

# **Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe From Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam**

Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1210-1212(Preliminary)

**Publication 4413**

**July 2013**

**U.S. International Trade Commission**



Washington, DC 20436

# U.S. International Trade Commission

## COMMISSIONERS

**Irving A. Williamson, Chairman**

**Daniel R. Pearson**

**Shara L. Aranoff**

**Dean A. Pinkert**

**David S. Johanson**

**Meredith M. Broadbent**

---

Robert B. Koopman

*Director, Office of Operations*

---

*Staff assigned*

Michael Szustakowski, Investigator

Karen Taylor, Industry Analyst

Amelia Preece, Economist

Justin Jee, Accountant

Lita David-Harris, Statistician

Darlene Smith, Statistical Assistant

Michael Haldenstein, Attorney

James McClure, Supervisory Investigator

Address all communications to  
Secretary to the Commission  
United States International Trade Commission  
Washington, DC 20436

# U.S. International Trade Commission

Washington, DC 20436  
[www.usitc.gov](http://www.usitc.gov)

## Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe From Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam

Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1210-1212(Preliminary)

Publication 4413



July 2013



## CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Determination .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Views of the Commission .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Part I: Introduction .....</b>	<b>I-1</b>
Background .....	I-1
Statutory criteria and organization of report .....	I-2
Statutory criteria .....	I-2
Organization of the report.....	I-3
Market summary .....	I-3
Summary data.....	I-3
Previous and related Title VII investigations .....	I-4
Previous and related safeguard investigations.....	I-5
Nature and extent of alleged sales at LTFV .....	I-6
The subject merchandise.....	I-6
Commerce’s scope .....	I-6
Tariff treatment .....	I-7
The product .....	I-7
Description and applications .....	I-7
Manufacturing processes .....	I-9
Domestic like product issues .....	I-10
<b>Part II: Conditions of competition in the U.S. market .....</b>	<b>II-1</b>
U.S. market characteristics .....	II-1
Channels of distribution .....	II-1
Geographic markets.....	II-1
Supply and demand considerations .....	II-3
U.S. supply .....	II-3
U.S. demand .....	II-6
Substitutability issues .....	II-8
Comparisons of domestic products, subject imports, and nonsubject imports .....	II-8
<b>Part III: U.S. producers’ production, shipments, and employment.....</b>	<b>III-1</b>
U.S. producers.....	III-1
U.S. production, capacity, and capacity utilization.....	III-2
U.S. producers’ U.S. shipments and exports .....	III-5
U.S. producers’ inventories .....	III-6
U.S. producers’ imports and purchases.....	III-7
U.S. employment, wages, and productivity.....	III-7

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Part IV: U.S. imports, apparent consumption, and market shares .....</b>	<b>IV-1</b>
U.S. importers .....	IV-1
U.S. imports .....	IV-3
Negligibility .....	IV-6
Cumulation considerations.....	IV-6
Apparent U.S. consumption.....	IV-7
U.S. market shares.....	IV-8
Ratio of imports to U.S. production.....	IV-10
<b>Part V: Pricing and related information.....</b>	<b>V-1</b>
Factors affecting prices.....	V-1
Raw material costs .....	V-1
U.S. inland transportation costs .....	V-1
Pricing practices.....	V-3
Pricing methods.....	V-3
Sales terms and discounts .....	V-4
Price data .....	V-5
Price trends.....	V-9
Price comparisons .....	V-10
Lost sales and lost revenues .....	V-11
<b>Part VI: Financial experience of U.S. producers.....</b>	<b>VI-1</b>
Background .....	VI-1
Operations on WSS pressure pipe .....	VI-1
Capital expenditures and research and development expenses.....	VI-6
Assets and return on assets.....	VI-7
Capital and investment.....	VI-8
<b>Part VII: Threat considerations and information on nonsubject countries .....</b>	<b>VII-1</b>
The industry in Malaysia.....	VII-3
The industry in Thailand .....	VII-4
The industry in Vietnam.....	VII-5
Foreign industry data for Malaysia and Vietnam combined .....	VII-6
U.S. inventories of imported merchandise.....	VII-6
U.S. importers' outstanding orders .....	VII-6
Antidumping or countervailing duty orders in third-country markets.....	VII-7
Information on nonsubject sources.....	VII-8
Korea .....	VII-8
Taiwan .....	VII-9
Global exports of circular welded tubes, pipe, and hollow profiles of stainless steel .....	VII-10

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Appendixes</b>	
A. <i>Federal Register</i> notices .....	A-1
B. List of Hearing Witness .....	B-1
C. Summary data .....	C-1
D. U.S. production and U.S. imports by product grade .....	D-1
E. Quarterly domestic, subject, and nonsubject-country price data .....	E-1

Note.--Information that would reveal confidential operations of individual concerns may not be published and therefore has been deleted from this report. Such deletions are indicated by asterisks.





## UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1210-1212 (Preliminary)

Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam

### DETERMINATION

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1673b(a)) (the Act), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam of welded stainless steel pressure pipe, provided for in subheading 7306.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

### COMMENCEMENT OF FINAL PHASE INVESTIGATIONS

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the *Federal Register* as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of affirmative preliminary determinations in these investigations under section 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under section 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

### BACKGROUND

On May 16, 2013, a petition was filed with the Commission and Commerce by Bristol Metals, L.P. (Bristol, TN), Felker Brothers Corp. (Marshfield, WI), and Outokumpu Stainless Pipe (Schaumburg, IL), alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of welded stainless steel pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam. Accordingly, effective May 16, 2013, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation Nos. 731-TA-1210-1212 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference

---

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the *Federal Register* of May 24, 2013 (78 FR 31574). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on June 6, 2013, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.









well as in water purification, grain processing for ethanol, process piping/fluid handling, air piping, structural uses, and oil and gas applications.<sup>22</sup>

*Manufacturing Facilities, Production Processes and Employees.* WSS pressure pipe within the scope definition is typically produced by the continuous-mill process.<sup>23</sup> Coiled stainless steel flat-rolled products (sheet, strip, or plate) of a width essentially corresponding to the outside diameter of the pipe to be produced are put into an uncoiler and fed into a series of paired forming rolls. As product progresses through the rolls, its cross-sectional profile is formed into a tubular shape with the butted edges welded along the seam. After welding, the pipe proceeds through an in-line annealing furnace, is then straightened, and is finally cut to length.<sup>24</sup>

*Channels of Distribution.* The \*\*\* of the domestic industry's U.S. shipments of WSS pressure pipe are made directly to distributors.<sup>25</sup>

*Interchangeability.* WSS pressure pipe of different sizes is not used interchangeably but there are relatively few standard sizes for this product.<sup>26</sup>

*Producer and Customer Perceptions.* In the Commission's most recent investigation of WSS pressure pipe, the Commission found that purchasers did not perceive differences between various WSS pressure pipe products beyond wall thickness and diameter.<sup>27</sup> The Commission received no contrary evidence in the current preliminary phase investigations.

*Price.* Prices for WSS pressure pipe products largely reflect the amount of steel WSS pressure pipe products contain, which is a function of length and wall thickness.<sup>28</sup>

*Conclusion.* The record indicates that WSS pressure pipe within the scope of these investigations shares similarities with respect to most of the six factors we consider when defining the domestic like product.<sup>29</sup> Therefore, based on the record in the preliminary phase of these investigations and the lack of argument to the contrary, we define a single domestic like product, consisting of WSS pressure pipe within Commerce's scope definition.

#### **IV. Domestic Industry**

The domestic industry is defined as the domestic "producers as a whole of a domestic like product, or those producers whose collective output of a domestic like product constitutes

---

<sup>22</sup> CR at I-11, PR at I-9; Tr. at 28 (Tidlow).

<sup>23</sup> CR at I-11, PR at I-9.

<sup>24</sup> CR at I-11 to I-12, PR at I-9.

<sup>25</sup> CR/PR at Table II-1.

<sup>26</sup> CR at I-9, PR at I-7.

<sup>27</sup> *Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from China*, Inv. Nos. 701-TA-454 and 731-TA-1144 (Final), USITC Pub. 4064 ("China Investigation") at 10 (March 2009).

<sup>28</sup> See CR/PR at Tables V-3 to V-8 (showing higher prices for larger sizes).

<sup>29</sup> As stated above, there is a lack of interchangeability between pipe of different sizes. A lack of interchangeability among products produced to a range of sizes and specifications is not inconsistent, however, with finding a single domestic like product. See, e.g., *Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from China, Germany, and Turkey*, Inv. Nos. 731-TA-1099-1101 (Preliminary), USITC Pub. 3832 (January 2006) at 10.

a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product.”<sup>30</sup> In defining the domestic industry, the Commission’s general practice has been to include in the industry producers of all domestic production of the like product, whether toll-produced, captively consumed, or sold in the domestic merchant market.

Based on the record presented, and in light of the definition of the domestic like product, we define the domestic industry to encompass all known U.S. producers of WSS pressure pipe.<sup>31</sup>

## **V. Cumulation**<sup>32</sup>

### **A. In General**

For purposes of evaluating the volume and price effects for a determination of reasonable indication of material injury by reason of subject imports, section 771(7)(G)(i) of the Tariff Act requires the Commission to cumulate subject imports from all countries as to which petitions were filed and/or investigations self-initiated by Commerce on the same day, if such imports compete with each other and with the domestic like product in the U.S. market. In assessing whether subject imports compete with each other and with the domestic like product, the Commission generally has considered four factors:

- (1) the degree of fungibility between subject imports from different countries and between subject imports and the domestic like product, including consideration of specific customer requirements and other quality related questions;
- (2) the presence of sales or offers to sell in the same geographic markets of subject imports from different countries and the domestic like product;
- (3) the existence of common or similar channels of distribution for subject imports from different countries and the domestic like product; and
- (4) whether the subject imports are simultaneously present in the market.<sup>33</sup>

---

<sup>30</sup> 19 U.S.C. § 1677(4)(A).

<sup>31</sup> See CR/PR at III-1. There are no related party issues in these investigations pertaining to the producers that have submitted data. Tr. at 62-63 (Schagrin).

<sup>32</sup> Negligibility is not an issue in these investigations. The questionnaire data indicate that subject imports for each subject country exceed the requisite 3 percent statutory negligibility threshold established in 19 U.S.C. § 1677(24) for the 12-month period preceding the filing of the petition. From April 2012 to March 2013, the most recent 12-month period for which data are available, U.S. imports from Malaysia accounted for \*\*\* percent of total U.S. imports of WSS pressure pipe by quantity; U.S. imports from Thailand accounted for \*\*\* percent of total U.S. imports of WSS pressure pipe by quantity; and U.S. imports from Vietnam accounted for \*\*\* percent of total U.S. imports of WSS pressure pipe by quantity. CR at IV-7, PR at I-6.



article.<sup>7</sup> On July 26, 2001, the Commission received a resolution adopted by the Committee on Finance of the U.S. Senate (“Senate Finance Committee” or “Committee”) requesting that the Commission investigate certain steel imports under section 201 of the Trade Act of 1974.<sup>8</sup> Consistent with the Senate Finance Committee’s resolution, the Commission consolidated the investigation requested by the Committee with the Commission’s previously instituted investigation No. TA-201-73.<sup>9</sup> On December 20, 2001, the Commission issued its determinations and remedy recommendations. The Commission made a unanimous negative determination with respect to stainless steel welded tubular products.<sup>10</sup>

## **NATURE AND EXTENT OF ALLEGED SALES AT LTFV**

On June 12, 2013, Commerce published a notice in the *Federal Register* of the initiation of its antidumping duty investigations on WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam.<sup>11</sup> Commerce has initiated antidumping duty investigations based on estimated dumping margins of 22.67 percent to 22.73 percent for WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, 23.77 percent to 24.01 percent for WSS pressure pipe from Thailand, and 89.4 percent to 90.8 percent for WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam.

## **THE SUBJECT MERCHANDISE**

### **Commerce’s scope**

Commerce has defined the scope of these investigations as follows:

*circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe not greater than 14 inches in outside diameter. For purposes of these investigations, references to size are in nominal inches and include all products within tolerances allowed by pipe specifications. This merchandise includes, but is not limited to, the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) A-312 or ASTM A-778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications. ASTM A-358 products are only included when they are*

---

<sup>7</sup> *Institution and Scheduling of an Investigation under Section 202 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2252) (the Act)*, 66 FR 35267, July 3, 2001.

<sup>8</sup> 19 U.S.C. § 2251.

<sup>9</sup> *Consolidation of Senate Finance Committee Resolution Requesting a Section 201 Investigation with the Investigation Requested by the United States Trade Representative on June 22, 2001*, 66 FR 44158, August 22, 2001.

<sup>10</sup> *Steel; Import Investigations*, 66 FR 67304, December 28, 2001.

<sup>11</sup> *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations*, 78 FR 35253, June 12, 2013.

*produced to meet ASTM A–312 or ASTM A–778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications.*

### **Tariff treatment**

Based upon the scope set forth by the Department of Commerce, information available to the Commission indicates that the merchandise subject to these investigations normally are included under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) statistical reporting numbers 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5062, 7306.40.5064, and 7306.40.5085.<sup>12</sup> They also may be imported under HTSUS statistical reporting numbers 7306.40.1010, 7306.40.1015, 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.5090.<sup>13</sup>

## **THE PRODUCT**

### **Description and applications**

The subject product (“WSS pressure pipe”) refers to welded pipe of austenitic stainless steel not greater than 14 inches in outside diameter (“O.D.”). “Pipe” is of circular cross-section, produced in relatively few standard sizes, designated by nominal diameter and wall thickness,<sup>14</sup> and is designed for use with standard pipe fittings. Pressure pipe is used to convey fluids at high temperatures, high pressures, or both, and is suitable for high-temperature applications. The subject pipe is produced to exact outside diameters and decimal wall thicknesses and to specifications A-312 and A-778 by the American Society for Testing and Materials (“ASTM”) or to similar specifications, either foreign or domestic.<sup>15</sup>

Stainless steel is a general class of steels that contains at least 10.5 percent of chromium by weight. Chromium gives stainless steel its excellent resistance to corrosion and good strength at high temperatures and pressure. For these reasons, it is used in corrosive environments, under high temperature and pressure conditions, or when cleanliness and ease of maintenance are strictly required. Although there are various types of stainless steels, the product subject to these investigations is made from the austenitic class of stainless steels

---

<sup>12</sup> These statistical reporting numbers are believed to include primarily subject products but also include some quantities of nonsubject products.

<sup>13</sup> *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations*, 78 FR 35253, June 12, 2013. Three U.S. importers reported importing subject imports under these HTS reporting numbers.

<sup>14</sup> The size of a pipe is defined by the nominal pipe size (“NPS”), a dimensionless designator that has been substituted for such traditional terms as “nominal diameter.” NPS loosely corresponds to, but is not equal to, outside diameter for O.D.s less than and equal to 12 inches; NPS is equal to O.D. for O.D.s greater than 12 inches.

<sup>15</sup> *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations*, 78 FR 35253, June 12, 2013.

which has excellent corrosion resistance, unusually good formability, and increases in strength as a result of cold work (changes to the shape or structure of steel, for example by rolling, without the application of heat). Subject product is generally made from austenitic grades 304 and 316.<sup>16</sup> Grade 304, the most widely used austenitic grade, contains 18-20 percent chromium, 8-10.5 percent nickel, is resistant to food processing environments (except possibly for high-temperature conditions involving high acid and chloride contents), organic chemicals, and a wide variety of inorganic chemicals. Grade 316 contains 16-18 percent chromium, 10-14 percent nickel, and 2-3 percent molybdenum. In comparison to grade 304, grade 316 has more nickel and molybdenum which gives grade 316 better corrosion resistance than grade 304.<sup>17</sup>

As mentioned earlier, WSS pressure pipe is generally made to ASTM specifications A-312 or A-778. The A-312 specification covers seamless and straight-seam welded and heavily cold worked welded austenitic stainless steel pipe intended for high-temperature and general corrosive service; specification A-778 is a standard specification for welded, unannealed austenitic stainless steel tubular products.<sup>18</sup> Welded A-312 pipe is designed for high-temperature and general corrosive-resistance service, and must be annealed (heat treated) after welding.<sup>19</sup> A-778 pipe is similar to A-312, but differs in the welding process and in that A-778 post-weld annealing of the pipe is not required. This specification is designed for low and moderate temperatures and corrosive service where heat treatment is not necessary for corrosion resistance.<sup>20 21</sup>

---

<sup>16</sup> Conference transcript, p. 5, (Schagrin).

