

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20436**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN INTEGRATED CIRCUITS,  
CHIPSETS, AND PRODUCTS  
CONTAINING SAME INCLUDING  
TELEVISIONS, MEDIA PLAYERS, AND  
CAMERAS**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-709**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION TO EXTEND THE DATE FOR  
DETERMINING WHETHER TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION  
GRANTING RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY DETERMINATION OF  
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 1 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,199,306**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to extend the date for determining whether to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 34) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting the respondents' motion for summary determination of non-infringement of claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,199,306 ("the '306 patent") by sixty (60) days to April 11, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on April 2, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Freescale Semiconductor of Austin, Texas ("Freescale"). 75 *Fed. Reg.* 16837-38. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for

importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits, chipsets, and products containing same including televisions, media players, and cameras by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,467,455; 5,715,014; and the '306 patent. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Panasonic Corporation of Osaka, Japan and Panasonic Corporation of North America of Secaucus, New Jersey (collectively "Panasonic"); Funai Electric Co., Ltd. of Osaka, Japan and Funai Corporation Inc. of Rutherford, New Jersey; JVC Americas Corp. of Wayne, New Jersey and Victor Company of Japan Limited of Yokohama, Japan (collectively "JVC"); BestBuy.com, LLC of Richfield, Minnesota, Best Buy Purchasing, LLC of Richfield, Minnesota, Best Buy Stores, L.P. of Richfield, Minnesota, B&H Foto & Electronics Corp. of New York, New York, Huppins HiFi Photo & Video, Inc. of Spokane, Washington, Buy.com Inc. of Aliso Viejo, California, QVC, Inc. of Chester, Pennsylvania, Crutchfield Corporation of Charlottesville, Virginia, Computer Nerds International, Inc. of Miami, Florida, and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. of Bentonville, Arkansas (collectively "Retail Respondents").

On November 2, 2010, respondents Panasonic, JVC, and Retail Respondents moved for summary determination that certain Panasonic integrated circuits and products containing same do not infringe claim 1 of the '306 patent. On November 12, 2010, Freescale filed an opposition to the motion. On November 12, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed a response in support of respondents' motion.

On January 5, 2011, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting respondents' motion. On January 14, 2011, Freescale filed a petition for review of the subject ID. On January 21, 2011, respondents filed a response to the petition for review. On January 24, 2011, the IA filed a response to the petition for review.

On January 28, 2011, the ALJ issued Order No. 41, which suspended the procedural schedule and evidentiary hearing indefinitely based upon a Memorandum of Understanding between Freescale and respondent Panasonic. The Commission has, thus, determined to extend the date for determining whether to review the subject ID by sixty (60) days to April 11, 2011.

The authority for this action is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in Part 210.42(h)(3) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. Part 210.42(h)(3)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/  
William R. Bishop  
Hearings and Meetings Coordinator

Issued: February 7, 2011