NOTICE OF COMMISSION DECISION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION GRANTING COMPLAINANT’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DETERMINATION THAT IT SATISFIES THE ECONOMIC PRONG OF THE DOMESTIC INDUSTRY REQUIREMENT AS TO ALL ASSERTED PATENTS


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 8) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting complainant’s motion for summary determination that it satisfies the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to all asserted patents.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 4, 2008, based on a complaint filed by Sharp Corporation (“Sharp”) of Japan. 73 Fed. Reg. 11678. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain liquid crystal display devices, products containing same, and methods for using the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,879,364; 6,952,192; 7,304,703; and 7,304,626. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following respondents: Samsung Electronics
America, Inc. of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, “Samsung”).

On October 9, 2008, complainant Sharp moved for summary determination that it satisfies the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to all asserted patents. Samsung opposed Sharp’s motion, and the Commission investigative attorney supported the motion. On November 7, 2008, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 8) granting complainant’s motion. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.


By order of the Commission.

/s/
William R. Bishop
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: November 29, 2008