<sup>17</sup> Specialty Steel Industry of North America, *Design Guidelines for the Selection and Use of Stainless Steel*, pp. 2, 5, and 8, found at <http://www.ssina.com/publications/design.html>, retrieved June 11, 2013.

<sup>18</sup> ASTM, "A-312/A-312M-08a, "Standard Specification for Seamless, Welded, and Heavily Cold Worked Austenitic Stainless Steel Pipes," and "Standard Specification for Welded, Unannealed Austenitic Stainless Steel Tubular Products," Annual Book of ASTM Standards 2009, Section 1, Iron and Steel Products, vol. 01.01, Steel— Piping, Tubing, Fittings, ASTM: West Conshohocken, PA, 2000, pp. 180-195 and 557-560.

<sup>19</sup> Annealing is the process of heating cold stainless steel to obtain certain characteristics such as maximizing corrosion resistance. It also relieves stresses caused by cold working the steel (i.e. bending a steel sheet into a tubular form).

<sup>20</sup> ASTM, "Standard Specification for Welded, Unannealed Austenitic Stainless Steel Tubular Products," Annual Book of ASTM Standards 2009, Section 1, Iron and Steel Products, vol. 01.01, Steel— Piping, Tubing, Fittings, ASTM: West Conshohocken, PA, 2000, pp. 557-560. ASTM A-778 is listed in the ASTM as having diameter of 3" to 14". However, a note attached to the ASTM states that if the pipe meets the other ASTM specifications even though it is a non-included diameter, it can still be classified as A-778.

<sup>21</sup> U.S. producers' share of production by grade was consistent throughout the period for which data were collected. Grade ASTM-A312 accounted for \*\*\* percent to \*\*\* of total U.S. production quantity, and Grade ASTM-A778 accounted for \*\*\*. No U.S. producer reported producing WSS pressure pipe in grades other than ASTM-A312 or ASTM-A778.

WSS pressure pipe is used by a variety of end use industries including chemicals, petrochemicals, food and beverage, pharmaceutical, water purification, grain processing for ethanol, process piping/fluid handling, air piping, structural, oil and gas, and OEM.<sup>22</sup>

### **Manufacturing process<sup>23</sup>**

Production of WSS pressure pipe is a two-stage process of forming the tubular shape followed by welding the product in a continuous mill process. The continuous-mill process, which is the principal method of producing WSS pressure pipe,<sup>24</sup> begins with coils of stainless-steel sheet, strip, or plate. Coiled steel, of a width essentially corresponding with the outside diameter of the pipe to be produced, is mounted in an uncoiler and fed into a series of paired forming rolls. As the stainless steel progresses through the rolls, its cross-sectional profile is formed into a tubular shape with the butted edges along its length ready for (longitudinal) welding as described below. Domestic producers' facilities include several continuous weld mills, with each dedicated to a limited range of pipe diameters based on the individual mill configuration and tooling.<sup>25</sup>

In the welding stage, the butt edges are welded together by an automatic welding machine using either the tungsten-inert-gas ("TIG") welding process,<sup>26</sup> the plasma welding process, or the laser welding process. These methods allow welding without filler material,<sup>27</sup> complete fusion of butted edges, and shielding of the weld area with inert gas to prevent oxidation. In the TIG welding process, welding heat is provided by an electric arc between a tungsten electrode and the pipe edges. The plasma welding process is similar to the TIG process in that the (gaseous) plasma is heated as it passes through an arc torch, which is created by an electrode within a nozzle. In the laser welding process, a laser beam is directed to the weld butt joint, forming a deep-penetration fusion weld. The laser process is capable of a higher speed of operation than is the TIG process. The pipe continues after welding through an in-line annealing

---

<sup>22</sup> Conference transcript, p. 28 (Tidlow) and \*\*\*'s producer questionnaire responses, section IV-11

<sup>23</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, Information in this section was obtained from *Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from China, Investigation Nos. 701-TA-454 and 731-TA-1144 (Final)*, USITC Publication 4064, March 2009, pp. I-10 – I-11.

<sup>24</sup> There is another manufacturing process, the press brake method, which is a batch process where one length of pipe is made at a time. This batch process could be used for WSS pressure pipe but is generally used for stainless steel pressure pipe greater than 14 inches o.d. The batch process is slower, more labor intensive, and more costly than the continuous mill process. Virtually all WSS pressure pipe, in excess of 95-98 percent, is produced by the continuous mill process. Conference transcript, p. 85 (Tidlow).

<sup>25</sup> Conference transcript, p. 143 (Schagrin).

<sup>26</sup> Also known as the gas tungsten-arc welding ("GTAW") process.

<sup>27</sup> Although the TIG and plasma process can use filler metal, the laser process does not allow for the use of filler metal. WSS pressure pipe produced in accordance with the standard for ASTM A-312, according to the ASTM, cannot be made with filler metal.

furnace in a non-oxidizing atmosphere,<sup>28</sup> then through straightening equipment and, finally, cutting to length.

### DOMESTIC LIKE PRODUCT ISSUES

No issues with respect to domestic like product have been raised in these investigations. The petitioners propose a domestic like product definition in their petition co-extensive with that of the subject product as defined by Commerce.<sup>29</sup> Respondents accept the petitioners' definition of the domestic like product for the purposes of the preliminary phase of these investigations.<sup>30 31</sup>

---

<sup>28</sup> In-line annealing is normally performed in a nonoxidizing atmosphere, a process known as "bright annealing." Product that is annealed by other than bright annealing must be pickled in acid to remove surface oxides and produce a "bright" finish.

<sup>29</sup> Revision to petition, May 17, 2013.

<sup>30</sup> Conference transcript, pp. 122-123 (Schutzman).

<sup>31</sup> During the preliminary phase of the Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from China, Investigation Nos. 701-TA-454 and 731-TA-1144 investigations, U.S. importer Silbo argued that there was no basis for excluding pressure pipe greater than 14 inches in O.D. from the domestic like product and, at the request of Silbo, the Commission considered whether to define the domestic like product more broadly than the scope to include large-diameter pressure pipes. Silbo did not submit a postconference brief or other documentation to support its arguments and did not submit any briefs in the final phase of those investigations or participate in the hearing for those investigations. *Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from China, Investigation Nos. 701-TA-454 and 731-TA-1144 (Final)*, USITC Publication 4064, March 2009, p. 6 and p. 6 fn. 24.

## **PART II: SUPPLY AND DEMAND INFORMATION**

### **U.S. MARKET CHARACTERISTICS**

WSS pressure pipe is used primarily in capital investment projects by chemical and petrochemical plants, grain processing (ethanol) plants, food and beverage processing plants, power generation plants, and pulp and paper mills. Important end users include the chemical and pharmaceutical industry, food and beverage industry, power generation industry, and the pulp and paper industry. Consequently, the demand for WSS pressure pipe depends on demand for downstream products of these industries.

### **CHANNELS OF DISTRIBUTION**

Both U.S. producers and importers sold mainly to distributors as shown in table II-1; however, imports from Vietnam were increasingly sold to end users during the period of investigation.

### **GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION**

Both U.S. producers and importers from each of the subject countries reported selling WSS pressure pipe to all regions in the contiguous United States (table II-2). For U.S. producers, most sales were between 101 and 1,000 miles (table II-3). Overall, importers sold 50.2 percent within 100 miles of their U.S. point of shipment, 38.8 percent between 101 and 1,000 miles, and 11.0 percent over 1,000 miles. Subject imports typically were not shipped as far as U.S. product.

**Table II-1**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' and importers' share of reported U.S. shipments, by sources and channels of distribution, January 2010 to March 2013**

Item	2010	2011	2012	January-March 2012	January-March 2013
<b>U.S. producers' U.S. shipments of WSS pressure pipe:</b>					
Distributors	90.8	88.9	87.9	88.8	89.6
End users	9.2	11.1	12.1	11.2	10.4
<b>U.S. importers' U.S. shipments of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia:</b>					
Distributors	94.3	90.1	91.9	92.1	87.9
End users	5.7	9.9	8.1	7.9	12.1
<b>U.S. importers' U.S. shipments of WSS pressure pipe from Thailand:</b>					
Distributors	99.8	93.6	92.1	94.6	90.0
End users	0.2	6.4	7.9	5.4	10.0
<b>U.S. importers' U.S. shipments of WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam:</b>					
Distributors	99.6	91.7	86.3	89.7	65.2
End users	0.4	8.3	13.7	10.3	34.8

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**Table II-2**

**WSS pressure pipe: Geographic market areas in the United States served by U.S. producers and importers, by number of responding firms**

Region	U.S. producers	Imports from Malaysia	Imports from Thailand	Imports from Vietnam
Northeast	4	2	3	4
Midwest	4	2	3	3
Southeast	4	2	2	3
Central Southwest	4	2	2	3
Mountain	4	2	2	2
Pacific Coast	4	3	4	4
Other <sup>1</sup>	2	1	1	1

<sup>1</sup> All other U.S. markets, including AK, HI, PR, and VI, among others.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**Table II-3**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Distances shipped by U.S. producers and importers from each of the subject countries, by share of sales**

Distance from point of shipment	U.S. producers	Imports from Malaysia	Imports from Thailand	Imports from Vietnam
0 to 100 miles	4.8	45.4	37.4	78.3
101 to 1,000	63.0	42.4	48.0	18.3
Greater than 1,000	32.2	12.2	14.6	3.4

<sup>1</sup> All other U.S. markets, including AK, HI, PR, and VI, among others.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## SUPPLY AND DEMAND CONSIDERATIONS

### U.S. supply

#### **Domestic production**

Based on available information, U.S. producers of WSS pressure pipe have the ability to respond to changes in demand with large changes in the quantity of shipments of U.S.-produced WSS pressure pipe to the U.S. market. The main contributing factors to the high degree of responsiveness of supply are the availability of unused capacity and the existence of inventories.

#### **Industry capacity**

Domestic capacity utilization decreased from 55.5 percent in 2010 to 46.5 percent in 2012. This relatively low level of capacity utilization suggests that U.S. producers may have substantial capacity to increase production of product in response to an increase in prices.

#### **Alternative markets**

U.S. producers' exports, as a percentage of total shipments, were low. They were under 3 percent of shipments in each year from 2010 to 2012. U.S. producers apparently have limited ability to shift shipments between the U.S. market and other markets in response to price changes.

#### **Inventory levels**

U.S. producers' inventories increased from 16.7 percent of total shipments in 2010 to 20.2 percent in 2012. These inventory levels suggest that U.S. producers may be able to respond to changes in demand with changes in the quantity shipped from inventories.

#### **Production alternatives**

Three of five responding U.S. producers stated that they produced other products with the same equipment machinery and workers that they use for WSS pressure pipe. Other



products that producers reportedly can produce on the same equipment as WSS pressure pipe include larger welded stainless steel pressure pipe, high alloy welded stainless steel pressure pipe, stainless steel fittings, thin wall tubing, and copper nickel pipe and fittings.

### **Subject imports from Malaysia<sup>1</sup>**

Based on available information, producers of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia have the ability to respond to changes in demand with large changes in the quantity of shipments of WSS pressure pipe to the U.S. market. The main contributing factors to the high degree of responsiveness of supply are the availability of unused capacity, and growing capacity.

### ***Industry capacity***

Malaysian capacity increased from \*\*\* short tons to \*\*\* short tons from 2010 to 2012. Production of subject product increased from \*\*\* to \*\*\* short tons from 2010 to 2012. Capacity utilization increased from \*\*\* percent in 2010 to \*\*\* percent in 2012. Growing capacity and moderate capacity utilization increase Malaysian producers' ability to increase shipments to the U.S. market.

### ***Alternative markets***

Exports of WSS pressure pipe increased from 2010 to 2012 but were lower in January-March 2013 compared to January-March 2012. While Malaysian producers shipped the subject product to non-U.S. markets such as Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, the Netherlands, Puerto Rico, Turkey, ASEAN Markets, and other European countries, the majority of Malaysian producers' exports were to the U.S. market. Existing and growing exports to other markets could allow Malaysian producers the ability to shift some product from other markets to the United States.

### ***Inventory levels***

Malaysian producers' inventories increased from \*\*\* percent of total shipments in 2010 to \*\*\* percent in 2012. Growing inventories could allow Malaysian producers the ability to increase shipments to the United States from inventories.

### ***Production alternatives***

One of four responding Malaysian producers stated that it produced other products with the same equipment machinery and workers that it uses for WSS pressure pipe. Relatively limited production alternatives provide Malaysian producers with a limited ability to increase production of WSS pressure pipe by shifting production from other products.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Commission received questionnaire responses from three producers in Malaysia; these firms accounted for approximately \*\*\* percent of production of WSS pressure pipe in Malaysia and \*\*\* percent of exports of subject product from Malaysia to the United States.

## **Subject imports from Thailand<sup>2</sup>**

No Thai producers responded to the Commission's foreign producer questionnaire; therefore the discussion in this section is based on information provided in the petition.<sup>3</sup>

### ***Industry capacity***

Thai production capacity was estimated by petitioners to be at least 15,000 short tons of stainless steel tube per year.

### ***Alternative markets***

According to its website, in 2012, Thai-German sold 92 percent of its production within Thailand and exported 8 percent.<sup>4</sup>

## **Subject imports from Vietnam<sup>5</sup>**

Based on available information, producers of WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam have the ability to respond to changes in demand with moderate changes in the quantity of shipments of WSS pressure pipe to the U.S. market. The main contributing factors to the moderate degree of responsiveness of supply are expanding capacity and the availability of unused capacity.

### ***Industry capacity***

Industry capacity in Vietnam increased from \*\*\* short tons in 2010 to \*\*\* short tons in 2011 and then decreased to \*\*\* short tons in 2012. Production of subject product followed the same pattern, increasing from \*\*\* short tons in 2010 to \*\*\* short tons in 2011, then decreasing to \*\*\* short tons in 2012. Capacity utilization experienced an overall downward trend, declining from \*\*\* percent in 2010 to \*\*\* percent in 2011 and to \*\*\* percent in 2012. The increasing capacity and falling capacity utilization rates for Vietnamese producers increase their ability to increase sales to the U.S. market.

---

<sup>2</sup> Six importers reported imports from Thailand. Thai imports represent 15.8 percent of total imports of WSS pressure pipe between January 2010 and March 2013.

<sup>3</sup> Petitioners provided the names for two Thai producers, Thai-German and Toyo Millennium. A third firm, \*\*\*, in the petitioners' brief, they report that \*\*\* did not provide production data. \*\*\*, \*\*\*.

<sup>4</sup> Petition, Volume 2, Part B, EXIM Thailand lends to TGpro to support stainless steel <http://www.tgpro.co.th/index.php/en/newstgpro/news-tgpro/199-exim-thailand-lends-to-tgpro.html>.

<sup>5</sup> The Commission received questionnaire responses from two producers in Vietnam; these firms accounted for approximately \*\*\* percent of production of WSS pressure pipe in Vietnam and \*\*\* percent of exports of subject product from Vietnam to the United States.

### ***Alternative markets***

Total exports of WSS pressure pipe by producers in Vietnam increased from \*\*\* short tons in 2010 to \*\*\* short tons in 2012. While exports to the United States accounted for the majority of exports (between 83 and 98 percent of total exports), Vietnamese producers did export to non-U.S. markets, such as Brazil, Canada, India, Philippines, and Singapore. The existence of alternative export markets increase Vietnam producers' ability to shift sales to the U.S. market.

### ***Inventory levels***

Vietnamese producers' inventories increased from \*\*\* percent of total shipments in 2010 to \*\*\* percent in 2012. Relatively low levels of inventories reduce the ability of producers in Vietnam to increase their sales to the U.S. market from inventories.

### ***Production alternatives***

One of the two responding Vietnamese producers stated that it produced other products with the same equipment machinery and workers that it uses for WSS pressure pipe. Thus, there is some ability for Vietnamese producers to increase sales to the U.S. market by shifting production from other products to the production of the subject product.

### ***Nonsubject imports***

The largest sources of nonsubject imports during the period of investigation were Korea and Taiwan. Combined, these countries accounted for 96.2 percent of nonsubject imports and 32.2 percent of all imports of WSS pressure pipe in 2012.

### **U.S. demand**

Based on available information, demand for WSS pressure pipe is likely to experience small changes in response to changes in price. The main contributing factors are the lack of substitute products and the relatively small cost share of product in most of its end-use products.

### **End uses**

U.S. demand for WSS pressure pipe depends on the demand for U.S.-produced downstream products. The demand for pressure pipe is a derived demand that depends upon increased capacity in many industries using corrosion resistant pipe including pharmaceutical, food, petrochemical, refinery, energy, pulp and paper, and others. All four responding U.S. producers and all eight responding importers reported no changes in end uses for WSS pressure pipe during the period of investigation.

