

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN CONSUMER ELECTRONICS  
AND DISPLAY DEVICES WITH GRAPHICS  
PROCESSING AND GRAPHICS  
PROCESSING UNITS THEREIN**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-932**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN  
INITIAL DETERMINATION PARTIALLY TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION  
AS TO CERTAIN PATENT CLAIMS**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 35) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on August 7, 2015, partially terminating the investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint with respect to all asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,697,063 (“the ’063 patent”); 6,992,667 (“the ’667 patent”); 7,015,913 (“the ’913 patent”); and 6,198,488 (“the ’488 patent”), and with respect to claims 2-5, 7-14, 16-19, 21-23, 25-30, 34-36, 38, and 41-43 of U.S. Patent No. 7,038,685 (“the ’685 patent”); claims 1-10 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,209,140 (“the ’140 patent”); and claims 1, 6, 9, 11-16, 19-22, and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,372 (“the ’372 patent”).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on October 10, 2014, based on a complaint filed on September 4, 2014, on behalf of NVIDIA Corporation of Santa Clara, California (“NVIDIA”). 79 *Fed. Reg.* 61338 (Oct. 10, 2014). The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the sale for importation, importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain consumer electronics and display devices with graphics processing and graphics processing units therein by reason of infringement of certain claims of the ’667 patent, the ’685 patent, the ’913 patent, the ’063 patent, the ’140 patent, the ’372 patent, and the ’488 patent. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC of Richardson, Texas; Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. of San Jose, California; and Qualcomm, Inc. of San Diego, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party to the investigation.

On June 10, 2015, NVIDIA filed a second corrected version of its unopposed motion for partial termination of the investigation based on withdrawal of its complaint with respect to the ’063 patent, and with respect to certain claims of the ’667 patent, the ’685 patent, the ’913 patent, the ’140 patent, and the ’372 patent. On June 22, 2015, NVIDIA filed an unopposed motion for partial termination of the investigation based on withdrawal of its complaint with respect to the ’488 patent, and additional asserted claims of the ’667 patent, the ’685 patent, the ’913 patent. On June 25, 2015, NVIDIA filed an unopposed motion for partial termination of the investigation based on the withdrawal of its complaint with respect to the last asserted claim of the ’913 patent. In its motions, NVIDIA asserted that there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation.

On August 7, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the motions. The ALJ found that there were no extraordinary circumstances to prevent NVIDIA’s withdrawal of these claims from the investigation. The ALJ also found that partial termination of the investigation is in the public interest, as public and private resources will be conserved.

No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: September 1, 2015