UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

| In the Matter of<br><br>CERTAIN LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICES, INCLUDING MONITORS, TELEVISIONS, AND MODULES, AND COMPONENTS THEREOF | Inv. No. 337-TA-749<br>Inv. No. 337-TA-741 |
|---|---|

# NOTICE REGARDING INITIAL DETERMINATION ON VIOLATION OF SECTION 337 AND RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND

(January 12, 2012)

On this date, I issued an initial determination on violation of section 337 and recommended determination on remedy and bond in the above-referenced investigation. Below are the conclusions of law from said filing, which are a matter of public record. A complete public version of the Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond will be issued when all the parties have submitted their redactions and I have had an opportunity to review the redactions.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has subject matter jurisdiction, *in rem* jurisdiction, and *in personam* jurisdiction.

2. There has been an importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of the accused liquid crystal display devices, including monitors, televisions, and modules, and components thereof which are the subject of the alleged unfair trade allegations.

3. Thomson has satisfied the domestic industry requirement pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(3)(C) for U.S. Patent Nos. 5,978,063; 5,375,006; 5,621,556; 5,648,674; and 6,121,941.

**U.S. Patent No. 5,978,063**

4. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 12, 14 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,063 are invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103.

5. Claim 17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,063 is not invalid.

6. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,063 are not infringed by AUO, CMI, Qisda, or BenQ.

7. There is no violation of 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(1) with respect to U.S. Patent No. 5,978,063.

**U.S. Patent No. 5,375,006**

8. Claims 4 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,375,006 are invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102.

9. Claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,375,006 is not invalid.

10. Claims 4, 7, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,375,006 are not infringed by AUO, CMI, Qisda, or BenQ.

11. There is no violation of 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(1) with respect to U.S. Patent No. 5,375,006.

**U.S. Patent No. 5,621,556**

12. Claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 5,621,556 is not invalid.

13. Claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 5,621,556 is not infringed by AUO, CMI, Qisda, or BenQ.

14. There is no violation of 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(1) with respect to U.S. Patent No.

5,621,556.

**U.S. Patent No. 5,648,674**

15. Claims 1, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,648,674 are not invalid.

16. Claims 1, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,648,674 are not infringed by the CMI accused products including the Type 1 Array Circuitry, Type 3 Array Circuitry, Type IZO Array Circuitry, or any Qisda or BenQ accused products incorporating these CMI accused products.

17. Claims 1, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,648,674 are infringed by the CMI accused products including the Type 2 Array Circuitry and any Qisda or BenQ accused product incorporating these CMI accused products.

18. The finding of infringement does not apply to the Qisda-manufactured G2200W LCD monitor, because Qisda & BenQ have established that it is a covered by a valid license.

19. There is a violation of 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(1) with respect to U.S. Patent No. 5,648,674.

**U.S. Patent No. 6,121,941**

20. Claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,121,941 are not invalid.

21. Claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,121,941 are not infringed by Realtek, MStar, CMI, Qisda, or BenQ.

22. There is no violation of 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(1) with respect to U.S. Patent No.

6,121,941.

**SO ORDERED.**

Robert K. Rogers, Jr.
Administrative Law Judge