## Business cycles

Three of four responding U.S. producers but none of the responding importers indicated that the market was subject to distinctive business cycles or conditions of competition. Specifically, two U.S. producers reported that demand was tied to capital spending and industrial growth; one firm reported some seasonality with the first quarter usually being strongest. This firm also noted that the volatility of nickel prices creates uneven demand.

U.S. government policy was reported to influence demand for WSS pressure pipe. For example, the U.S. policy requiring increased use of ethanol in gasoline before 2010 had caused demand to surge because WSS pressure pipe was used to build the plants that produce ethanol.<sup>6</sup>

## Apparent consumption

Apparent U.S. consumption of WSS pressure pipe increased from \*\*\* short tons in 2010 to \*\*\* short tons in 2012. Overall, apparent U.S. consumption in 2012 was \*\*\* percent higher than in 2010.

## Demand trends

Most firms reported that U.S. demand for WSS pressure pipe had decreased since 2010 (table II-4). Reasons given for reduced demand included: slow manufacturing growth; reduced construction; the financial crisis; falling price of raw materials;<sup>7</sup> and decreased demand caused by the recession.

**Table II-4**

**WSS pressure pipe: Firms' perceptions regarding demand within the United States, by number of responding firms**

Item	Increase	Decrease	Fluctuate	No change
U.S. producers	1	3	0	0
Importers	0	3	4	0

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## Substitute products

Substitutes for WSS pressure pipe are limited because other pipes have different characteristics that limit their use in applications in which WSS pressure pipes is used.<sup>8</sup> Most U.S. producers report some substitutes for WSS pressure pipe while most importers reported

---

<sup>6</sup> Conference transcript, p 69-70 (Schagrin).

<sup>7</sup> The falling price of raw materials may cause distributors to reduce inventories if they think that prices will continue to fall. This could reduce purchases for inventories since falling prices would reduce the value of inventories.

<sup>8</sup> WSS pressure pipe can be used in many applications where less expensive pipe is used, but this is uncommon because it is an unnecessary cost.

that there were no substitutes. At the conference, however, petitioners reported that engineering requirements determine if WSS pressure pipe or other types of pipes must be used. As a result, they reported that there is little practical substitution for WSS pressure pipe.<sup>9</sup>

### **Cost share**

WSS pressure pipe accounts for a relatively small share of the cost of the end-use products in which it is used. When staff identified the end use as “plants in which WSS pressure pipe is used” at the conference, the petitioners reported that the cost of WSS pressure pipe was 3 percent or less of the cost of most typical plants in which it was used.<sup>10</sup>

## **SUBSTITUTABILITY ISSUES**

The degree of substitution between domestic and imported WSS pressure pipe depends upon such factors as relative prices, quality (*e.g.*, grade standards, reliability of supply, defect rates, *et cetera*), and conditions of sale (*e.g.*, price discounts/rebates, lead times between order and delivery dates, payment terms, product services, *et cetera*). Based on available data, staff believes that there is moderate-to-high degree of substitutability between domestically produced WSS pressure pipe and WSS pressure pipe imported from subject sources.

### **Comparisons of domestic products, subject imports, and nonsubject imports**

In order to determine whether U.S.-produced WSS pressure pipe can generally be used in the same applications as imports from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam, U.S. producers and importers were asked whether the products can “always,” “frequently,” “sometimes,” or “never” be used interchangeably. As shown in table II-5, most responding producers and importers reported that product for all pairs was always interchangeable for almost all country pairs.

---

<sup>9</sup> Conference transcript, pp. 79-80, 86-87 (Schagrin, Hendrickson, Pennington, and Podsiad). One of the four responding U.S. producers and six of seven responding importers reported that there are no substitutes. Plastic pipe was reported to be a substitute for process piping and water uses; fiberglass pipe was reported to be a substitute for water and pulp/paper uses; carbon steel was reported to be a substitute for water, wastewater, pulp/paper, and non-corrosive uses; copper was reported to be a substitute for water uses; and alloy seamless pipe was reported to be a substitute for food processing. No producers and only one importer reported that the price of any of the substitutes affected the price of WSS pressure pipe. This importer reported that the price of alloy seamless pipe has kept the price of WSS pressure pipe down.

<sup>10</sup> Conference transcript, pp. 74-77 (Tidlow, Hendrickson, and Podsiad). The wide range of estimates from questionnaires reflect a wide range of presumptions about the end-use. Producers’ questionnaire responses’ costs shares for WSS pressure pipe ranged from 7 to 75 percent of the cost of “fluid handling,” “oil and gas,” “air piping,” “OEM,” “structural,” and “petrochemical”. Importers’ questionnaires reported cost shares for WSS pressure pipe ranged from 40 to 90 percent for “industrial piping”, “oil and gas”, “agricultural piping”, and “food processing”.

**Table II-5**

**WSS pressure pipe: Perceived interchangeability between WSS pressure pipe produced in the United States and in other countries, by country pairs**

Country pair	Number of U.S. producers reporting				Number of U.S. importers reporting			
	A	F	S	N	A	F	S	N
<b>U.S. vs. subject countries:</b>								
U.S. vs. Malaysia	4	0	0	0	4	2	0	0
U.S. vs. Thailand	4	0	0	0	4	3	0	0
U.S. vs. Vietnam	4	0	0	0	3	2	0	0
<b>Subject countries comparisons:</b>								
Malaysia vs. Thailand	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Malaysia vs. Vietnam	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Thailand vs. Vietnam	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
<b>Nonsubject countries comparisons:</b>								
U.S. vs. Korea	4	0	0	0	4	1	1	0
U.S. vs. Taiwan	4	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
U.S. vs. other nonsubject	4	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
Malaysia vs. Korea nonsubject	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Malaysia vs. Taiwan	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Malaysia vs. other nonsubject	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
Thailand vs. Korea nonsubject	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Thailand vs. Taiwan	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Thailand vs. other nonsubject	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
Vietnam vs. Korea nonsubject	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Vietnam vs. Taiwan	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Vietnam vs. other nonsubject	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
Korea vs. Taiwan	3	0	0	0	4	1	0	0
Korea vs. other nonsubject	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
Taiwan vs. other nonsubject	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0

Note.—A=Always, F=Frequently, S=Sometimes, N=Never.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

Parties were asked if purchasers continued to prefer to purchase product from approved manufacturers. Petitioners reported less use of approved-manufacture lists, typically only the largest purchasers had approved-manufacturers lists.<sup>11</sup> Importers, in contrast, reported that purchasers continued to prefer to purchase product made by some manufacturers or in some countries over others.<sup>12</sup>

Producers and importers were asked to assess how often differences other than price were significant in sales of WSS pressure pipe from the United States, subject, or nonsubject countries. As seen in table II-6, most U.S. producers reported that there were “never” differences other than price. Half or more of the importers reported that there are “always” or “frequently” differences other than price for U.S. compared to subject countries; half reported

<sup>11</sup> Conference transcript, p. 72 (Tidlow, Hendrickson, and Podsiad).

<sup>12</sup> Conference transcript, p. 127 (Jakob).

**Table II-6**

**WSS pressure pipe: Significance of differences other than price between WSS pressure pipe produced in the United States and in other countries, by country pair**

Country pair	Number of U.S. producers reporting				Number of U.S. importers reporting			
	A	F	S	N	A	F	S	N
<b>U.S. vs. subject countries:</b>								
U.S. vs. Malaysia	1	0	0	3	1	3	1	2
U.S. vs. Thailand	1	0	0	3	1	3	2	2
U.S. vs. Vietnam	1	0	0	3	2	2	1	2
<b>Subject countries comparisons:</b>								
Malaysia vs. Thailand	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	3
Malaysia vs. Vietnam	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	3
Thailand vs. Vietnam	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	3
<b>Nonsubject countries comparisons:</b>								
U.S. vs. Korea	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	4
U.S. vs. Taiwan	1	0	0	3	1	1	1	3
U.S. vs. other nonsubject	1	0	0	3	1	1	1	2
Malaysia vs. Korea nonsubject	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	2
Malaysia vs. Taiwan	0	0	0	3	1	2	1	2
Malaysia vs. other nonsubject	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	2
Thailand vs. Korea nonsubject	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	2
Thailand vs. Taiwan	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	2
Thailand vs. other nonsubject	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	2
Vietnam vs. Korea nonsubject	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	2
Vietnam vs. Taiwan	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	2
Vietnam vs. other nonsubject	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	2
Korea vs. Taiwan	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	3
Korea vs. other nonsubject	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	2
Taiwan vs. other nonsubject	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	2

Note.--A = Always, F = Frequently, S = Sometimes, N = Never.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

that there were “never” differences other than price for subject country pairs; half or more reported that there were “never” differences other than price between U.S. and Korean/Taiwan product and between product from Korea and that from Taiwan and that from other nonsubject countries. In contrast, most importers reported that there were at least “sometimes” differences other than price between product from subject countries and product from nonsubject countries. One importer reported that Korea and Taiwan use higher quality raw materials and ship on time, while Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam produce lower quality product and do not ship on time. Another importer reported that the key advantage of product

from Vietnam was the lower labor cost, but its disadvantage was the limited production range limits sales.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> This importer reported other changes that may affect U.S. and imported product equally including: customers are stocking less material than before the financial crisis and inland freight has increased with fuel costs.





## **PART III: U.S. PRODUCERS' PRODUCTION, SHIPMENTS, AND EMPLOYMENT**

The Commission analyzes a number of factors in making injury determinations (see 19 U.S.C. §§ 1677(7)(B) and 1677(7)(C)). Information on the alleged margin of dumping was presented in *Part I* of this report and information on the volume and pricing of imports of the subject merchandise is presented in *Part IV* and *Part V*. Information on the other factors specified is presented in this section and/or *Part VI* and (except as noted) is based on the questionnaire responses of five firms that accounted for approximately \*\*\* percent of U.S. production of WSS pressure pipe during 2012.

### **U.S. PRODUCERS**

The Commission sent U.S. producer questionnaires to ten firms based on information contained in the petition, and other domestic firms identified by public sources as producers of welded stainless steel tubular products. Five firms provided useable data on their productive operations.<sup>1</sup> Staff believes that these responses represent the vast majority of U.S. production of WSS pressure pipe.

Table III-1 lists U.S. producers of WSS pressure pipe, their production locations, positions on the petition, total production, and shares of total production.

---

<sup>1</sup> \*\*\* provided partial information, included only in Table III-1.

**Table III-1**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers of WSS pressure pipe, their positions on the petition, production locations, production, and shares of reported production, 2012**

Firm	Position on orders	U.S. production location(s)	Related and/or affiliated firms in the United States	Share of 2012 production (percent)
Alaskan Copper & Brass	***	Seattle, WA	Alco Investment Co. <sup>1</sup>	***
Bristol Metals	Petitioner	Bristol, TN	Synalloy Corporation <sup>1</sup>	***
Felker Brothers	Petitioner	Glasgow, KY	None.	***
Marcegaglia USA	***	Munhall, PA	Marcegaglia (Italy) <sup>1</sup>	***
Outokumpu	Petitioner	Wildwood, FL	Outokumpu Stainless Inc (United States) <sup>1</sup> Outokumpu Stainless Tubular Products Holding Oy	***
Rath Gibson	***	Clarksville, AR Janesville, WI North Branch, NJ	( <sup>2</sup> )	***
Webco	***	Mannford, OK	None.	***

<sup>1</sup> \*\*\*.

<sup>2</sup> Not available.

Note: Because of rounding, share may not total 100.0 percent.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires and Simdex Steel Tube Manufacturers Worldwide guide (2011).

### U.S. PRODUCTION, CAPACITY, AND CAPACITY UTILIZATION

Table III-2 presents U.S. producers' production, capacity, and capacity utilization. U.S. capacity of WSS pressure pipe did not change throughout the period of investigation for these investigations. Total U.S. production decreased from 2010 to 2012 by 16.2 percent, with the decrease occurring between 2010 and 2011. Annual production of WSS pressure pipe decreased by 19.6 percent from 2010 to 2011, with \*\*\* U.S producers reported decreased production during this period. \*\*\* experienced the largest decline in production from 2010 to 2011, accounting for \*\*\* of the U.S. producers' decline. U.S. Production increased by 4.2 percent from 2011 to 2012. \*\*\* U.S. producers reported increased production during this period, with \*\*\* experiencing the largest increases. Production for \*\*\*. U.S. production was 14.2 percent lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. \*\*\* U.S. producers reported lower production in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. \*\*\* accounted for the majority of the decrease. Annual capacity utilization rates for WSS pressure pipe production declined from 55.5 percent in 2010 to 46.5 percent in 2012; capacity utilization rates were lower in January-March 2013 (47.1 percent) compared to January-March 2012 (54.9 percent).

**Table III-2**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' production, capacity, and capacity utilization, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
Capacity <sup>1</sup>	60,512	60,512	60,512	15,128	15,128
Production	33,574	26,989	28,133	8,303	7,121
Capacity utilization ( <i>percent</i> )	55.5	44.6	46.5	54.9	47.1

<sup>1</sup> Bristol Metals, Felker Brothers, Marcegaglia, Outokumpu and Webco reported capacity (production capability) based on operating \*\*\* hours per week, \*\*\* weeks per year, respectively.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

U.S. producers' share of production by grade was consistent throughout the period for which data were collected.<sup>2</sup> Grade ASTM-A312 accounted for \*\*\* percent to \*\*\* of total U.S. production quantity, and Grade ASTM-A778 accounted for all other production quantity.<sup>3</sup>

In the Commission's questionnaire, U.S. producers were asked if they experienced any plant openings, plant closings, relocations, expansions, acquisitions, consolidations, prolonged shutdowns or production curtailments, or revised labor agreements since January 1, 2010. \*\*\*. In January 2011, \*\*\*. In January 2013, \*\*\*. In 2011 and 2012, \*\*\*. Outokumpu claims that when its parent company, OK Oyj, sold its interests in all of its pipe mills globally, the buyer did not take the U.S. operations due to concerns over the U.S. market.<sup>4</sup>

\*\*\* U.S. producers of WSS pressure pipe reported the production of other products on the same equipment and machinery used to produce WSS pressure pipe. \*\*\* reported that it produces \*\*\*. \*\*\* reported that it produces \*\*\*. \*\*\* reported that it produces \*\*\*. \*\*\* reported that \*\*\* produce other products on the same equipment and machinery used to produce WSS pressure pipe.<sup>5</sup> Additional information, including the size ranges, specifications, and grades of stainless steel tubular products manufactured by domestic producers is presented in table III-3.

---

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix D.

<sup>3</sup> No U.S. producer reported producing WSS pressure pipe in grades other than ASTM-A312 or ASTM-A778.

<sup>4</sup> Conference transcript, pp. 22-23 (Podsiad).

<sup>5</sup> \*\*\*. \*\*\*.

**Table III-3**

**Welded austenitic stainless steel pipe and tube, with round cross-sections: U.S. producers and mill locations, size ranges, ASTM specifications, and stainless steel grades**

Firm name (mill location)	Size range O.D.,	ASTM specifications	Stainless steel grades
Alaskan (Seattle, WA)	3-36 inches	A-312	304, 304L, 304H, 309S, 310S, 316, 316L, 316H, 317, 317L, 321, 321H, 347, 347H
Bristol (Bristol, TN)	0.840-16 inches	A 312, A 358, A 409, A 450, A 530, A 778, A 790, A 813, A 814	304, 321, 200, 800, 304L, 321H, 201, 800H, 304H, 347, 400, 800HT, 347H, 825, 316, 600, 316L, 309S, 601, 316H, 309H, 622, 310S, 625, 317, 310H, 686, C276, 317LMN, 59, 317LMN, 904L
Felker (Glaskow, KY) (Marshfield, WI)	2.375-96 inches	A 249, A 269, A 312, A 312, A 774, A 778	304L, 316L, 317L
Marcegaglia (Monhall, PA)	0.405-12.75 inches	A 249, A 268, A 269, A 270, A 312, A 554, A 778	304, 304L, 316, 316L, 316Ti, 317, 317L, 309, 309S, 310, 310S, 347, 347H, 321, 2545MO, 20, 800, 800H, AL6Xn, 25-6MO, 904LV, 409, 430, 430Ti, 439, 29-4C, 2003, 2101, 2205, 2304, 2507,
Outokumpu (Wildwood, FL)	0.5-80 inches	A-249, A-268, A-312, A-358, A-409, A-778, A-789, A-790, A-928	204CU, 301, 302, 303, 304, 304L, 304LN, 305, 307, 308, 308L, 308LSi, 316, 316H, 316L, 316LN, 316Ti, 317L, 317LMN, 321, 347, 904L, 410S, 416, 420, 430, 430F, 441, 444, 304H, 321H, 347H, 309H, 309H, 309S, 310H, 310S, 253MA
Rath Gibson (Clarksville, AR) (Janesville, WI) (North Branch, NJ)	0.008-8 inches	A 249, A 269, A 270, A 312, A 450, A 530, A-632, A-688, A-789	200, 304, 304L, 304H, 316, 316-H, 316L, 317, 317L, 309S, 309H, 310S, 310H, 310-S, 321, 321H, 347, 347H, 400, 600, 625, 800, 825, 2205 duplex
Webco (Mannford, OK)	0.125-5 inches	A 179, A 210, A 213, A 214, A 249, A 268, A 334, A 512, A 513, A 519, A 556	304, 304L, 309, 310, 316, 316L, 317, 321, 347, 409, 430, 439

Source: Simdex Steel Tube Manufacturers Worldwide Guide (2011), Marcegaglia and Outokumpu websites.

