

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN MEMS DEVICES AND
PRODUCTS CONTAINING SAME**

Investigation No. 337-TA-700

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DECISION TO EXTEND THE TARGET DATE FOR
COMPLETION OF THE INVESTIGATION**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to extend the target date for completion of the above-captioned investigation to May 10, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 5, 2010, based on a complaint filed on December 1, 2009, by Analog Devices, Inc. ("Analog Devices") of Norwood, Massachusetts. *75 Fed. Reg.* 449-50 (January 5, 2010). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain microelectromechanical systems ("MEMS") devices and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,220,614 ("the '614 patent") and 7,364,942 ("the '942 patent"). The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complaint named as respondents Knowles Electronics LLC ("Knowles") of Itasca, Illinois and Mouser Electronics, Inc. ("Mouser") of Mansfield, Texas.

On December 23, 2010, the ALJ issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337 by respondents as to the `942 patent only, and issued his recommended determinations on remedy and bonding. On January 18, 2011, respondents, Analog Devices, and the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) each filed a petition for review of the final ID, and each party filed a response on January 27, 2011.

On March 7, 2011, the Commission determined to review: (1) the ALJ’s construction of the claim term “oven” relating to both the `614 and `942 patents; (2) the ALJ’s construction of the claim term “sawing” relating to both the `614 and `942 patents; (3) the ALJ’s determination that the accused process does not infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 12, 15, 31-32, 34-35, and 38-39 of the `614 patent or claim 1 of the `942 patent; (4) the ALJ’s finding that U.S. Patent No. 5,597,767 (“the `767 patent”) does not incorporate by reference U.S. Patent Nos. 5,331,454 (“the `454 patent”) and 5,512,374 (“the `374 patent”); (5) the ALJ’s finding that claims 2-6 and 8 are infringed by the accused process; (6) the ALJ’s findings that claims 34-35 and 38-39 of the `614 patent, and claims 2-6 and 8 of the `942 patent, are not anticipated, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), by the `767 patent or the `374 patent; (7) the ALJ’s findings that claims 34-35 and 38-39 of the `614 patent are not obvious, under 35 U.S.C. § 103, in view of the `767 patent and the Sakata et al. (“Sakata”) prior art reference; and (8) the ALJ’s finding that the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement is satisfied as to both the `614 and `942 patents. The determinations made in the final ID that were not reviewed became final determinations of the Commission by operation of rule. *See* 19 U.S.C. § 210.42(h).

The Commission requested the parties to respond to certain questions concerning the issues under review and requested written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding from the parties and interested non-parties. *74 Fed. Reg.* 13433-34 (March 11, 2011).

On March 18 and March 25, 2011, respectively, complainant Analog Devices, respondents, and the IA each filed a brief and a reply brief on the issues for which the Commission requested written submissions. Also, on March 21, 2011, respondents filed a motion for leave to file a corrected submission that clarified that the March 18, 2011 submission was filed on behalf of both Knowles and Mouser. On March 29, 2011, respondents filed a motion for leave to file a corrected submission that strikes a portion of their initial brief. On March 31, 2011, respondents filed notice of their withdrawal of their March 29, 2011 motion. The Commission has determined to grant respondents’ remaining motion of March 21, 2011.

The Commission has determined to extend the target date for completion of the above-referenced investigation by six (6) days to May 10, 2011.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.51(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 210.51(a)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/

James R. Holbein
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 4, 2011