NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW ALJ ORDER NO. 22 GRANTING COMPLAINTANT’S MOTION TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 22) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant’s motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 13, 2007, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, based on a complaint filed by SiRF Technology, Inc. of San Jose, California ("SiRF"), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain GPS chips, associated software and systems, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,304,216; 7,043,363;
7,091,904 (“the ‘904 patent’); and 7,132,980. 72 Fed. Reg. 11378 (Mar. 13, 2007). The complainant named Global Locate, Inc. of San Jose, California as respondent. The complaint and notice of investigation were later amended to include one additional claim of the ‘904 patent. Subsequently, the investigation was terminated with respect to the ‘904 patent and certain claims of the other patents.

On November 7, 2007, complainant SIRF moved for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add Broadcom, Inc. as a respondent to the investigation.

On November 16, 2007, the ALJ issued Order No. 22 granting complainant’s motion. No party petitioned for review of Order No. 22. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/
Marilyn R. Abbott
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: December 13, 2007