## U.S. PRODUCERS' U.S. SHIPMENTS AND EXPORTS

Table III-4 presents U.S. producers' U.S. shipments, export shipments, and total shipments. The quantity of U.S. producers' U.S. shipments of WSS pressure pipe decreased by 15.7 percent from 2010 to 2012 and was 15.2 percent lower in January-March 2012 than in January-March 2013.<sup>6</sup> The value of U.S. producers' U.S. shipments also decreased, by 13.6 percent from 2010 to 2012 and was 25.7 percent lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. Three of five U.S. producers reported export shipments during the period for which data were collected. Annual exports as a share of total shipments, measured in short tons, ranged from a low of 1.9 percent in 2010 to a high of 3.3 percent in 2012. Export destinations included Canada, Mexico, Argentina, Singapore, and South America.

---

<sup>6</sup> Internally consumed WSS pressure pipe is used for fabricating products such as piping systems. Conference transcript, p. 15 (Pennington).

Table III-4

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' U.S. shipments, exports shipments, and total shipments, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
<b>Quantity (short ton)</b>					
Commercial shipments	30,098	23,902	24,488	7,051	6,056
Internal consumption	1,710	1,964	2,313	702	517
Transfers to related firms	0	0	0	0	0
U.S. shipments	31,808	25,866	26,801	7,753	6,573
Export shipments	606	884	619	172	85
Total shipments	32,414	26,750	27,420	7,925	6,658
<b>Value (1,000 dollars)</b>					
Commercial shipments	129,494	119,305	107,651	33,795	25,258
Internal consumption	7,576	9,672	10,779	3,219	2,233
Transfers to related firms	0	0	0	0	0
U.S. shipments	137,070	128,977	118,430	37,014	27,491
Export shipments	3,140	5,944	3,214	966	433
Total shipments	140,210	134,921	121,644	37,980	27,924
<b>Unit value (dollars per short ton)</b>					
Commercial shipments	4,302	4,991	4,396	4,793	4,171
Internal consumption	4,430	4,925	4,660	4,585	4,319
Transfers to related firms	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )
U.S. shipments	4,309	4,986	4,419	4,774	4,182
Export shipments	5,182	6,724	5,192	5,616	5,094
Total shipments	4,326	5,044	4,436	4,792	4,194
<b>Share of quantity (percent)</b>					
Commercial shipments	92.9	89.4	89.3	89.0	91.0
Internal consumption	5.3	7.3	8.4	8.9	7.8
Transfers to related firms	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
U.S. shipments	98.1	96.7	97.7	97.8	98.7
Export shipments	1.9	3.3	2.3	2.2	1.3
Total shipments	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

<sup>1</sup> Not applicable.

Note: Because of rounding, share may not total 100.0 percent.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### U.S. PRODUCERS' INVENTORIES

Table III-5 presents U.S. producers' end-of-period inventories and the ratio of these inventories to U.S. producers' production, U.S. shipments, and total shipments over the period

examined. U.S. producers' inventories increased by 2.1 percent from 2010 to 2012 and was 6.6 percent higher in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012.

**Table III-5**  
**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' inventories, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
Inventories ( <i>short tons</i> )	5,417	5,247	5,530	5,520	5,883
Ratio to production ( <i>percent</i> )	16.1	19.4	19.7	16.6	20.7
Ratio to U.S. shipments ( <i>percent</i> )	17.0	20.3	20.6	17.8	22.4
Ratio to total shipments ( <i>percent</i> )	16.7	19.6	20.2	17.4	22.1

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### U.S. PRODUCERS' IMPORTS AND PURCHASES

None of the five U.S. producers reported direct imports of WSS pressure pipe during the period for which data were collected.<sup>7</sup> \*\*\* reported purchases from other sources, \*\*\*, citing that its reasons for these purchases was \*\*\*.

### U.S. EMPLOYMENT, WAGES, AND PRODUCTIVITY

Table III-6 shows U.S. producers' employment-related data during the period examined. In the aggregate, U.S. producers reported a decline in the number of production and related workers employed in the manufacture of WSS pressure pipe from 2010 to 2012. \*\*\* accounted for the decline during this period. \*\*\* reported no change to the number of their production and related workers from 2010 to 2012 and \*\*\* reported an increase. The number of production and related workers were lower in January-March 2012 than in January-March 2013. \*\*\* accounted for these declines \*\*\* reported a combined increase of \*\*\* production and related workers (\*\*\*). Trends in productivity mirrored trends in production; productivity declined from 2010 to 2011, increased slightly from 2011 to 2012, and was lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. The combination of the decline in production and increase in wage rates during 2010-2012 is reflected in the unit labor costs which increased by 20.2 percent from 2010 to 2012. Unit labor costs were 5.7 percent higher in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012 even though wage rates were lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2013.

---

<sup>7</sup> \*\*\* imported from Malaysia \*\*\*, from Thailand \*\*\*, and from all other sources \*\*\*.



**Table III-6**

**WSS pressure pipe: Average number of production and related workers, hours worked, wages paid to such employees, hourly wages, productivity, and unit labor costs, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
PRWs ( <i>number</i> )	292	270	274	268	257
Total hours worked ( <i>1,000 hours</i> )	583	551	556	140	130
Hours worked per PRW ( <i>hours</i> )	1,997	2,041	2,029	522	506
Wages paid ( <i>\$1,000</i> )	9,938	9,542	10,011	2,523	2,287
Hourly wages ( <i>dollars</i> )	17.05	17.32	18.01	18.02	17.59
Productivity ( <i>short tons per 1,000 hours</i> )	57.6	49.0	50.6	59.3	54.8
Unit labor costs ( <i>per short ton</i> )	296.00	353.55	355.85	303.87	321.16

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## **PART IV: U.S. IMPORTS, APPARENT U.S. CONSUMPTION, AND MARKET SHARES**

### **U.S. IMPORTERS**

The Commission issued importer questionnaires to 20 firms believed to be importers of subject WSS pressure pipe, as well as to all U.S. producers of WSS pressure pipe.<sup>1</sup> Usable questionnaire responses were received from 13 companies, representing nearly all of imports from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam between 2010 and January-March 2013.<sup>2</sup> Table IV-1 lists all responding U.S. importers of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam and other sources, their locations, and their shares of U.S. imports, in 2012.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Commission issued questionnaires to the two firms identified in the petition, along with firms that, based on a review of confidential data provided by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“Customs”), may each have accounted for more than one percent of total imports under HTS statistical reporting numbers 7306.40.1010, 7306.40.1015, 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.50.90 in 2012. Questionnaires were also sent to key firms identified in confidential Customs data that may have imported WSS pressure pipe under HTS statistical reporting numbers 7306.40.1010, 7306.40.1015, 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.50.90.

<sup>2</sup> Imports of WSS pressure pipe are based on responses to Commission questionnaires. WSS pressure pipe imports are normally classified under HTS statistical reporting numbers 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5062, 7306.40.5064, and 7306.40.5085. Petition, Vol. I, p. 3. Imports may also enter under HTS statistical reporting numbers 7306.40.1010, 7306.40.1015, 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.50.90. Petition, Vol. I, p. 3. Only three U.S. importers reported importing subject imports under these HTS statistical reporting numbers.

**Table IV-1**  
**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. importers by source, 2012**

Firm	Headquarters	Related and/or affiliated firms	Share of imports from Malaysia (percent)	Share of imports from Thailand (percent)	Share of imports from Vietnam (percent)	Share of subject imports (percent)	Share of imports from all other sources (percent)	Share of all imports (percent)
Alaskan Copper	Kent, WA	Alco Investment Co. <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ferguson	Newport News, VA	Wolseley Investment North America <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***	***
Le Commodities	Fairfield, CA	None	***	***	***	***	***	***
Merit Brass	Cleveland, OH	None	***	***	***	***	***	***
Millennia	Santa Fe Springs, CA	None	***	***	***	***	***	***
Norca	Great Neck, NY	Norca Corporation <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***	***
Permagro	Buena Park, CA	None	***	***	***	***	***	***
Primrose	Burlingame, CA	None	***	***	***	***	***	***
Seah	Santa Fe Springs, CA	Seah Steel Corp. (Korea) <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***	***
Silbo	Montvale, NJ	None	***	***	***	***	***	***
Summit	North Brunswick, NJ	Summit Stainless Steel Holding Company <sup>3</sup> Sumitomo Corporation of America <sup>3</sup>	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ta Chen	Long Beach, CA	Ta Chen Stainless Pipe (Taiwan) <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***	***
Techlin	Somerset, NJ	None	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>Total</b>			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

<sup>1</sup> \*\*\*.

<sup>2</sup> \*\*\*.

<sup>3</sup> \*\*\*.

Note.—Because of rounding, figures may not add to the totals shown.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## U.S. IMPORTS

Table IV-2 presents data for U.S. imports of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam and all other sources. U.S. imports are based on questionnaire responses.<sup>3</sup> During the period for which data were collected for these investigations, Taiwan was the largest foreign supplier of WSS pressure pipe to the United States, accounting for \*\*\* percent of the quantity of total imports in 2012, and \*\*\* percent of the value. Korea was the second largest foreign supplier of WSS pressure pipe to the United States, accounting for \*\*\* percent of the quantity of total imports in 2012, and \*\*\* percent of the value. From 2010 to 2012, the quantity of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia increased by \*\*\* percent and the value by \*\*\* percent. The quantity and value of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia were \*\*\* percent and \*\*\* percent lower, respectively, in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. The unit value of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia decreased by \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012, and was \*\*\* percent lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. From 2010 to 2012, the quantity of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Thailand increased by \*\*\* percent and the value by \*\*\* percent. The quantity and value of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Thailand were \*\*\* percent and \*\*\* percent lower, respectively, in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. The unit value of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Thailand increased by \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012, and was \*\*\* percent lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. From 2010 to 2012, the quantity of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam increased by \*\*\* percent and the value by \*\*\* percent. The quantity and value of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam were \*\*\* percent and \*\*\* percent higher, respectively, in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. The unit value of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam increased by \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012, and was \*\*\* percent lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012.

---

<sup>3</sup> Official imports statistics of Commerce shows imports from nonsubject countries other than Taiwan and Korea to be primarily from Canada, China, Mexico, Germany, and Italy. The overwhelming majority of imports from Canada are for nonsubject product (large-diameter WSS pressure pipe and mechanical tubing). Petition, p. 5. Furthermore, key importers from Canada identified in confidential data provided by Customs were sent questionnaires and provided “no” responses to the Commissions’ U.S. importers’ questionnaire. Finally, witnesses appearing at the Conference noted that imports from Canada, China, Germany, Mexico, and Italy are virtually nonexistent. Conference transcript, pp. 38 and 39 (Tidlow, Schagrin), p. 41 (Podsiad), p. 82 (Schagrin), and pp. 110 and 111 (Jakob). The response rate for firms identified as potential importers of subject product was high. Accordingly, U.S. importers’ questionnaire response data is considered to cover virtually all known imports of subject products. Petitioners, however, note that Foreign Producers’ questionnaire response data shows that the quantity of exports from Malaysia and Vietnam to the United States were greater than the quantity of imports from those sources reported in Official Commerce Statistics. Petitioners’ postconference brief, pp. 3-5. The same holds true when comparing export quantities to importers’ questionnaire response data.

U.S. importers' share of imports by grade was concentrated in grade ASTM-A312 throughout the period of investigation.<sup>4</sup> Grade ASTM-A312 accounted for 96.0 percent to 99.0 percent of total U.S. imports from Malaysia and grade ASTM-A778 accounted for all other imports. Grade ASTM-A312 accounted for 100.0 percent of total U.S. imports from Thailand. Grade ASTM-A312 accounted for 83.5 percent to 97.5 percent of total U.S. imports from Vietnam and grade ASTM-A778 other non-specified grades accounted for all other imports. Grade ASTM-A312 accounted for 97.6 percent to 98.0 percent of total U.S. imports from all other sources.

**Table IV-2**  
**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. imports by source, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
<b>Quantity (Short tons)</b>					
Imports from:					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	34,831	37,898	38,947	9,724	7,821
<b>Value (1,000 dollars)<sup>3</sup></b>					
Imports from:					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	123,812	148,863	137,273	33,595	24,896

Table continued on following page.

<sup>4</sup> See Appendix D.

**Table IV-2--Continued**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. imports by source, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
	Unit value ( <i>per short ton</i> )				
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	3,555	3,928	3,525	3,455	3,183
<b>Share of quantity (percent)</b>					
Imports from:					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<b>Share of value (percent)</b>					
Imports from:					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

<sup>1</sup> Korea includes questionnaire responses from \*\*\*.

<sup>2</sup> Taiwan includes \*\*\*.

<sup>3</sup> Landed, duty-paid.

Note.—Because of rounding, figures may not add to the totals shown.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## NEGLIGENCE

The statute requires that an investigation be terminated without an injury determination if imports of the subject merchandise are found to be negligible.<sup>5</sup> Negligible imports are generally defined in the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, as imports from a country of merchandise corresponding to a domestic like product where such imports account for less than 3 percent of the volume of all such merchandise imported into the United States in the most recent 12-month period for which data are available that precedes the filing of the petition or the initiation of the investigation. However, if there are imports of such merchandise from a number of countries subject to investigations initiated on the same day that individually account for less than 3 percent of the total volume of the subject merchandise, and if the imports from those countries collectively account for more than 7 percent of the volume of all such merchandise imported into the United States during the applicable 12-month period, then imports from such countries are deemed not to be negligible. Imports from Malaysia accounted for \*\*\* percent of total imports of WSS pressure pipe by quantity during April 2012-March 2013, imports from Thailand accounted for \*\*\* percent of total imports of WSS pressure pipe by quantity during April 2012-March 2013, and imports from Vietnam accounted for \*\*\* percent of total imports of WSS pressure pipe by quantity during April 2012-March 2013.

## CUMULATION CONSIDERATIONS

In assessing whether imports should be cumulated, the Commission determines whether U.S. imports from the subject countries compete with each other and with the domestic like product and has generally considered four factors: (1) fungibility, (2) presence of sales or offers to sell in the same geographical markets, (3) common or similar channels of distribution, and (4) simultaneous presence in the market. Issues concerning fungibility and channels of distribution are addressed in *Part II* of this report. Additional information concerning fungibility, geographical markets, and simultaneous presence in the market is presented below. With regard to geographical markets and presence in the market, the petitioners argue that imported WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam compete without regard to geographical location in the United States and that these imports have been simultaneously present in the U.S. market during the period of investigation.<sup>6</sup> Official Commerce statistics show that U.S. imports from the Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam did enter the United States through geographically dispersed U.S. ports of entry throughout the entire period of investigation. Both U.S. producers and U.S. importers reported distributing WSS pressure pipe geographically throughout the United States.<sup>7</sup> As discussed in *Part V* of this

---

<sup>5</sup> Sections 703(a)(1), 705(b)(1), 733(a)(1), and 735(b)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. §§ 1671b(a)(1), 1671d(b)(1), 1673b(a)(1), and 1673d(b)(1)).

<sup>6</sup> Petitioners' postconference brief, pp. 3, 19-21.

