NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION ON THE BASIS OF A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 7) granting the joint motion to terminate the captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on September 18, 2008, based on a complaint filed by Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. of Austin, Texas (“Freescale”). 73 Fed. Reg. 54164 (September 18, 2008). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits or products containing the same that infringe one or more of claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,467,455; 5,776,798; and 6,473,349. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named LSI Corporation of Milpitas, California (“LSI”) as the sole respondent.
On October 27, 2008, Freescale and LSI jointly moved to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. On November 6, 2008, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

On November 10, 2008, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on the settlement agreement. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21 (19 C.F.R. § 210.21). The ALJ also concluded that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 C.F.R. § 210.50(b)(2)), there is no evidence that termination of this investigation will prejudice the public interest. No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.


By order of the Commission.

/s/
William R. Bishop
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: November 29, 2008