrieved January 15, 2009).
- Bernard, Andrew B. "Chinese Exporters, Exchange Rate Exposure, and the Value of the Renminbi," Working Paper no. 2008-52, Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth, Hanover, N.H., 2008.
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Foreign Exchange Rates (accessed various dates). http://www.federalreserve.gov/econresdata/releases/statisticsdata.htm.
- Campa, Jose Manual and Linda S. Goldberg. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through into Import Prices: A Macro or Micro Phenomenon?" Working Paper no. 8934, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, 2002.
- Fukuda, Shin-ichi and Masanori Ono. "The Choice of Invoice Currency under Uncertainty: Theory and Evidence from Korea," CIRJE F-271, Faculty of Economics, University of Tokyo, 2004.
- Global Trade Information Service, Inc. (GTIS). World Trade Atlas Database (accessed various dates).
- Goldberg, Linda and Cedric Tille. "Macroeconomic Interdependence and the International Role of the Dollar," presentation at the 8th Jacques Polak Annual Research Conference, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC, November 15-16, 2007.
- Gopinath, Gita, Oleg Itshoki, and Roberto Rigobon. "Currency Choice and Exchange Rate Pass-Through," Working Paper no. 13432, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge MA, 2007.
- Hellerstein, Rebecca, Deirdre Daly, and Christina Marsh. "Have U.S. Import Prices Become Less Responsive to Changes in the Dollar?" *Current Issues in Economics*, 12, Federal Reserve Bankof New York, 2006.

International Monetary Fund. International Financial Statistics, various issues.

- Kamps, Annette. "The Euro as Invoicing Currency in International Trade," Working Paper no. 665, The European Central Bank, Frankfurt, Germany, 2006.
- Krugman, Paul. "Pricing-to-Market When the Exchange Rate Changes." in Sven W. Arndt and J. David Richardson, eds., *Real Financial Linkages Among Open Economies*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1987: 49-70.

- Marazzi, Mario, Nathan Sheets, and Robert Vigfusson. "Exchange Rate Pass-through to U.S. Import Prices: Some New Evidence," International Finance Discussion Paper no. 833, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC, 2005.
- Marazzi, Mario and Nathan Sheets. "Declining Exchange Rate Pass-through to U.S. Import Prices: The Potential Role of Global Factors," *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 26 (2007): 924-47.
- Mishkin, Governor Frederick S. "Exchange Rate Pass-Through and Monetary Policy," speech prepared for the Norges Bank Conference on Monetary Policy, Oslo, Norway, March 7, 2008.
- Parsons, Craig R. and Kiyotaka Sato. "New Estimates of Exchange Rate Pass-Through in Japanese Exports, *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 13, (2008): 174-183.
- U.S. Department of Labor (DOL), Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). Import/Export Price Indexes. <u>http://www.bls.gov/data/#international.</u>

Wall Street Journal, "Slack Labor Markets Will Hold Down Prices." June 24, 2009, 15.

Table A.1 Estimation results: Exchange-rate pass-through to U.S. import prices: ¹										
	Imports less oil ²	Consumer goods	Imports From:							
			Canada	Latin America	EU	Japan	NIEs			
U.S. GDP	0.025	-0.160	-0.127	0.955	-0.001	-0.004	0.055			
	(0.334)	(0.123)	(0.336)	(0.548)	(0.269)	(0.126)	(0.041)			
Foreign	0.007	0.004	1.175****	1.132 [*]	0.669 [*]	0.250 [*]	0.173***			
price	(0.010)	(0.004)	(0.312)	(0.574)	(0.220)	(0.136)	(0.035)			
Exchange	0.467**	0.259***	0.489***	0.595***	0.261***	0.163***	0.001			
rate	(0.170)	(0.077)	(0.115)	(0.145)	(0.034)	(0.016)	(0.011)			
Constant	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.010	0.001	-0.001	-0.002***			
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(.002)	(0.009)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.000)			
N= 39										
R-sq	0.71	0.61	0.83	0.76	0.78	0.62	0.77			
R-sq adj	0.59	0.47	0.77	0.68	0.70	0.49	0.68			
DW	1.94	1.87	2.00	1.96	1.86	2.07	1.89			

Source: Author's calculations using OLS regression.

¹ Quarterly observations. Exchange rate coefficients give the percent change in import price for a 1 percent change in the exchange rate over four quarters. Standard errors are in parentheses. Data are in log differences or percent change. ² Excludes petroleum and petroleum products.

* = significant at the 10 percent level ** = significant at the 2 percent level

*** = significant at the 1 percent level



Figure A.1 Change in U.S. exchange rate and U.S. import prices, 1999–2008

Source: DOL, BLS and Federal Reserve Board. U.S. dollars per foreign currency in terms of a broad basket of currencies.



Figure A.2 U.S.Canadian Dollar exchange rate and Canadian import prices,

Source: DOL, BLS and Federal Reserve Board.



Source: DOL, BLS and Federal Reserve Board. NIEs include South Korea, Taiwan, Singapore, and Hong Kong. Exchange rate is trade-weighted average using one-year lagged chain.





Source: DOL, BLS and Federal Reserve Board. U.S. dollars per yen.



Figure A.5 Change in dollar/euro exchange rate and U.S. import prices from the EU, 1999–2008





Figure A.6 Change in dollar/Latin America exchange rate and U.S. import prices from Latin America, 1999–2008

Note: LA exchange rate is a weighted average of Brazil's and Mexico's exchange rates relative to the dollar.

Source: DOL, BLS and Federal Reserve Board.



Source: DOL, BLS and Federal Reserve Board.