<sup>7</sup> See *Part II* of this report.

report, WSS pressure pipe produced in the United States and Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam were sold in each quarter between January 2010 and March 2013. During the preliminary phase of these investigations, respondents did not raise any issues with regard to cumulation of subject imports.<sup>8</sup>

### APPARENT U.S. CONSUMPTION

Table IV-3 presents data on apparent U.S. consumption and U.S. market shares for WSS pressure pipe over the period examined. From 2010 to 2012, the quantity of apparent U.S. consumption of WSS pressure pipe increased by 6.5 percent and was 18.9 percent lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. From 2010 to 2012, the value of apparent consumption increased by 6.8 percent and was 28.6 percent lower in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. Apparent consumption of WSS pressure pipe in 2012 was equivalent to 110 percent of reported U.S. capacity.

**Table IV-3**  
**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. shipments of domestic product, U.S. shipments of imports, and apparent U.S. consumption, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
	<b>Quantity (Short tons)</b>				
U.S. producers' shipments	31,808	25,866	26,801	7,753	6,573
U.S. importer' s U.S. shipments from--					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	30,490	39,359	39,540	10,310	8,071
Apparent consumption	62,298	65,225	66,341	18,063	14,644

*Table continued on following page.*

<sup>8</sup> Respondent Sonha's postconference brief at 1; conference transcript, p. 123 (Slater).



**Table IV-3--Continued**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. shipments of domestic product, U.S. shipments of imports, and apparent U.S. consumption, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
	<b>Value (1,000 dollars)<sup>3</sup></b>				
U.S. producers' shipments	137,070	128,977	118,430	37,014	27,491
U.S. importer' s U.S. shipments from--					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>1</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	123,949	174,503	160,412	42,977	29,627
Apparent consumption	261,019	303,480	278,842	79,991	57,118

<sup>1</sup> Korea includes questionnaire responses from \*\*\*.

<sup>2</sup> Taiwan includes \*\*\*.

<sup>3</sup> FOB, U.S. point of shipment.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### U.S. MARKET SHARES

Data on U.S. market shares for WSS pressure pipe are presented in table IV-4. From 2010 to 2012, U.S. producers' market share decreased by 10.7 percentage points based on quantity and 10.0 percentage points based on value. U.S. producers' market share in January-March 2013 was 2.0 percentage points higher than in January-March 2012 based on quantity and 1.9 percentage points higher based on value. Market share of U.S. imports from Malaysia increased by \*\*\* percentage points from 2010 to 2012 based on quantity and increased \*\*\* percentage points based on value. Market share of U.S. imports from Malaysia in January-March 2013 was \*\*\* percentage points lower than in January-March 2012 based on quantity and \*\*\* percentage points lower based on value. Market share of U.S. imports from Thailand increased by \*\*\* percentage points from 2010 to 2012 based on quantity and increased \*\*\* percentage points based on value. Market share of U.S. imports from Thailand in January-March 2013 was \*\*\* percentage points lower than in January-March 2012 based on quantity and \*\*\* percentage points lower based on value. Market share of U.S. imports from Vietnam increased by \*\*\* percentage points from 2010 to 2012 based on quantity and increased \*\*\* percentage points based on value. Market share of U.S. imports from Vietnam in January-March 2013 was \*\*\* percentage points higher than in January-March 2012 based on quantity and 2.6 percentage points higher based on value. Practically all shipments of U.S. imports from nonsubject countries were for sales of imports from Taiwan and Korea. Market share of U.S. imports from nonsubject countries increased by \*\*\* percentage points from 2010 to 2012 based on quantity and increased \*\*\* percentage points based on value. Market share of U.S.

imports from nonsubject countries in January-March 2013 was \*\*\* percentage points lower than in January-March 2012 based on quantity and 0.8 percentage points higher based on value.

**Table IV-4**  
**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. consumption and market shares, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
<b>Quantity (Short tons)</b>					
Apparent U.S. consumption	62,298	65,225	66,341	18,063	14,644
<b>Value (1,000 dollars)<sup>1</sup></b>					
Apparent U.S. consumption	261,019	303,480	278,842	79,991	57,118
<b>Share of quantity (percent)</b>					
U.S. producers' shipments	51.1	39.7	40.4	42.9	44.9
U.S. importer' s U.S. shipments from--					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>3</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	48.9	60.3	59.6	57.1	55.1
<b>Share of value (percent)</b>					
U.S. producers' shipments	52.5	42.5	42.5	46.3	48.1
U.S. importer' s U.S. shipments from--					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
Korea <sup>2</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan <sup>3</sup>	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	47.5	57.5	57.5	53.7	51.9

<sup>1</sup> FOB, U.S. point of shipment.

<sup>2</sup> Korea includes questionnaire responses from \*\*\*.

<sup>3</sup> Taiwan includes \*\*\*.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## RATIO OF IMPORTS TO U.S. PRODUCTION

Table IV-5 presents data on the ratio of U.S. imports to U.S. production.

**Table IV-5**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Ratio of U.S. imports to U.S. production, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and**  
**January-March 2013**

Item	Calendar year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
<b>Quantity (short tons)</b>					
U.S. production	33,574	26,989	28,133	8,303	7,121
U.S. imports from.--					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	34,831	37,898	38,947	9,724	7,821
<b>Ratio of imports to production</b>					
U.S. imports from.--					
Malaysia	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject	***	***	***	***	***
All others	***	***	***	***	***
Total U.S. imports	103.7	140.4	138.4	117.1	109.8

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## **PART V: PRICING DATA**

### **FACTORS AFFECTING PRICES**

#### **Raw material costs**

Flat-rolled stainless steel and alloying agents are the primary raw materials used in the production of WSS pressure pipe. The cost of hot-rolled AISI 304 stainless steel has fluctuated since 2010 but decreased overall by 20.5 percent between January 2010 and April 2013, and the cost of grade 316 decreased by 17.3 percent in the same period (figure V-1). From January 2010 to April 2013, the price of nickel decreased over 10 percent, while the price of ferrochromium increased by 2 percent. As shown in figure V-2, the prices of these inputs increased irregularly between January 2010 and February/March 2011, and have generally fallen since then. Respondents report that because a large share of nickel is used in stainless steel production, changes in the price of nickel reflect the demand for stainless steel, even as the price of nickel influences the price of stainless steel.<sup>1</sup>

#### **U.S. inland transportation costs**


All four responding U.S. producers and seven of the eight responding importers reported that they typically arrange transportation to their customers. U.S. producers reported that their U.S. inland transportation costs ranged from 2 to 4 percent of total costs while importers reported transportation costs of 0.5 to 12 percent, with half the firms reporting transportation costs of 1 percent or less.

---

<sup>1</sup> Conference transcript, p. 96 (Jakob).

**Figure V-1**


**Hot-rolled stainless steel coil: Prices of U.S. ex-mill hot-rolled stainless steel products including alloy surcharges, by months, January 2010-April 2013**



Source: Metal Bulletin Research, Welded Steel Tube and Pipe Market Tracker, January 2010-April 2013 monthly editions.

**Figure V-2**

**Alloy cost index: Ferrochrome and nickel spot price index, by months, January 2010-April 2013**



Source: American Metal Market.

## PRICING PRACTICES

### Pricing methods

U.S. producers and importers reported using mainly transaction-by-transaction negotiations for their sales of WSS pressure pipe (table V-1). One U.S. producer and two importers reported contracts sales, two U.S. producers reported using price lists, and one reported using surcharges based on the prices of raw materials.

**Table V-1**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers and importers reported price setting methods, by number of responding firms<sup>1</sup>**

Method	U.S. producers	Importers
Transaction-by-transaction	4	10
Contract	1	2
Set price list	2	1
Other	1	0

<sup>1</sup> The sum of responses down will not add up to the total number of responding firms as each firm was instructed to check all applicable price setting methods employed.

*Source:* Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

Because of the variability of the prices of alloys, stainless steel products are sometimes priced using a base price and an alloy surcharge. Petitioners report that they were no longer able to charge surcharges based on the cost of nickel and other inputs, although they do pay surcharges on these input products when they purchase them.<sup>2</sup> Nonetheless, \*\*\*<sup>3</sup>

Most sales of WSS pressure pipe are on a spot basis (Table V-2). During the period of investigation, 96.1 percent of U.S. producers' sales, \*\*\* sales of imports from Malaysia and Thailand, and \*\*\* percent of sales from Vietnam were made on a spot basis. All other sales were made using short-term contracts. \*\*\* U.S. producers reported that short-term contracts ranged from 14 days to 180 days. One importer of WSS pressure pipe from \*\*\* reported that short-term contracts ranged from 2 to 4 months in duration. Both the U.S. producers and the importer reported that prices were not renegotiated during the contract and that the contract fixed both price and quantity. Both U.S. producers reported that contracts typically contain meet-or-release provisions (although one of these reported that some contracts did not have meet-or-release provisions). The one importer that reported using contracts to sell WSS pressure pipe reported that its contracts did not contain meet-or-release provisions.

---

<sup>2</sup> Conference transcript, pp. 28-29 (Tidlow).

<sup>3</sup> \*\*\*.

**Table V-2**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' and importers' shares of U.S. commercial shipments by type of sale, 2012**

Type of sale	U.S. producers	Importers Malaysia	Importers Thailand	Importers Vietnam
Short-term contracts	3.9	0	0	27.9
Spot sales	96.1	100	100	72.1
Total	100	100	100	100

Note.--Because of rounding, figures may not add to the totals shown.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

U.S. producers' lead time ranged from 0 to 14 days for product from inventory and 10 to 84 for product produced to order. Importers' lead times for product in U.S. inventories was 5 days; and for inventories overseas, lead times ranged from 90 to 120 days, and 60 to 110 days for product produced to order.

#### **Sales terms and discounts**

Most U.S. producers (3 of 4) and most importers (6 of 9) quote prices on a delivered basis. One U.S. producer and one importer sold mainly f.o.b., one importer sold f.o.b. and c.i.f., and one importer reported forth c.i.f. and delivered. All four responding U.S. producers and six of the nine responding importers reported sales terms of net 30 days.<sup>4</sup>

#### **PRICE DATA**

The Commission requested U.S. producers and importers to provide quarterly data for the total quantity and f.o.b. value of the following WSS pressure pipe products shipped to unrelated U.S. customers during January 2010-March 2013.

**Product 1.**-- ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 1-inch schedule 40

**Product 2.**-- ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 2-inch schedule 40

**Product 3.**-- ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 0.5-inch schedule 10

**Product 4.**— ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 6-inch schedule 10

**Product 5.**-- ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 316/316L pipe, 2-inch schedule 40

**Product 6.**-- ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 2-inch schedule 10

Four U.S. producers and eight importers provided usable pricing data for sales of the requested products from subject countries, although not all firms reported pricing for all

---

<sup>4</sup> Two of the remaining importers required payment against documents, and one sold net 30 or 45.

products for all quarters. Four importers provided usable price data for Malaysian product, five importers provided usable price data for Thai product, and six importers provided usable price data for Vietnamese product. Pricing data reported by these firms over the period January 2010 through March 2013 accounted for approximately 6.3 percent of the value of U.S. producers' shipments of subject product, 27.6 percent of the value of U.S. shipments of subject imports from Malaysia, 19.3 percent of the value of U.S. shipments of subject imports from Thailand, and 33.6 percent of the value of U.S. shipments of subject imports from Vietnam.

Price data for products 1-6 are presented in tables V-3 to V-8 and figure V-2 to figure V-7. Nonsubject country prices are presented in Appendix E.

**Table V-3**

**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product 1<sup>1</sup> and margins of underselling/(overselling), by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

Period	United States		Malaysia			Thailand			Vietnam		
	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)
<b>2010:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	3.04	104,564	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	3.11	141,652	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	3.74	72,597	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2011:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	3.71	44,972	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	4.11	74,825	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	3.86	36,794	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	3.85	20,802	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2012:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	3.76	62,708	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2013:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

<sup>1</sup> Product 1: ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 1-inch schedule 40.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.



**Table V-4**

**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product 2<sup>1</sup> and margins of underselling/(overselling), by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

Period	United States		Malaysia			Thailand			Vietnam		
	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)
<b>2010:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	6.12	111,796	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	6.59	108,952	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	7.13	77,536	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	7.25	56,853	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2011:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	7.54	86,682	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	7.84	41,984	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	7.41	40,538	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	7.27	44,180	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2012:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	7.14	48,675	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	6.76	38,174	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	7.01	54,857	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	5.95	25,202	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2013:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	6.24	48,317	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

<sup>1</sup> Product 2: ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 2-inch schedule 40.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**Table V-5**

**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product 3<sup>1</sup> and margins of underselling/(overselling), by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

Table V-6

WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product 4<sup>1</sup> and margins of underselling/(overselling), by quarters, January 2010-March 2013

Period	United States		Malaysia			Thailand			Vietnam		
	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)
<b>2010:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	15.77	54,263	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	16.80	40,658	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	17.70	66,032	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	18.36	33,401	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2011:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	19.93	33,765	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	21.48	29,991	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	20.38	39,034	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	18.44	26,858	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2012:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	18.14	28,251	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	17.48	72,350	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	15.92	35,490	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2013:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	16.49	17,740	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

<sup>1</sup> Product 4: ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 6-inch schedule 10.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

Table V-7

WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product 5<sup>1</sup> and margins of underselling/(overselling), by quarters, January 2010-March 2013

Period	United States		Malaysia			Thailand			Vietnam		
	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)
<b>2010:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	8.24	72,692	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	9.14	90,311	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	9.28	35,545	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	9.70	44,324	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2011:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	10.25	62,755	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	11.25	21,827	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	10.10	51,777	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	9.76	27,425	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2012:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	9.25	43,491	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	8.92	40,768	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	8.36	26,547	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	8.09	25,586	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2013:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

<sup>1</sup> Product 5: ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 316/316L pipe, 2-inch schedule 40.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**Table V-8**

**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product 6<sup>1</sup> and margins of underselling/(overselling), by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

Period	United States		Malaysia			Thailand			Vietnam		
	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)	Price (per foot)	Quantity (foot)	Margin (percent)
<b>2010:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	5.36	64,289	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	5.45	41,617	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2011:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	5.89	38,882	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	6.31	48,760	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	5.86	52,663	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	5.50	26,561	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2012:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Apr.-June	5.43	40,274	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
July-Sept.	4.70	33,398	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Oct.-Dec.	4.44	27,861	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
<b>2013:</b>											
Jan.-Mar.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

<sup>1</sup> Product 6: ASTM A-312, welded, grade AISI 304/304L pipe, 2-inch schedule 10.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**Figure V-2**

**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure V-3**

**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure V-4**

**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure V-5**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure V-6**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure V-7**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

### **Price trends**

Price trends differed by product and by country sources. Products 2, 4, 5, and 6 tended to have the most clear price trends, which were basically followed by both U.S. product and subject imports. These prices generally increased to the second quarter of 2011 and then decreased thereafter. U.S. prices for product 3 followed a similar trend, but there was no clear trend in the price of subject imported product 3. Similar to products, 2, 4, 5, and 6, U.S. product 1 prices increased to the first quarter of 2011, but did not tend to decrease consistently thereafter. Table V-9 summarizes the price trends, by country and by product. There were also price-change differences among countries over the period. U.S. prices increased for all products except product 5. Malaysian and Thai prices decreased for all products. Vietnamese prices increased for all products except product 4.

**Table V-9**

**WSS pressure pipe: Summary of weighted-average f.o.b. prices for products 1-6 from the United States and Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam**

Item	Number of quarters	Low price (per unit)	High price (per unit)	Change in price <sup>1</sup> (percent)
<b>Product 1</b>				
United States	13	***	***	17.6
Malaysia	13	***	***	(8.9)
Thailand	13	***	***	(12.3)
Vietnam	13	***	***	2.4
<b>Product 2</b>				
United States	13	***	***	2.0
Malaysia	13	***	***	(8.6)
Thailand	13	***	***	(10.0)
Vietnam	13	***	***	8.9
<b>Product 3</b>				
United States	13	***	***	14.6
Malaysia	13	***	***	(15.6)
Thailand	13	***	***	(39.9)
Vietnam	13	***	***	7.5
<b>Product 4</b>				
United States	13	***	***	4.6
Malaysia	13	***	***	(9.2)
Thailand	13	***	***	(11.8)
Vietnam	13	***	***	(10.3)
<b>Product 5</b>				
United States	13	***	***	(1.2)
Malaysia	13	***	***	(9.7)
Thailand	13	***	***	(12.8)
Vietnam	13	***	***	5.0
<b>Product 6</b>				
United States	13	***	***	3.4
Malaysia	13	***	***	(8.8)
Thailand	13	***	***	(11.8)
Vietnam	13	***	***	1.8

<sup>1</sup> Percentage change from the first quarter in 2010 to first quarter in 2013.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### Price comparisons

As shown in table V-10, prices for WSS pressure pipe imported from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam were below those for U.S.-produced product in 227 of 234 instances; margins of

underselling ranged from 0.3 to 44.4 percent. In the remaining 7 instances, prices for WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam were between 0.5 to 30.0 percent above prices for the domestic product.

