

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN PERSONAL  
TRANSPORTERS, COMPONENTS  
THEREOF, AND MANUALS  
THEREFOR**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-935**

**NOTICE OF THE COMMISSION'S DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW  
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION TERMINATING RESPONDENT  
ROBSTEP ROBOT CO., LTD. BASED ON SETTLEMENT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 19) terminating Robstep Robot Co., Ltd. based on settlement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on November 10, 2014, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Segway Inc. of Bedford, New Hampshire and DEKA Products Limited Partnership of Manchester, New Hampshire (collectively "Complainants"). 79 Fed. Reg. 66739-40 (Nov. 10, 2014). The complaint was filed on September 9, 2014; a supplement to the complaint was filed on September 19, 2014; and an amended complaint was filed on October 6, 2014. The amended complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the sale for

importation, importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain personal transporters, components thereof, and manuals therefor by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,789,640; U.S. Patent No. 7,275,607; U.S. Patent No. 8,830,048; U.S. Design Patent No. D551,722; U.S. Design Patent No. D551,592; and Copyright Registration No. TX 7-800-563. The Commission's notice of investigation named the following respondents: PowerUnion (Beijing) Tech Co. Ltd. of Beijing, China; UPTECH Robotics Technology Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; Beijing Universal Pioneering Robotics Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; Beijing Universal Pioneering Technology Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; Ninebot Inc. of Beijing China; Ninebot Inc. of Newark, Delaware; Shenzhen INMOTION Technologies Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; Robstep Robot Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China ("Robstep"); FreeGo High-Tech Corporation Limited of Shenzhen, China; Freego USA, LLC of Sibley, Iowa; Tech in the City of Honolulu, Hawaii; Roboscooters.com of Laurel Hill, North Carolina; and EcoBoomer Co. Ltd. of Malibu, California. A Commission investigative attorney (IA) is participating in the investigation.

On April 21, 2015, Complainants and Robstep filed a joint motion to terminate Robstep based on a settlement agreement. On April 30, 2015, the IA filed a response supporting the motion.

On May 4, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID and granted the motion. Order No. 19. The ALJ found that the parties complied with the rules and provided confidential and non-confidential versions of the settlement agreement. *Id.* at 2. The ALJ noted that the parties represented that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between them concerning the subject matter of this investigation. *Id.* The ALJ also determined that partial termination of the investigation based on settlement would not impose any undue burdens on public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or U.S. consumers. *Id.* at 3. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 20, 2015