**Table V-10**

**WSS pressure pipe: Instances of underselling/overselling and the range and average of margins, by country, January 2010-March 2013**

Source	Underselling			Overselling		
	Number of instances	Range (percent)	Average margin (percent)	Number of instances	Range (percent)	Average margin (percent)
Malaysia	78	***	14.4	0	--	--
Thailand	76	***	15.1	2	***	(15.3)
Vietnam	73	***	18.1	5	***	(4.0)
Total	227	***	15.8	7	***	(7.3)

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### LOST SALES AND LOST REVENUE

The petition contained instances of lost sales or revenue experienced due to competition from imports of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam during January 2010 to March 2013.<sup>5</sup> \*\*\*. The \*\*\* lost sales allegation totaled \$\*\*\* and involved \*\*\* short tons of WSS pressure pipe and the \*\*\* lost revenue allegations \*\*\*, totaled \$\*\*\*, and involved \*\*\* short tons of WSS pressure pipe. Staff contacted \*\*\* purchasers \*\*\* (tables II-11 and II-12).

\*\*\*.

Purchasers responding to the lost sales/lost revenue allegations also were asked whether they shifted their purchases of WSS pressure pipe from U.S. producers to suppliers of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam since 2010. In addition, they were asked whether U.S. producers reduced their prices in order to compete with suppliers of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam. \*\*\* of the responding purchasers reported that they had shifted purchases of WSS pressure pipe from U.S. producers to subject imports since 2010; \*\*\* of these purchasers reported that price was the reason for the shift. \*\*\* gave further details about for the shift. \*\*\*. \*\*\* purchasers reported that since 2010 the U.S. producers had reduced their prices in order to compete with subject imports. \*\*\* provided details about the price reductions. \*\*\*.

---

<sup>5</sup> Petitioners were requested to provide information on lost sales or lost revenue that have occurred since the petition, and firms that were not petitioners were requested to provide instances of lost sales or lost revenue. \*\*\*.

**Table V-11**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' lost sales allegations**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Table V-12**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' lost revenue allegations**

\* \* \* \* \*

## **PART VI: FINANCIAL EXPERIENCE OF U.S. PRODUCERS**

### **BACKGROUND**

Five U.S. producers, Bristol, Felker, Marcegaglia, Outukumpu, and Webco, which together accounted for the majority of the U.S. production of WSS pressure pipe during the period for investigation, supplied financial data on their WSS pressure pipe operations. Webco's fiscal year ends July 31, while the fiscal year for the other producers ends December 31. Bristol, Marcegaglia, and Outukumpu are subsidiaries of larger entities, while Felker and Webco are independent producers. All five domestic producers manufacture other products (most notably other stainless and alloy steel pipes and tubes) at the establishments where WSS pressure pipe was produced. \*\*\* reported internal consumption of WSS pressure pipe, and these sales accounted for approximately \*\*\* percent of the industry's 2012 sales values. The unit sales values of \*\*\* product were somewhat lower than the unit sales values of its commercial sales for all periods, especially in 2011 and in January-March ("interim") 2013. However, since the quantities of internally consumed were much smaller than sales quantities of commercial sales, the effect of lower per-unit sales values of internally consumed did not have much impact on the combined per-unit values. No firms reported any transfers to related parties.

### **OPERATIONS ON WSS PRESSURE PIPE**

Aggregate income-and-loss data for the U.S. producers are presented in table VI-1. To summarize, the overall financial condition of the domestic WSS pressure pipe industry improved between 2010 and 2012, while they continued to experience operating losses for the entire period of investigation, from an operating loss of \$7.1 million in 2010 to an operating loss of \$2.6 million in 2012. From 2010 to 2011, the increase in unit sales price (\$735 per short ton) was more than the increase in unit total cost, i.e., cost of goods sold ("COGS") and selling, general, and administrative ("SG&A") expenses combined (\$648 per short ton, primarily resulting from higher COGS) which resulted in a lower per-unit operating loss in 2011. From 2011 to 2012, even as sales quantities slightly increased, net sales values decreased due to lower per-unit sales values. However, the operating loss further decreased because the decrease in unit total cost (by \$636 per short ton) exceeded the decrease in unit sales price (by \$599 per short ton, primarily due to decreased raw materials cost).

Both net sales quantities and values were lower in interim 2013 than interim 2012, operating loss in interim 2013 was higher (\$1.5 million operating loss compared to the operating loss of \$1.1 million in interim 2012), due mainly to lower per-unit sales value. As a result, the operating loss margin, which was negative (2.9) percent in interim 2012, was negative (5.2) percent in interim 2013. While three producers, \*\*\* reported operating losses for the entire period, two producers, \*\*\*, reported operating income for all periods.



Table VI-1

WSS pressure pipe: Results of operations of U.S. producers, fiscal years 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013

Item	Fiscal year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
Net sales:	<b>Quantity (short tons)</b>				
Commercial sales	30,879	24,821	25,213	7,224	6,141
Internal consumption	1,710	1,955	2,305	702	517
Transfers to related firms	0	0	0	0	0
Total net sales	32,589	26,776	27,518	7,926	6,658
Net sales:	<b>Value (\$1,000)</b>				
Commercial sales	132,824	125,400	111,539	34,762	25,691
Internal consumption	7,576	9,630	10,746	3,526	2,233
Transfers to related firms	0	0	0	0	0
Total net sales	140,400	135,030	122,285	38,288	27,924
COGS	136,652	129,242	117,677	37,141	27,596
Gross profit	3,748	5,788	4,608	1,147	328
SG&A expenses	10,869	9,324	7,215	2,261	1,786
Operating income (loss)	(7,121)	(3,536)	(2,607)	(1,114)	(1,458)
Interest expense	1,027	1,057	2,208	317	289
Other expense	2,886	977	2,039	99	60
Other income	122	4,446	632	32	30
Net income (loss)	(10,912)	(1,124)	(6,222)	(1,498)	(1,777)
Depreciation/amortization	3,182	2,732	2,856	710	627
Cash flow	(7,730)	1,608	(3,366)	(788)	(1,150)

Table continued on next page.

**Table VI-1--Continued**

**WSS pressure pipe: Results of operations of U.S. producers, fiscal years 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Fiscal year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
	<b>Unit value (per short ton)</b>				
Net sales	\$4,308	\$5,043	\$4,444	\$4,831	\$4,194
COGS	4,193	4,827	4,276	4,686	4,145
Gross profit	115	216	167	145	49
SG&A expenses	334	348	262	285	268
Operating income (loss)	(219)	(132)	(95)	(141)	(219)
	<b>Ratio to net sales (percent)</b>				
COGS	97.3	95.7	96.2	97.0	98.8
Gross profit	2.7	4.3	3.8	3.0	1.2
SG&A expenses	7.7	6.9	5.9	5.9	6.4
Operating income (loss)	(5.1)	(2.6)	(2.1)	(2.9)	(5.2)
	<b>Number of firms reporting</b>				
Operating losses	3	3	3	3	3
Data	5	5	5	5	5

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

Selected company-by-company data are presented in table VI-2. Total net sales (quantities and values), per-unit values (sales, COGS, SG&A, and operating income), operating income, and the ratio of operating income (loss) to net sales are presented in this table on a firm-by-firm basis. With the exception of \*\*\*, all other producers incurred the same experience – sales values decreased between 2010 and 2012 and between the two interim periods. All producers reported increases in raw material costs from 2010 to 2011 and decreases from 2011 to 2012 (except \*\*\*) and decrease in raw material costs from interim 2012 to interim 2013 (except \*\*\*). However, the operation results are widely different among the five domestic producers, which may be attributable to product mix.<sup>1</sup> Among the five producers, \*\*\* per-unit sales price and COGS were much lower than other producers. However, the operating loss and loss margin of \*\*\* were generally higher than other producers. No producer \*\*\* reported any inputs purchased from related firms (\*\*\*) and no firm \*\*\* reported any nonrecurring items for any periods (\*\*\*). The operating margin for three producers, \*\*\*, were lower in interim 2013 compared to interim 2012. As explained before, three producers, \*\*\* reported operating losses for the entire period, while two producers, \*\*\*, reported operating income for all periods.

<sup>1</sup> Per-unit cost data by each producer were largely affected by product mix, based on the e-mails and comments provided by the same U.S. producers for the similar products during the 2008 investigations.

**Table VI-2**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Results of operations of U.S. producers, by firm, fiscal years 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

Selected aggregate per-short ton cost data of the producers on their operations, i.e., COGS and SG&A expenses, are presented in table VI-3. Overall per-short ton COGS and total cost (which includes SG&A expenses) increased substantially from 2010 to 2011, driven mainly by changes in raw material costs (i.e., reflecting changes in the cost of hot-rolled stainless steel coils) and fabrication costs (labor and factory overhead). However, per-short ton COGS and total cost decreased substantially from 2011 to 2012. Per-short ton COGS were lower in interim 2013 than in interim 2012, due to the decreases in the costs of raw materials and fabrication costs. The ratio of total COGS to net sales decreased slightly between 2010 and 2012, but was higher in interim 2013 than interim 2012.

**Table VI-3**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Average unit costs of U.S. producers, fiscal years 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Fiscal year			January-March	
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013
COGS:	<i>Value (per short ton)</i>				
Raw materials	\$3,319	\$3,895	\$3,382	\$3,644	\$3,256
Direct labor	288	338	315	349	289
Factory overhead	587	594	579	693	599
Total COGS	4,193	4,827	4,276	4,686	4,145
SG&A expenses	334	348	262	285	268
Total cost	4,527	5,175	4,539	4,971	4,413

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

A variance analysis for showing the effects of prices and volume on the producers' sales of WSS pressure pipe, and of costs and volume on their total costs is presented in table VI-4.<sup>2</sup> The information for this variance analysis is derived from table VI-1. The analysis indicates that the decrease in operating losses between 2010 and 2012 was the result of per-unit prices increasing more than costs and expenses. The summary at the bottom of the table illustrates that the positive effect of increased prices (\$3.7 million) was greater than the negative effect of increased costs and expenses (\$0.3 million) between 2010 and 2012. Between the two interim periods, the variance analysis indicates that operating loss increased by \$0.3 million resulted from the combined negative effect of decreased price (\$4.2 million) and the positive effects of decreased costs/expenses (\$3.7 million), and volume variance (\$0.2 million).

---

<sup>2</sup> The Commission's variance analysis is calculated in three parts: Sales variance, cost of sales variance (COGS variance), and SG&A expense variance. Each part consists of a price variance (in the case of the sales variance) or a cost or expense variance (in the case of the COGS and SG&A expense variance), and a volume variance. The sales or cost/expense variance is calculated as the change in unit price or per-unit cost/expense times the new volume, while the volume variance is calculated as the change in volume times the old unit price or per-unit cost/expense. Summarized at the bottom of the table, the price variance is from sales; the cost/expense variance is the sum of those items from COGS and SG&A variances, respectively, and the net volume variance is the sum of the price, COGS, SG&A volume variance. All things equal, a stable overall product mix generally enhances the utility of the Commission's variance analysis.

**Table VI-4**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Variance analysis of operations of U.S. producers, fiscal years 2010-12,**  
**January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

Item	Between fiscal years			January- March
	2010-12	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
	<b>Value (\$1,000)</b>			
Net sales:				
Price variance	3,732	19,674	(16,487)	(4,239)
Volume variance	(21,847)	(25,044)	3,742	(6,125)
Total net sales variance	(18,115)	(5,370)	(12,745)	(10,364)
Cost of sales:				
Cost variance	(2,289)	(16,965)	15,146	3,603
Volume variance	21,264	24,375	(3,581)	5,942
Total cost variance	18,975	7,410	11,565	9,545
Gross profit variance	860	2,040	(1,180)	(819)
SG&A expenses:				
Expense variance	1,963	(394)	2,367	113
Volume variance	1,691	1,939	(258)	362
Total SG&A variance	3,654	1,545	2,109	475
Operating income variance	4,514	3,585	929	(344)
Summarized as:				
Price variance	3,732	19,674	(16,487)	(4,239)
Net cost/expense variance	(326)	(17,359)	17,514	3,716
Net volume variance	1,108	1,270	(98)	178
Note.--Unfavorable variances are shown in parentheses; all others are favorable. The data are comparable to changes in operating income as presented in table VI-1.				

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### **CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES**

The responding firms' aggregate data on capital expenditures and research and development ("R&D") expenses are presented in table VI-5. Only two producers, \*\*\*, reported capital expenditures during the period of investigation. Capital expenditures decreased from 2010 to 2011, and then, increased from 2011 to 2012. Neither of these firms spent more than \$\*\*\* in any given year and overall, capital expenditures spent over the period were not

material. Data for capital expenditures on a firm-by-firm basis are shown in table VI-6. \*\*\* reported R&D expenses, R&D expenses throughout the period remained relatively low. Capital expenditures were higher in January-March 2013 compared to January-March 2012.

**Table VI-5**

**WSS pressure pipe: Capital expenditures and R&D expenses by U.S. producers, fiscal years 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

*Source:* Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**Table VI-6**

**WSS pressure pipe: Capital expenditures by U.S. producers, by firms, fiscal years 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

*Source:* Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### ASSETS AND RETURN ON ASSETS

Table VI-7 presents data on the U.S. producers' total net assets and their return on assets ("ROA"). Total net assets relatively unchanged during the period of investigation. At the same time, the return on assets remained negative from 2010 to 2012 while the operating loss ratio to total net assets decreased during the same period. The trend of ROA over the period was the same as the trend of the operating income (loss) margin shown in table VI-1.

**Table VI-7**

**WSS pressure pipe: Value of assets and return on assets of U.S. producers, fiscal years 2010-12**

Item	Fiscal year		
	2010	2011	2012
	<b>Value (\$1,000)</b>		
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	(7,121)	(3,536)	(2,607)
	<b>Value (\$1,000)</b>		
<b>Total net assets</b>	79,378	75,439	78,873
	<b>Ratio of operating income to total assets (percent)</b>		
<b>Return on investment</b>	(9.0)	(4.7)	(3.3)

*Source:* Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

## CAPITAL AND INVESTMENT

The Commission requested U.S. producers to describe any actual negative effects on their return on investment, or their growth, investment, ability to raise capital, existing development and production efforts, or the scale of capital investments as a result of imports of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam. Their comments are as follows:

### Actual Negative Effects

*Bristol.*—\*\*\*

*Felker.*—\*\*\*

*Marcegaglia.*—\*\*\*

*Outokumpu.*—\*\*\*

*Webco.*—\*\*\*

### Anticipated Negative Effects

*Bristol.*—\*\*\*

*Felker.*—\*\*\*

*Marcegaglia.*—\*\*\*

*Outokumpu.*—\*\*\*

*Webco.*—\*\*\*

## PART VII: THREAT CONSIDERATIONS AND INFORMATION ON NONSUBJECT COUNTRIES

Section 771(7)(F)(i) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1677(7)(F)(i)) provides that—

*In determining whether an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports (or sales for importation) of the subject merchandise, the Commission shall consider, among other relevant economic factors<sup>1</sup>--*

- (I) if a countervailable subsidy is involved, such information as may be presented to it by the administering authority as to the nature of the subsidy (particularly as to whether the countervailable subsidy is a subsidy described in Article 3 or 6.1 of the Subsidies Agreement), and whether imports of the subject merchandise are likely to increase,*
- (II) any existing unused production capacity or imminent, substantial increase in production capacity in the exporting country indicating the likelihood of substantially increased imports of the subject merchandise into the United States, taking into account the availability of other export markets to absorb any additional exports,*
- (III) a significant rate of increase of the volume or market penetration of imports of the subject merchandise indicating the likelihood of substantially increased imports,*
- (IV) whether imports of the subject merchandise are entering at prices that are likely to have a significant depressing or suppressing*

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 771(7)(F)(ii) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1677(7)(F)(ii)) provides that “The Commission shall consider {these factors} . . . as a whole in making a determination of whether further dumped or subsidized imports are imminent and whether material injury by reason of imports would occur unless an order is issued or a suspension agreement is accepted under this title. The presence or absence of any factor which the Commission is required to consider . . . shall not necessarily give decisive guidance with respect to the determination. Such a determination may not be made on the basis of mere conjecture or supposition.”



*effect on domestic prices, and are likely to increase demand for further imports,*

- (V) inventories of the subject merchandise,*
- (VI) the potential for product-shifting if production facilities in the foreign country, which can be used to produce the subject merchandise, are currently being used to produce other products,*
- (VII) in any investigation under this title which involves imports of both a raw agricultural product (within the meaning of paragraph (4)(E)(iv)) and any product processed from such raw agricultural product, the likelihood that there will be increased imports, by reason of product shifting, if there is an affirmative determination by the Commission under section 705(b)(1) or 735(b)(1) with respect to either the raw agricultural product or the processed agricultural product (but not both),*
- (VIII) the actual and potential negative effects on the existing development and production efforts of the domestic industry, including efforts to develop a derivative or more advanced version of the domestic like product, and*
- (IX) any other demonstrable adverse trends that indicate the probability that there is likely to be material injury by reason of imports (or sale for importation) of the subject merchandise (whether or not it is actually being imported at the time).<sup>2</sup>*

Information on the nature of the alleged sales at less than fair value was presented earlier in this report; information on the volume and pricing of imports of the subject merchandise is presented in *Parts IV* and *V*; and information on the effects of imports of the subject merchandise on U.S. producers' existing development and production efforts is presented in *Part VI*. Information on inventories of the subject merchandise; foreign producers' operations, including the potential for "product-shifting;" any other threat indicators, if

---

<sup>2</sup> Section 771(7)(F)(iii) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1677(7)(F)(iii)) further provides that, in antidumping investigations, ". . . the Commission shall consider whether dumping in the markets of foreign countries (as evidenced by dumping findings or antidumping remedies in other WTO member markets against the same class or kind of merchandise manufactured or exported by the same party as under investigation) suggests a threat of material injury to the domestic industry."

applicable; and any dumping in third-country markets, follows. Also presented in this section of the report is information obtained for consideration by the Commission on nonsubject countries.

### THE INDUSTRY IN MALAYSIA

The Commission issued foreign producers' or exporters' questionnaires to eight firms believed to produce and/or export WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia.<sup>3</sup> Useable responses to the Commission's questionnaire were received from three firms: Kanzen Tetsu, Pantech, and Superinox.<sup>4</sup> These firms' exports to the United States accounted for more than \*\*\* percent of U.S. imports of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia over the period being examined.<sup>5</sup> According to estimates requested of the responding Malaysian producers, the production of WSS pressure pipe in Malaysia reported in this Part of the report accounts for approximately \*\*\* percent of overall production of WSS pressure pipe in Malaysia.

Pantech reported that \*\*\* percent of its total sales in the most recent fiscal year were sales of WSS pressure pipe. Superinox reported that \*\*\* percent of its total sales in the most recent fiscal year were sales of WSS pressure pipe. Kanzen Tetsu reported that \*\*\* percent of its total sales in the most recent fiscal year were sales of WSS pressure pipe.

In 2012, \*\*\* percent of the total shipments from Malaysia were exported to the United States, and \*\*\* percent were exported to other markets, predominantly in Asia. Exports from Malaysia to the United States increased by \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012. Capacity in Malaysia \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012, and was \*\*\* in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. Capacity is projected to \*\*\*. \*\*\* in capacity.<sup>6</sup> Production in Malaysia \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012, and was \*\*\* percent \*\*\* in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. \*\*\* production in January-March 2013 compared to January-March 2012, \*\*\*. \*\*\*. Table VII-1 presents information on the WSS pressure pipe operations of the responding producers and exporters in Malaysia.

---

<sup>3</sup> These firms were identified through a review of information submitted in the petition and contained in proprietary Customs records.

<sup>4</sup> The remaining five firms, Amalgamated Industrial Stainless Steel, K. Seng Seng Corp., Precision Tube Product (m) Sdn Bhd, Prestar Precision Tubes Sdn Bhd, and Tan Timur Stainless Steel Dan Copper Sdn Bhd, did not provide the Commission with questionnaire responses.

<sup>5</sup> \*\*\*.

<sup>6</sup> \*\*\*.

**Table VII-1**

**WSS pressure pipe: Data for producers in Malaysia, 2010-12, January-March 2012, January-March 2013, and projected 2013-14**

\* \* \* \* \*

<sup>1</sup> Kanzen Tetsu, Pantech, and Superinox reported capacity (production capability) based on operating \*\*\* hours per week, \*\*\* weeks per year, respectively.

*Source:* Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

\*\*\* on the same equipment and machinery used to produce WSS pressure pipe. \*\*\* on the same equipment and machinery used to produce WSS pressure pipe. \*\*\* on the same equipment and machinery used to produce WSS pressure pipe.

### **THE INDUSTRY IN THAILAND**

The Commission issued foreign producers' or exporters' questionnaires to four firms believed to produce and/or export WSS pressure pipe from Thailand.<sup>7</sup> The Commission did not receive any useable responses.<sup>8</sup> Simdex includes only Thai-German Products Public Company ("Thai-German Products") (capacity of 15,000 short tons of all types of pipe) as a producer of A-312 or A-778 stainless steel pipe. In addition to subject product, Thai-German Products also produces mechanical tubing, linepipe, ornamental/furniture tubing, and tubing for heat exchangers.<sup>9</sup> Thai-German Products produces pipe with diameters ranging from 4.75 mm (0.19 inch) to 508 mm (20 inches).<sup>10</sup> Thai-German Products received a loan from the Export-Import Bank of Thailand with the objective to finance the expansion of Thai-German Products'

---

<sup>7</sup> These firms were identified through a review of information submitted in the petition and contained in proprietary Customs records. \*\*\* reported that it is neither a producer nor an exporter of WSS pressure pipe. A review of \*\*\* website shows that what it purportedly produces appears not to include WSS pressure pipe. \*\*\*, retrieved June 18, 2012).

<sup>8</sup> \*\*\*, May 27, 2013. \*\*\*.

<sup>9</sup> *Simdex Steel Tube Manufacturers Worldwide Guide* (2011). Some companies do not report data on capacity to Simdex and some do not specifically identify their stainless steel types or product specifications.

<sup>10</sup> Thai-German Products website (found at <http://www.tgpro.co.th/index.php/en/about-us-tgpro/history-company-tgpro.html>, retrieved June 18, 2013).

production capability of stainless steel pipes and products for domestic sales and export sales in preparation for the ASEAN Economic Community in 2015.<sup>11</sup>

## THE INDUSTRY IN VIETNAM

The Commission issued foreign producers' or exporters' questionnaires to two firms believed to produce and/or export WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam.<sup>12</sup> Useable responses to the Commission's questionnaire were received from two firms: Mejonson and Sonha. These firms' exports to the United States accounted for more than \*\*\* percent of U.S. imports of WSS pressure pipe from Vietnam over the period being examined.<sup>13</sup> According to estimates requested of the responding Vietnamese producers, the production of WSS pressure pipe in Vietnam reported in this Part of the report accounts for approximately \*\*\* percent of overall production of WSS pressure pipe in Vietnam.

Mejonson reported that \*\*\* percent of its total sales in the most recent fiscal year were sales of WSS pressure pipe. Sonha reported that \*\*\* percent of its total sales in the most recent fiscal year were sales of WSS pressure pipe.

### Table VII-2

**WSS pressure pipe: Data for producers in Vietnam, 2010-12, January-March 2012, January-March 2013, and projected 2013-14**

\* \* \* \* \*

<sup>1</sup> Mejonson and Sonha reported capacity (production capability) based on operating \*\*\* hours per week, \*\*\* weeks per year, respectively.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

In 2012, \*\*\* percent of the total shipments from Vietnam were exported to the United States, and \*\*\* percent was exported to other markets. \*\*\*. Exports from Vietnam to the United States \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012. Reported capacity in Vietnam \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2012, and was \*\*\* percent \*\*\* in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012.<sup>14 15</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup> Petition, vol. II, p. 16 and Exhibit II-25, citing Thai-German Products news release "EXIM Thailand Lends to Support Stainless Steel," October 2, 2012. The same news release also states that 92 percent of Thai-German Products are sold domestically while the remaining 8 percent are export sales.

<sup>12</sup> These firms were identified through a review of information submitted in the petition and contained in proprietary Customs records.

<sup>13</sup> \*\*\*.

<sup>14</sup> \*\*\*.

<sup>15</sup> \*\*\*.

Capacity is projected to \*\*\* from 2012 to 2013 by \*\*\* percent and to \*\*\* by \*\*\* percent from 2013 to 2014. Production in Vietnam \*\*\* during the period for which data were collected. Production \*\*\* by \*\*\* percent from 2010 to 2011 and was \*\*\* percent \*\*\* in 2012 than in 2011. Production was \*\*\* percent \*\*\* in January-March 2013 than in January-March 2012. Production is projected to \*\*\* by \*\*\* percent from 2012 to 2013, and by \*\*\* percent from 2013 to 2014. Table VII- 2 presents information on the WSS pressure pipe operations of the responding producers and exporters in Vietnam.

\*\*\* on the same equipment and machinery used to produce WSS pressure pipe. \*\*\* on the same equipment and machinery used to produce WSS pressure pipe.

### FOREIGN INDUSTRY DATA FOR MALAYSIA AND VIETNAM COMBINED

Table VII-3 presents information on WSS pressure pipe operations of the reporting producers and exporters in Malaysia and Vietnam.

**Table VII-3**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Data for producers in Malaysia and Vietnam, 2010-12, January-March 2012, January-March 2013, and projected 2013-14**

\* \* \* \* \*

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### U.S. INVENTORIES OF IMPORTED MERCHANDISE

Table VII-4 presents data on U.S. importers' reported inventories of WSS pressure pipe.

**Table VII-4**  
**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. importers' inventories, 2010-12, January-March 2012, January-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### U.S. IMPORTERS' OUTSTANDING ORDERS

The Commission requested importers to indicate whether they imported or arranged for the importation of WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam after March 31, 2013. Seven U.S. importers arranged such shipments. Table VII-5 presents U.S. import shipments of WSS pressure pipe arranged for importation after March 31, 2013.

**Table VII-5**  
**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. importers' current orders arranged for delivery after March 31, 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

### **ANTIDUMPING OR COUNTERVAILING DUTY ORDERS IN THIRD-COUNTRY MARKETS**

Based on available information, WSS pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam have not been the subject of import relief investigations in any other country. In the United States, antidumping duty orders are in effect on ASTM A-312 pipe from Korea and Taiwan, a product that is both broader and narrower than the scope of these investigations, and antidumping and countervailing duty orders are in effect on WSS pressure pipe from China.<sup>16</sup> In Brazil, an antidumping investigation was initiated on stainless steel welded pipe from China, and in Turkey, an antidumping duty order is in effect on stainless steel welded pipe from China and Taiwan.<sup>17</sup> On July 3, 2012, Brazil initiated an antidumping investigation on imports from China of welded tubes of austenitic stainless steel of circular cross section, with O.D. of 6mm (1/4 inch) or more but less than 2032 mm (80 inches), with pipe wall thickness of 0.40 mm (0.016 inches) or more and less than or equal to 12.70 mm (5 inches).<sup>18</sup> The product scope of the Brazilian antidumping investigation is broader than the WSS pressure pipe product scope because Brazil's scope includes pipe with O.D. of greater than 14 inches. Pipe with O.D. greater than 14 inches is excluded from the WSS pressure pipe product scope. Turkey initiated antidumping investigations against China and Taiwan on April 19, 2012 on imports of welded stainless steel tubes, pipes, and profiles and imposed antidumping duty orders on both

---

<sup>16</sup> *Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from China, Inv. Nos. 701-TA-454 and 731-TA-1144 (Final)*, USITC Publication 4064, March 2009. *Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipe from Korea and Taiwan (Third Review)*, USITC Publication 4280, December 2011. Imports of subject merchandise from two Taiwan producers are not subject to antidumping duties. Chang Tieh (later Chang Mien) was excluded from the original order, and the order for Ta Chen was revoked effective June 26, 2000, on merchandise entered on or after December 1, 1998.

<sup>17</sup> The petition, vol. 2 page 14, alleges that the EU has instituted antidumping investigations or has antidumping duty orders on WSS pressure pipe from China. The steel pipe-related antidumping orders the EU has imposed on China cover seamless stainless steel pipe and welded iron and nonalloy steel pipe (WTO, Committee on Antidumping Practices, Semi-Annual Report under Article 16.4 of the Agreement: European Union," G/ADP/N/237/EU, p. 14, April 8, 2013). Both seamless stainless steel pipe and welded iron and nonalloy steel pipe are outside the WSS pressure pipe product scope.

<sup>18</sup> WTO, Committee on Antidumping Practices, Semi-Annual Report under Article 16.4 of the Agreement: Brazil," G/ADP/N/237/BRA, p. 3, April 16, 2013.

countries on March 15, 2013.<sup>19</sup> The product scope covered by these orders is broader than that of WSS pressure pipe as the Turkish orders include welded stainless steel pipe of circular, square, and rectangular cross section. Tubes and profiles of square and rectangular cross section are outside of the WSS pressure pipe product scope.

### INFORMATION ON NONSUBJECT COUNTRIES

As discussed in Part IV of this report, the leading nonsubject sources of WSS pressure pipe during 2010-12 were Korea and Taiwan which accounted for virtually all U.S. nonsubject imports in 2012 (table IV-2).

#### Korea

Table VII-6 presents information on Korea's global exports of circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel (HTS 7306.40) during 2010-12 (the most recent full-year period available) as reported by Global Trade Atlas. Circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel encompass a significantly larger commodity category, at the 6-digit international harmonization level, than subject WSS pressure pipe not exceeding 14 inches O.D.— e.g., including also larger pipe sizes, mechanical tubing, pressure tubing, and other specialized tubing.

Korea's largest export market for circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel is the United States which accounted for 25.3 percent of Korea's exports in 2012. Sungwon Pipe Co. Ltd. is the largest stainless steel pipe manufacturer in Korea.<sup>20</sup> Additional manufacturers of A-312 and A-778 pipe manufacturers in Korea (as well as other types of pipe and tube), as reported by Simdex, include Hyundai Steel Pipe Co. (HYSCO) (with annual production capacity of 1.1 million short tons) and SeAH Steel Corp. (annual production capacity of 1.3 million tons). Outside the United States, Korea's largest markets are in Asia.

---

<sup>19</sup> Republic of Turkey Ministry of Economy, "List of Definitive Anti-Dumping Measures," <http://www.ekonomi.gov.tr/upload/6EAC7EC0-D8D3-8566-452029A4778AB3DA/onlemmler.xls>, retrieved on June 18, 2013 and WTO, Committee on Antidumping Practices, Semi-Annual Report under Article 16.4 of the Agreement: Turkey," G/ADP/N/237/TUR, p. 2, March 28, 2013.

<sup>20</sup> PR Newswire, "Sungwon Pipe Announces New Contracts for 2011," January 25, 2011.

**Table VII-6**

**Circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel: Korea's global export markets, by quantity and average unit value, 2010-12**

Market	Quantity ( <i>short tons</i> )			Unit value ( <i>dollars per short ton</i> )		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
United States	10,979	12,579	10,167	3,998	4,319	4,366
Thailand	398	4,718	4,667	4,973	4,386	4,709
China	5,664	6,230	4,330	5,280	5,086	4,753
Indonesia	1,493	1,714	3,301	2,173	2,753	3,154
Kuwait	39	2,199	3,048	5,068	6,895	4,681
Japan	794	2,825	2,610	4,549	4,475	4,326
Iran	3,275	1,737	1,681	2,885	2,122	3,540
UAE	3,035	8,783	1,616	6,463	6,749	7,242
Canada	937	2,993	1,022	2,323	3,267	2,837
All other	11,828	10,691	7,797	4,507	5,465	5,152
World	38,443	54,471	40,239	4,354	4,964	4,564

Note.-- Data were compiled from HS 7306.40, which covers WSS pressure pipe as well as other forms of circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel.

Note.-- Because of rounding, figures may not add to the totals shown.

Source: Compiled from Global Trade Atlas.

### Taiwan

Taiwan's exports are presented in table VII-7. The United States is its largest export market and accounted for 17 percent of Taiwan's exports in 2012. According to Simdex, Ta Chen (16,000 ton capacity for all pipes and tubes including pipe and tube outside the product scope), and four other companies in Taiwan produce stainless steel welded pipe meeting ASTM A-312 specifications. U.S. imports of ASTM A-312 pipe from Taiwan are generally subject to antidumping duties but imports of such pipe from Taiwan producers Chang Tieh Industry and Ta Chen, are not covered. Taiwan exports to a widely-dispersed area; its four largest markets are the United States, Australia, Canada, and Brazil and its exports extend to the European Union, Asia and other regions.



**Table VII-7**

**Circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel: Taiwan's global export markets, by quantity and average unit value, 2010-12**

Market	Quantity ( <i>short tons</i> )			Unit value ( <i>dollars per short ton</i> )		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
United States	29,384	27,961	29,678	3,970	4,153	3,554
Australia	11,607	11,824	11,560	3,484	3,957	3,352
Canada	9,644	10,937	10,092	3,332	3,743	3,173
Brazil	10,380	11,429	9,842	3,024	3,364	2,876
South Africa	5,142	7,546	8,439	3,478	3,867	3,483
Turkey	4,675	7,226	7,976	3,041	3,343	2,863
Indonesia	3,836	7,104	7,518	2,891	3,229	2,746
Thailand	6,099	7,217	6,851	2,995	3,391	2,836
Mexico	3,850	5,114	5,502	3,086	3,449	2,940
Netherlands	5,311	5,900	5,459	3,584	3,937	3,507
Singapore	3,559	3,278	5,243	3,274	3,666	3,315
United Kingdom	3,986	4,883	4,986	3,502	3,806	3,251
Belgium	2,497	4,610	4,157	3,246	3,679	3,255
Argentina	2,870	3,589	3,474	3,101	3,428	2,983
Colombia	2,962	3,907	3,461	2,925	3,216	2,746
Philippines	2,608	2,488	3,305	3,077	3,534	3,051
United Arab Emirates	3,157	3,177	3,246	3,433	3,826	3,257
Saudi Arabia	2,263	2,891	3,240	3,294	3,754	3,418
Chile	1,917	2,593	3,091	3,504	3,585	3,239
All others	31,996	36,605	37,427	3,266	3,622	3,108
World	147,745	170,278	174,546	3,396	3,700	3,192

Note.-- Data were compiled from HS 7306.40, which covers WSS pressure pipe as well as other forms of circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel.

Note.-- Because of rounding, figures may not add to the totals shown.

Source: Compiled from Global Trade Atlas.

### **Global Exports of Circular Welded Tubes, Pipes, and Hollow Profiles of Stainless Steel**

Table VII-8 presents information on global exports of circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel (HTS 7306.40) during 2010-12 (the most recent full-year period available) as reported by Global Trade Atlas. As noted earlier, circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel encompass a significantly larger commodity category, at the 6-digit international harmonization level, than subject WSS pressure pipe not exceeding 14 inches O.D.— e.g., including also larger pipe sizes, mechanical tubing, pressure tubing, and other specialized tubing. Not only are Korea and Taiwan major suppliers to the United States, they are included in the top six exporting counties for circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles

of stainless steel (table VII-8). Taiwan is the second-largest global exporter. Korea is the sixth-largest global exporter.

**Table VII-8**

**Circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel: Global export markets, by quantity and average unit value, 2010-12**

Source	Quantity ( <i>short tons</i> )			Unit value (U.S. dollars per short ton)		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Italy	282,728	308,450	304,977	3,615	4,311	3,812
Taiwan	147,745	170,278	174,546	3,396	3,700	3,192
China	88,487	105,621	121,884	2,060	2,249	2,520
Germany	76,185	80,673	79,252	6,209	7,329	6,607
Czech Republic	19,124	28,524	46,644	2,667	2,720	1,548
South Korea	38,443	54,471	40,239	4,354	4,964	4,564
United States	23,694	26,578	31,596	6,369	7,213	6,771
France	18,616	25,550	25,890	6,163	6,445	5,384
Uruguay	11,446	13,757	25,120	2,399	2,698	2,594
All other	264,228	170,129	177,298	3,281	6,033	5,412
World	970,694	984,033	1,027,446	3,665	4,630	4,073

Note.-- Data were compiled from HS 7306.40, which covers WSS pressure pipe as well as other forms of circular welded tubes, pipes, and hollow profiles of stainless steel.

Note.-- Because of rounding, figures may not add to the totals shown.

Source: Compiled from Global Trade Atlas.



**APPENDIX A**

***FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICES***



The Commission makes available notices relevant to its investigations and reviews on its website, [www.usitc.gov](http://www.usitc.gov). In addition, the following tabulation presents, in chronological order, Federal Register notices issued by the Commission and Commerce during the current proceeding.

Citation	Title	Link
78 FR 31574, May 24, 2013	<i>Institution of antidumping duty</i>	<a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/05/24/2013-12341/welded-stainless-steel-pressure-pipe-from-malaysia-thailand-and-vietnam-institution-of-antidumping">https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/05/24/2013-12341/welded-stainless-steel-pressure-pipe-from-malaysia-thailand-and-vietnam-institution-of-antidumping</a>
78 FR 35253, June 12, 2013	<i>Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations</i>	<a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/06/12/2013-13963/welded-stainless-pressure-pipe-from-malaysia-thailand-and-the-socialist-republic-of-vietnam">https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/06/12/2013-13963/welded-stainless-pressure-pipe-from-malaysia-thailand-and-the-socialist-republic-of-vietnam</a>



**APPENDIX B**

**LIST OF CONFERENCE WITNESSES**





## CALENDAR OF PUBLIC PRELIMINARY CONFERENCE

**Subject:** Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam

**Inv. Nos.:** 731-TA-1210-1212 (Preliminary)

**Date and Time:** June 6, 2013 - 9:30 a.m.

Sessions were held in connection with these preliminary-phase investigations in the Main Hearing Room (room 101), 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.

### **OPENING REMARKS:**

Petitioners (**Roger B. Schagrin**, Schagrin Associates)  
Respondents (**Max F. Schutzman**, Grunfeld, Desiderio, Lebowitz, Silverman & Klestadt LLP)

### **In Support of the Imposition of Antidumping Duty Orders:**

Schagrin Associates  
Washington, DC  
on behalf of

Bristol Metals LLC; Felker Brothers Corporation; Outokumpu Stainless Pipe, Inc.; and United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union ("USW")

**Kyle Pennington**, President, Synalloy Metals

**John Tidlow**, Senior Vice President, Bristol Metals LLC

**David Hendrickson**, President Felker Brothers Corporation

**Kris Podsiad**, General Manager *and* Executive Vice President, Outokumpu Stainless Pipe, Inc.

**In Support of the Imposition of  
Antidumping Duty Orders (continued):**

Linda Andros, Legislative Counsel, USW

Roger B. Schagrin )  
– OF COUNSEL  
John W. Bohn )

**In Opposition to the Imposition of  
Antidumping Duty Orders:**

Grunfeld, Desiderio, Lebowitz, Silverman & Klestadt LLP  
Washington, D.C.  
on behalf of

Son Ha International Corporation (“Son Ha”)  
Silbo Industries, Inc. (“Silbo”)

Howard Jakob, Executive Vice President, Silbo

Max F. Schutzman )  
– OF COUNSEL  
Dharmendra N. Choudhary )

Appleton Luff Pte Ltd  
Washington, D.C.  
on behalf of

Pantech Stainless & Alloy Industries Sdn. Bhd.

Kelly A. Slater ) – OF COUNSEL

**REBUTTAL/CLOSING REMARKS:**

Petitioners (**Roger B. Schagrin**, Schagrin Associates)  
Respondents (**Max F. Schutzman**, Grunfeld, Desiderio,  
Lebowitz, Silverman & Klestadt LLP)

**APPENDIX C**  
**SUMMARY DATA**



Table C-1

## WSS Pressure Pipe: Summary data concerning the U.S. market, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013

(Quantity=short tons; Value=1,000 dollars; Unit values, unit labor costs, and unit expenses=dollars per short ton; Period changes=percent--exceptions noted)

	Report data					Period changes			
	Calendar year			January to March		Calendar year			Jan-Mar
	2010	2011	2012	2012	2013	2010-12	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
U.S. consumption quantity:									
Amount.....	62,298	65,225	66,341	18,063	14,644	6.5	4.7	1.7	(18.9)
Producers' share <sup>1</sup> :	51.1	39.7	40.4	42.9	44.9	(10.7)	(11.4)	0.7	2.0
Importers' share <sup>1</sup> :									
Malaysia.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Korea.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
All others sources.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject sources.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Total imports.....	48.9	60.3	59.6	57.1	55.1	10.7	11.4	(0.7)	(2.0)
U.S. consumption value:									
Amount.....	261,019	303,480	278,842	79,991	57,118	6.8	16.3	(8.1)	(28.6)
Producers' share <sup>1</sup> :	52.5	42.5	42.5	46.3	48.1	(10.0)	(10.0)	(0.0)	1.9
Importers' share <sup>1</sup> :									
Malaysia.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Korea.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
All others sources.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject sources.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Total imports.....	47.5	57.5	57.5	53.7	51.9	10.0	10.0	0.0	(1.9)
U.S. importers' U.S. shipments of Imports from:									
Malaysia:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Thailand:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Vietnam:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, subject sources:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Korea:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Taiwan:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
All other sources:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Subtotal, non-subject sources:									
Quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Unit value.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Ending inventory quantity.....	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Total imports:									
Quantity.....	30,490	39,359	39,540	10,310	8,071	29.7	29.1	0.5	(21.7)
Value.....	123,949	174,503	160,412	42,977	29,627	29.4	40.8	(8.1)	(31.1)
Unit value.....	\$4,065.23	\$4,433.62	\$4,056.95	\$4,168.48	\$3,670.80	(0.2)	9.1	(8.5)	(11.9)
Ending inventory quantity.....	11,738	9,512	8,174	8,740	7,765	(30.4)	(19.0)	(14.1)	(11.2)

Table continued on next page.

Table C-1...cont.

## WSS Pressure Pipe: Summary data concerning the U.S. market, 2010-12, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013

(Quantity=short tons; Value=1,000 dollars; Unit values, unit labor costs, and unit expenses=dollars per short ton; Period changes=percent--exceptions noted)

	Report data					Period changes			
	Calendar year		2012	January to March		Calendar year			Jan-Mar
	2010	2011		2012	2013	2010-12	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
U.S. producers <sup>1</sup> :									
Average capacity quantity.....	60,512	60,512	60,512	15,128	15,128	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Production quantity.....	33,574	26,989	28,133	8,303	7,121	(16.2)	(19.6)	4.2	(14.2)
Capacity utilization <sup>1</sup> .....	55.5	44.6	46.5	54.9	47.1	(9.0)	(10.9)	1.9	(7.8)
U.S. shipments:									
Quantity.....	31,808	25,866	26,801	7,753	6,573	(15.7)	(18.7)	3.6	(15.2)
Value.....	137,070	128,977	118,430	37,014	27,491	(13.6)	(5.9)	(8.2)	(25.7)
Unit value.....	\$4,309.29	\$4,986.35	\$4,418.86	\$4,774.15	\$4,182.41	2.5	15.7	(11.4)	(12.4)
Export shipments:									
Quantity.....	606	884	619	172	85	2.1	45.9	(30.0)	(50.6)
Value.....	3,140	5,944	3,214	966	433	2.4	89.3	(45.9)	(55.2)
Unit value.....	\$5,181.52	\$6,723.98	\$5,192.25	\$5,616.28	\$5,094.12	0.2	29.8	(22.8)	(9.3)
Ending inventory quantity.....	5,417	5,247	5,530	5,520	5,883	2.1	(3.1)	5.4	6.6
Inventories/total shipments <sup>1</sup> .....	16.7	19.6	20.2	17.4	22.1	3.5	2.9	0.6	4.7
Production workers.....	292	270	274	268	257	(6.2)	(7.5)	1.5	(4.1)
Hours worked (1,000s).....	583	551	556	140	130	(4.6)	(5.5)	0.9	(7.1)
Wages paid (\$1,000).....	9,938	9,542	10,011	2,523	2,287	0.7	(4.0)	4.9	(9.4)
Productivity (short tons per 1,000 hours).....	57.6	49.0	50.6	59.3	54.8	(12.1)	(14.9)	3.3	(7.6)
Unit labor costs.....	\$296.00	\$353.55	\$355.85	\$303.87	\$321.16	20.2	19.4	0.6	5.7
Net Sales:									
Quantity.....	32,589	26,776	27,518	7,926	6,658	(15.6)	(17.8)	2.8	(16.0)
Value.....	140,400	135,030	122,285	38,288	27,924	(12.9)	(3.8)	(9.4)	(27.1)
Unit value.....	\$4,308	\$5,043	\$4,444	\$4,831	\$4,194	3.1	17.1	(11.9)	(13.2)
Cost of goods sold (COGS).....	136,651	129,242	117,677	37,141	27,596	(13.9)	(5.4)	(8.9)	(25.7)
Gross profit of (loss).....	3,749	5,788	4,607	1,147	327	22.9	54.4	(20.4)	(71.5)
SG&A expenses.....	10,869	9,324	7,215	2,261	1,785	(33.6)	(14.2)	(22.6)	(21.1)
Operating income or (loss).....	(7,120)	(3,536)	(2,608)	(1,115)	(1,458)	63.4	50.3	26.2	(30.8)
Capital expenditures.....	1,213	882	1,772	44	925	46.1	(27.3)	100.9	2,002.3
Unit COGS.....	\$4,193	\$4,827	\$4,276	\$4,686	\$4,145	2.0	15.1	(11.4)	(11.5)
Unit SG&A expenses.....	\$334	\$348	\$262	\$285	\$268	(21.4)	4.4	(24.7)	(6.0)
Unit operating income or (loss).....	-\$218	-\$132	-\$95	-\$141	-\$219	56.6	39.6	28.2	(55.7)
COGS/sales <sup>1</sup> .....	97.3	95.7	96.2	97.0	98.8	(1.1)	(1.6)	0.5	1.8
Operating income or (loss)/sales <sup>1</sup> .....	(5.1)	(2.6)	(2.1)	(2.9)	(5.2)	2.9	2.5	0.5	(2.3)

<sup>1</sup> Report data are in percent and period changes are in percentage points.<sup>2</sup> Not applicable.

**APPENDIX D**

**U.S. PRODUCTION AND U.S. IMPORTS BY PRODUCT GRADE**





**Table D-1**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. producers' production by grades, 2010-2012, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

*Source* : Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**Table D-2**

**WSS pressure pipe: U.S. importers' imports by source and grades, 2010-2012, January-March 2012, and January-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

*Source* : Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.

**APPENDIX E**

**QUARTERLY DOMESTIC, SUBJECT, AND  
NONSUBJECT-COUNTRY PRICE DATA**



Figures E-1 through E-6 present quarterly pricing and quantity data for WSS pressure pipe products from the United States, Thailand, Malaysia, Vietnam, and nonsubject countries. Nonsubject pricing data was received only for Korea.<sup>1</sup> Price data reported by these firms accounted for approximately 14.8 percent of reported U.S. shipments of WSS pressure pipe products from nonsubject countries during the period.

Domestic prices were above the prices for nonsubject product in all 74 instances. When comparing Thai, Malaysian, and Vietnamese pricing data to pricing data from all nonsubject sources, there were 222 possible pricing comparisons. Thai, Malaysian, and Vietnamese WSS pressure pipe products were lower priced in only 17 of these 222 instances and nonsubject prices were below subject prices in 205 instances. Instances in which Korean prices were higher than Thai and Malaysian prices tended to occur mainly in product 5. Instances in which Korean prices were higher than Vietnamese prices tended to occur mainly for products 3 and 5. A summary of margins of underselling and overselling is presented in table E-1.

**Figure E-1**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure E-2**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure E-3**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure E-4**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Figure E-5**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

---

<sup>1</sup> Price data \*\*\*.

**Figure E-6**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Weighted-average f.o.b. prices and quantities of domestic and imported product, by quarters, January 2010-March 2013**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Table E-1**  
**WSS pressure pipe: Summary of underselling/(overselling) by product from nonsubject countries, January 2010-March 2013**

Product	United States vs. Korea			Malaysia vs. Korea		
	Number of comparisons	Underselling	Overselling	Number of comparisons	Underselling	Overselling
Product 1	13	13	0	13	0	13
Product 2	12	12	0	12	0	12
Product 3	10	10	0	10	0	10
Product 4	13	13	0	13	0	13
Product 5	13	13	0	13	2	11
Product 6	13	13	0	13	0	13
	Thailand vs. Korea			Vietnam vs. Korea		
Product 1	13	0	13	13	0	13
Product 2	12	0	12	12	1	11
Product 3	10	1	9	10	4	6
Product 4	13	1	12	13	1	12
Product 5	13	3	10	13	4	9
Product 6	13	0	13	13	0	13

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to Commission questionnaires.